GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, JUNE 14, 2005

The Public Hearing convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m., Ruthanne G. Miller, Vice Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

RUTHANNE G. MILLER Vice Chairperson

CURTIS L. ETHERLY, JR. Board Member

JOHN A. MANN, II Board Member (NCPC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY Deputy Secretary
BEVERLEY BAILEY Zoning Specialist
JOHN NYARKU Zoning Specialist

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:

LORI MONROE, ESQ.
MARY NAGELHOUT, ESQ.

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

KAREN THOMAS

MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on June 14, 2005.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

AGENDA ITEM		<u>PAGE</u>
PRELIMINARY MATTERS	•	6
APPLICATION OF ALEXANDER AND TRENITA DAVIS: 17330 ANC-4B		13
WITNESS:		
EARL RICHARDSON	•	16
APPLICATION OF APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT LP, ON BEHALF OF LARRY D. AND CAROL K. QUILLIAN:		
17331 ANC-6B	•	23
CAROLYN BROWN, ESQ	•	24
Suite 100 Washington, D 20006		С.
<u>WITNESS:</u>		
MURPHY ANTOINE	•	30
APPLICATION OF APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT LP, ON BEHALF OF LARRY D. AND CAROL K. QUILLIAN:		
17332 ANC-6B	•	63
CAROLYN BROWN, ESQ	•	63
Suite 100 Washington, D 20006	•	С.
WITNESS:		
MURPHY ANTOINE	•	68

APPLICATION OF ST. ALBAN'S SCHOOL, ON BEHALF
OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CATHEDRAL
FOUNDATION OF D.C.:
<u>17320 ANC-3C</u>
WHAYNE QUIN, ESQ
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 100
Washington, D.C 20006
WITNESSES:
MAJOR GENERAL RIPPE
JEAN DUFF
VANCE WILSON
ROGER DUFFY
RICHARD BURCK, JR 154
COLLEEN MEEHAN 195
BRAD BELT
LOUIS SLADE

Adjourn

PROCEEDINGS

10:00 A.M.

2.0

CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. This hearing will please come to order. My name is Ruthanne Miller. I'm the Vice Chair of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Our distinguished Chair will not be able to be with us today, so I will be presiding, along with my fellow mayoral appointee, Mr. Etherly to my right and NCPC Member, Mr. John Mann to my left. And also with us today from Office of Zoning is Mr. Cliff Moy and from the Office of Attorney General, Lori Monroe. And also from the Office of Zoning, Beverley Bailey.

Copies of today's agenda are available to you and are located to my left in the wall bin near the door. Please be advised that the proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room.

When presenting information to the Board, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. When you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

All persons planning to testify, either in favor or in opposition are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located to my left on the table near the door and on the witness tables. Upon coming forward to speak to the Board, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my right. The order of procedure for special exceptions in variances is, one, statement and witnesses of the Applicant; two, Government reports including Office of Planning, Department of Public Works, etcetera; three, report of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission; four, parties or persons in support; five, parties or persons in opposition; six, closing remarks by the Applicant.

The record will be closed at the conclusion of each case, except for any material specifically requested by the Board. The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when the persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. After the record is closed, no other information will be accepted by the Board.

The Sunshine Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. The Board may, consistent with its rules of procedure and the Sunshine Act, enter executive

1 session during or after the public hearing on a case 2 for purposes of reviewing the record or deliberating 3 on the case. 4 The decision of the Board in these 5 contested cases must be based exclusively on the 6 public record. To avoid any appearance to the 7 contrary, the Board requests that persons present not engage the members of the Board in conversation. 8 Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this 9 10 time so not to disrupt these proceedings. 11 The Board will now consider any 12 preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those which relate to whether a case will or should be heard 13 14 today such as requests for postponement, continuance 15 or withdrawal or whether proper and adequate notice of the hearing has been given. 16 17 If you are not prepared to go forward with a case today or if you believe that the Board should 18 19 not proceed, now is the time to raise such a matter. 20 the staff have any preliminary Does 21 matters? 22 MS. BAILEY: Madam Chair, Members of the 23 Board and to everyone, good morning. 24 Yes, there is, Madam Chair, and it has to 25 do with Application No. 17315 of Todd Collins.

1 is a request for postponement. 2 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning, and you're 3 with that case? 4 BAYLOR: Yes, good morning, Madam 5 Chair and Members of the Board. I am asking for a 6 postponement. 7 CHAIR MILLER: Could you please identify yourself for the record. 8 9 MS. BAYLOR: I'm sorry. My name is Brynee 10 Baylor, attorney for Collins Development LLC and I am 11 here today requesting postponement for the а 12 application and we're requesting about a three to four 13 month postponement, the reason being that NCRC is 14 right now one of the owners of the property, a couple 15 of the lots that we are trying to develop and they 16 will not be releasing the property for development 17 until September of '05. And with that, also there's 18 another lot that's still in litigation, although it was supposed to be resolved by this time. 19 It's still 2.0 there. So we are requesting a postponement so that we 21 will be in a position to request relief. 22 CHAIR MILLER: And that other lot, you expect the litigation to be completed in three to four 23 24 months? 25 We do. Actually, it should MS. BAYLOR:

1 have been completed already, so I mean any day now. 2 We're waiting. 3 CHAIR MILLER: Do my Board Members have 4 any questions right now? MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, thank you very 5 No objection with respect to the postponement 6 7 request along the lines of your question regarding the lot that continues to be in litigation. 8 9 What's your sense in terms of NCRC's 10 posture regarding their pieces? Do you anticipate 11 that September would be sufficient time? 12 Well, we do believe that --MS. BAYLOR: they believe that September will be sufficient. 13 14 think October would be better for us just to allow a 15 couple of days in the interim, just to make sure that 16 everything is smooth and there's further 17 postponement required. 18 MR. ETHERLY: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. 19 2.0 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. 21 MR. MANN: I was wondering whether or not 22 you could address with any more specificity some of 23 these particular lots that OP in their Supplemental 24 Report had addressed. Some of them, as you noted, 25 were done by -- or under the control of NCRC, some

1 under DHCD. And so is it four lots that are holding up this process? 2 3 MS. BAYLOR: That's correct. 4 are four lots holding up the process and as it stands 5 right now, we are still working with DHCD and NCND, North Capitol Neighborhood Development Corporation to 6 7 determine exactly who we need to get the permission from as it pertains to Lots 869 and 866. 8 MR. MANN: But you think all those issues 9 10 are going to be resolved by October? 11 MS. BAYLOR: We do believe that, we do. 12 We're very optimistic and we think that they'll probably be resolved by September, however, we think 13 14 that by October we should be in a good place. 15 MR. MANN: Ι must say, perhaps Ι misunderstand OP's Supplemental Report, were there 16 also some issues regarding the type of relief or that 17 the relief that was being sought seems to be somewhat 18 19 different than what OP is suggesting? MS. BAYLOR: Well, just the variance in 20 21 terms of two units versus the three units and either 22 way, I mean our argument is still, we're still asking 23 for the three unit relief in the respective lots, but I think those are the only issues that they have. 24 25 They still are apprehensive and not in support of the

1	three units, but they are in terms of the area
2	variance.
3	MR. MANN: Okay, so the application itself
4	is not going to change.
5	MS. BAYLOR: No.
6	MR. MANN: You're simply
7	MS. BAYLOR: That's correct.
8	MR. MANN: Okay, thank you.
9	MS. BAYLOR: However, I will say, I'm
10	sorry. In the event that we do have a problem
11	obtaining permission, then there might be a different,
12	you know, we might have to withdraw one of the lots
13	that we're requesting relief from, but hopefully that
14	won't be the case.
15	CHAIR MILLER: Let me ask you this, we
16	could postpone it until October, or we could postpone
17	until November and what gets tricky is if we postpone
18	it until October and you're not ready and there's some
19	complication, then by that date our calendar will
20	probably have filled up for November, if not December.
21	MS. BAYLOR: Right.
22	CHAIR MILLER: So if you would like for us
23	to give you a little longer extension at this point,
24	we could do that.
25	MS. BAYLOR: Okay, we appreciate it, if

1 that would be possible. November would be fine. 2 Mr. Moy, what date do we CHAIR MILLER: 3 have in November? 4 MR. ETHERLY: And if I may, Madam Chair, 5 as we're looking for an appropriate date in November, I just wanted to confirm that you are in receipt, of 6 7 course, of the Office of Planning's Supplemental 8 Report? We do have it. 9 MS. BAYLOR: 10 MR. ETHERLY: I think it would definitely 11 be very helpful that as you continue to prepare for 12 the case that you give some considerable thought to some of the observations that are made in the Office 13 14 of Planning Report with particular some of 15 concerns regarding the economic hardship argument and any other information that they've identified as 16 17 perhaps at this point being somewhat lacking in the 18 application. I think that would be very helpful. 19 So hopefully, if we're ready to rock and rock in November, we'll be able to address some of 20 those questions, if not have them resolved. 21 22 Thank you. MS. BAYLOR: Madam Chair, the staff would 23 MR. MOY: 24 recommend that if we're looking at November, November 25 15th in the afternoon at 1 o'clock.

1 CHAIR MILLER: Does November 15th in the 2 afternoon work? 3 MS. BAYLOR: Yes. 4 CHAIR MILLER: I also want to make another 5 comment. MS. BAYLOR: 6 Sure. I think in the interim I 7 CHAIR MILLER: gather that you will be working out the authorization 8 9 question and there are some questions raised by Office 10 of Planning with respect to the relief you're seeking 11 and I would suggest in the interim you can also take 12 the opportunity to talk with Office of Planning, to 13 with the Zoning Administrator, because talk 14 understand that you have self-certified the relief. 15 MS. BAYLOR: That's right. So if you, during that 16 CHAIR MILLER: 17 period, come to any different conclusions, unless my 18 colleagues feel otherwise, supplement your application 19 and update so that we have before us what you'd like 2.0 us to rule on. 21 MS. BAYLOR: Thank you and I will do that. 22 CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Are there any other comments from the Board Members? Then I think we have 23 24 a consensus here that the postponement is granted 25 until November 15th.

1	MS. BAYLOR: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MILLER: Do we have any other
3	preliminary matters, Ms. Bailey?
4	MS. BAILEY: No, Madam Chair, that's it.
5	Swearing in the witnesses, perhaps is I don't know
6	if you consider that a preliminary matter.
7	CHAIR MILLER: Yes, I think that this
8	would be a good time to swear in the witnesses. Would
9	all individuals wishing to testify today, please rise
10	to take the oath and Ms. Bailey would administer the
11	oath.
12	MS. BAILEY: Please raise your right hand.
13	Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you
14	will be giving today will be the truth, the whole
15	truth and nothing but the truth?
16	(THE WITNESSES WERE SWORN.)
17	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Will you call
18	the first case, please?
19	MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17330 of
20	Alexander and Trenita Davis, pursuant to 11 DCMR
21	Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow a one
22	story rear addition to an existing single-family
23	detached dwelling under section 223, not meeting the
24	rear yard requirements, that's in section 404. The
25	property is located in the R-2 District at 7435 8th

1 Street, N.W., Square 3178, Lot 106. 2 CHAIR MILLER: Is the Applicant here in 3 this case? 4 Would you come to the table and get 5 comfortable and then you can put your microphone on and introduce yourself. 6 7 Good morning. Madam Chair, Members of the 8 MR. DAVIS: Board, good morning. My name is Alexander Davis. 9 10 wife and I, Trenita Davis, have lived at 7435 8th 11 Street, N.W., for more than 44 years. We want to 12 complete a one story addition at the rear of our 13 house, but the addition goes into the required rear 14 yard by about three feet. We would like to reduce the 15 rear yard from 20 to 17 feet, therefore we are asking for a special exception under Section 223.1 of the 16 zoning regulation. 17 18 Our neighbors all signed a petition in 19 favor of our addition. The Advisory Neighborhood 20 Commission voted to support us. The addition will not take away light from the neighbors or invade their 21 22 Also, you will hardly be able to see the privacy. addition from the street or the sidewalk in the front 23

I would like to finish the addition before

24

25

of the house.

driveway goes around to the back of the house and I
will be able to push the wheelchair into the house
without using stairs in the front.
I hope you will grant us the special
exception and I will appreciate anything you can do to
speed up the process. The contractor is ready to
complete the construction as soon as the permit is
issued.
I would be happy to answer any questions
you may have. Thank you.
CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Do my Board
Members have any questions?
Members have any questions? Okay, we don't have any questions for you.
Okay, we don't have any questions for you.
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say.
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say. Good morning, Mr. Moore.
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say. Good morning, Mr. Moore. MR. MOORE: Good morning, Madam Chair and
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say. Good morning, Mr. Moore. MR. MOORE: Good morning, Madam Chair and Members of the Board. The Office of Planning is
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say. Good morning, Mr. Moore. MR. MOORE: Good morning, Madam Chair and Members of the Board. The Office of Planning is supporting this application and therefore to
Okay, we don't have any questions for you. You have a pretty straight forward application, so what we're going to do is now go to the Office of Planning and hear what they have to say. Good morning, Mr. Moore. MR. MOORE: Good morning, Madam Chair and Members of the Board. The Office of Planning is supporting this application and therefore to recommend.

1	CHAIR MILLER: Did you see the Office of
2	Planning's Report that they did on your application?
3	MR. DAVIS: I did.
4	CHAIR MILLER: And do you have any
5	questions for Mr. Moore?
6	MR. DAVIS: No, I don't.
7	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Do my Board Members
8	have any questions for Mr. Moore?
9	Is there a representative of the ANC here?
10	Okay, we have a letter from Mr. Gell, your attorney,
11	indicating that the ANC voted unanimously to support
12	your application.
13	Did you go to the ANC meeting?
14	MR. DAVIS: I did.
15	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Are there any
16	persons here wishing to testify on this application?
17	Please come forward.
18	MR. RICHARDSON: My name is
19	CHAIR MILLER: Is your microphone on?
20	Yes, now it is. Okay.
21	MR. RICHARDSON: My name is Earl
22	Richardson and I live at 7440 7th Street, N.W. I live
23	on the street in the rear of Mr. Davis' house. Mr.
24	Davis is an Indian, a Delaware Indian. I am related
25	to Ossia Gardine who was one of the councilmen in the

1 Prince George's County Council and Mr. Gardine was a 2 North Dakota Indian. Mr. Gardine was a bull. Howard University is the bulldog. 3 4 I am here to say that Mr. Davis is living 5 on an Indian Reservation in the county of Washington, D.C. and we are concerned about Mr. Davis' natural 6 7 rights, not his civil rights. I am an expert in 8 natural rights. I'm am the seventh child in the family of 9 10 eight children and my two parents makes a family of 11 I am the seventh child. I was ordained by our ten. 12 God, Blackwood. Blackwood is a diamond. Blackwood is 13 the board of education. Blackwood is the capstone of 14 Negro education. 15 CHAIR MILLER: Excuse me, can I interrupt This is about a zoning issue and I want to ask 16 17 you if you can help me understand what your zoning 18 issue might be with respect to his adding on to his house? 19 2.0 MR. RICHARDSON: My zoning issue is that 21 this is a civil proceeding. I am concerned with 22 natural law. 23 CHAIR MILLER: Are you concerned that he's 24 building over grounds that Indian --25 I'm not concerned about MR. RICHARDSON:

that. I'm concerned about his natural rights.
Because I am concerned about his natural rights, this
Thomas Jefferson, who wrote the Constitution. Here is
a copy of it. It's in the Jefferson Memorial. It
states "I have sworn upon the alter of God eternal
hostility against every form of tyranny over the minds
of men. We hold these truths to be self evident that
all men are created equal, that they are endowed by
their Creator and certain inalienable rights, among
these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness,
that to secure these rights, governments are
instituted among men solemnly published and declare
that these colonies are and of right to be right to be
free and independent states and for the report of this
declaration was the firm reliance on the protection of
the divine providence our lives, our fortunes, our
sacred honor" and it goes on. You've seen it. I can
read the whole thing. But what he's saying, that Mr.
Davis is protected by the Divine providence and the
Divine providence is the eye of providence and the eye
of providence is the apex of the pyramid in Egypt.
This country was built on the Star of David and God is
the law of the land. A point of law is a point of
light and a point of light is a point of life.

CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Richardson, I'm sorry

1	I didn't say this earlier, but persons who testify in
2	support get three minutes to testify.
3	MR. RICHARDSON: Three minutes?
4	CHAIR MILLER: Yes, and I think that we're
5	over that at this point, so if you want to conclude?
6	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, Madam Chair, please.
7	Thank you.
8	First of all, I have a bill here, the
9	first 10 amendments to the Constitution is the Bill of
10	Rights. This I have in my folder here. Is the Bill
11	of Rights.
12	CHAIR MILLER: If you want to submit
13	something in writing to the record, you can also.
14	MR. RICHARDSON: The Bill of Rights is
15	911, that's a dollar bill. On the back of a dollar
16	bill there's the Star of David and there's a pyramid
17	with an eye of providence on it. The eye of
18	providence is the torch of freedom.
19	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you very much.
20	And if there's anything else you want to put in the
21	record, you can.
22	MR. RICHARDSON: At this point?
23	CHAIR MILLER: You can hand something to
24	staff at my far right, if you want to. I just can't
25	have you I can't let you talk any more though

1 because we're under time constraints. 2 MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you. 3 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you very much. 4 Is there anybody else here wishing to testify on this 5 matter? Okay, Mr. Davis, at this point then, I'm 6 7 going to turn to you for any other closing remarks you might want to say before we consider your case. 8 9 MR. DAVIS: The only thing I want to say 10 to this Board, my wife and I have celebrated 51 years 11 of marriage and right now she's in a nursing home 12 because of amputation of one leg. And what we're 13 trying to do is bring her home so that we can live out 14 the rest of our lives together instead of having her 15 in a nursing home and me at home. So I appreciate any consideration you may give us. 16 17 Thank you very much. 18 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Board Members, 19 I think at this point I would like to move to approve 20 Application No. 17330 of Alexander and Trineta Davis 21 pursuant to 11 DCMR 3104.1 for a special exception to 22 allow a one story addition to an existing single 23 family detached dwelling under Section 223, not 24 meeting the rear yard requirements, Section 404, at

premises 7435 8th Street, N.W.

Do I have a second?

MR. ETHERLY: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR MILLER: I think that this is a very straightforward strong case for a 223, for a special exception relief under 223. Office of Planning's report is quite thorough and quite detailed. What we have here is a one story addition to a single family detached dwelling. We have no objection by neighbors. We have no objection by the ANC.

In going through the tests of 223.2, there's testimony and evidence that the proposed addition will not unduly affect the light and air available to neighboring property. There are existing side yards on both sides to limit the adverse effects on the neighboring properties and neighboring properties are in support.

The proposed addition will not unduly compromise the privacy of use and enjoyment of the neighboring properties. The addition is going to be built approximately at the same depth as the patios in both of the neighboring dwellings and they have no objection.

The addition, together with the original building, as viewed from the street, alley and other public way does not substantially visually intrude

1 upon the character, scale and pattern of houses along 2 the subject street frontage. Its design is consistent 3 with the character, scale and pattern as determined by 4 Office of Planning and we heard the Applicant say it 5 can hardly be seen from the street. The maximum lot occupancy doesn't exceed 6 7 50 percent as required by 223.3 and Office of Planning 8 doesn't recommend that we impose any other special treatment here and I haven't heard any evidence as to 9 what we should, so anyway, I think at this point all 10 11 the elements of 223 have been met and I'm prepared to 12 vote for this. 13 Board Members have any 14 comments? Okay. 15 All those in favor say aye. 16 (Ayes.) 17 All those opposed. 18 (No response.) 19 Will you call the vote, please? MS. BAILEY: The vote is recorded as 3-0-220 21 to approve the application. Ms. Miller made the 22 Mr. Etherly seconded. motion. Mr. Mann is 23 agreement. We do not have a Zoning Commission Member 24 with us today and Mr. Griffis is not here. 25 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Bailey.

we waive our rules for issuing a final order and issue a summary order in this case. MR. ETHERLY: No objection, Madam Chair. CHAIR MILLER: Okay, in which case that's what we'll do. So we'll be issuing an order probably today. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you identify yourself for the record, please?	1	this point, I would propose to my Board Members that
MR. ETHERLY: No objection, Madam Chair. CHAIR MILLER: Okay, in which case that's what we'll do. So we'll be issuing an order probably today. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	2	we waive our rules for issuing a final order and issue
So we'll be issuing an order probably today. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. MS. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	3	a summary order in this case.
6 what we'll do. 7 So we'll be issuing an order probably 8 today. 9 MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. 10 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good 11 luck. 12 Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. 13 MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI 14 Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and 15 Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, 16 for a special exception to allow an addition to an 17 existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not 18 meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 19 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and 20 nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is 21 to establish a flat at the site. The property is 22 zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., 23 Square 1019, Lot 816. 24 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	4	MR. ETHERLY: No objection, Madam Chair.
So we'll be issuing an order probably today. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	5	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, in which case that's
today. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	6	what we'll do.
MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	7	So we'll be issuing an order probably
CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good luck. Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	8	today.
11 luck. 12 Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. 13 Ms. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI 14 Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and 15 Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, 16 for a special exception to allow an addition to an 17 existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not 18 meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 19 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and 20 nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is 21 to establish a flat at the site. The property is 22 zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., 23 Square 1019, Lot 816. 24 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	9	MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case. MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	10	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much. Good
MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	11	luck.
Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	12	Ms. Bailey, will you call the next case.
Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	13	MS. BAILEY: Application No. 17331 of JPI
for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	14	Apartment Development LP, on behalf of Larry D. and
existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	15	Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR Section 3104.1,
meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	16	for a special exception to allow an addition to an
19 405. The court requirements is Section 406, and 20 nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is 21 to establish a flat at the site. The property is 22 zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., 23 Square 1019, Lot 816. 24 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	17	existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not
nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	18	meeting the side yard requirements. That's Section
to establish a flat at the site. The property is zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	19	405. The court requirements is Section 406, and
zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 816. CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	20	nonconforming structure requirements, 2001.3. This is
23 Square 1019, Lot 816. 24 CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	21	to establish a flat at the site. The property is
CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you	22	zoned R04 and it's located at 1229 E Street, S.E.,
	23	Square 1019, Lot 816.
25 identify yourself for the record, please?	24	CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. Would you
II .	25	identify yourself for the record, please?

1 MS. BROWN: Yes, good morning, Madam Chair 2 and Members of the Board. My name is Carolyn Brown. 3 I'm with the law firm of Holland and Knight here on 4 behalf of the Applicant, JPI Apartment Development LP 5 for the property at 1229 E Street, S.E. in the Capitol Hill Historic District. 6 7 With me today are Mr. Norman Glasgow, Jr. of our firm; Mr. Greq Lamb and Mr. Carlos Bonner of 8 JPI; Mr. Murphy Antoine and Erin Christensen of Torti 9 10 Gallas and Partners, the architects. 11 I believe the Members, you have all 12 received a copy of our statement in support of the 13 application and copies of the letters of support from 14 the ANC, I hope are in the record, as well as letters 15 of support from the Capitol Hill Restoration Society and that letter is at Tab F of the pre-hearing 16 submission booklet. 17 18 CHAIR MILLER: Yes, we have those. 19 MS. BROWN: And the Applicant is not aware 20 of any opposition to this proposal. In fact, the 21 Applicant has received overwhelming support from 22 everyone in the community, the ANC and obviously the 23 Capitol Hill Restoration Society, as well as concept 24 approval from the Historic Preservation Review Board.

The Applicant is appearing before you

today to request relief under Section 223 of the zoning regulations for an addition that does not conform with the side yard requirements of Section 405.3, the width requirements for an open court under Section 406.1 and the provisions of a nonconforming structure under Section 2001.3.

The Applicant is proposing to redevelop and enhance a dilapidated property and rehabilitate a historic shotgun house which is the very rare building type on Capitol Hill, and in fact, the Middle Atlantic region, they're more predominant in the Southern States.

It's been vacant and abandoned for a long time now and it's been a problem site that the community has really been looking for a developer to help rehab and enhance the site. So they were pleased when JPI stepped up to the plate to take a look at this property and it's one of two properties that JPI is developing. There's the adjacent site to the south on Pennsylvania Avenue which is the subject of the second application that you'll be hearing today.

We have reviewed the Office of Planning
Report and their concern about using a trellis to
connect two structures to create one building for
zoning purposes. However, we believe that the issue

of whether a trellis is an appropriate connection has already been addressed and approved by this Board in a previous case and in accordance with the long-standing interpretations of the Zoning Administrator's office.

In BZA Case No. 16863, the Board had an extensive discussion on this issue for property located at 420 M Street, N.W. There, the Applicant proposed to connect two structures in the R-5 District with a trellis that would create a 51 percent The grid was enough that it was 51 percent coverage. Again, there was extensive discussion on coverage. The record was left open for submissions to the Board on the subject and ultimately the application was approved with that trellis and I have for the record that I can hand in now copies of that order. It's a summary order, but also the transcript which has that discussion.

CHAIR MILLER: That would be great. Thank you very much.

Is there some magic to the 51 percent?

MS. BROWN: Apparently, in that case there is a discussion about it. We are proposing 60 percent coverage with perlins, but the architect can get into that a little bit in greater detail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I can also tell you another -- many of the examples that exist in the city will not be on the record for BZA approval because they're done matter of right. Another example is that the corner of 12th and New York Avenue, there was an open court issue for the discussions property and in with the Zoning Administrator, it was solved by creating an open grid pattern so that you still have the transparency and light and air permeating the area, but it considered to be part of the structure and it made the court issue go away.

So there is past examples of this. It has been decided and looked at, but we're also willing to look at other options because we are hopeful that we could get vote today in this case in support.

CHAIR MILLER: I don't think we'll get a vote today, just to be upfront with you. Although I think we discussed this in Executive Session and what we anticipate is that you would get a vote in two weeks when we have our decision making. We don't have with us our Chairman, Mr. Griffis, who wanted to participate in this case. And also, we understanding that one of the Zoning Commissioners, Mr. Hildebrand, also wanted to read the record in this case and possibly participate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that's the way it is, but I don't think
two weeks is too long. But I am curious because I did
start to look at some law in this myself when this
issue came up and maybe it's addressed in this
decision that you're just giving me that I haven't
seen, but whether there has to be a certain amount of
coverage for some kind of for some regulations to
kick in when you said about the 51 percent, is that
significant. In this case, I guess you're saying
you're going to have 60 percent, so it doesn't matter?
MS. BROWN: It doesn't matter and we also
are willing to explore, just so we can get a quick
decision, other options that perhaps a canvas covering
or even plexiglass on the underside of the trellis to
make sure that it's a solid structure so we don't have
to get into the issue, we can get a faster decision.
CHAIR MILLER: You won't get a faster
decision. I mean what I'm saying
MS. BROWN: And I understand.
CHAIR MILLER: We want to give you the
right decision and you know, maybe you don't need any.
Maybe you need 30 percent, maybe you don't need any
kind of trellis will do. I just was wondering if
I heard you say 51 percent and I just wanted to know
if that was a magic number type of regulation or

1	something.
2	Okay, it just was a situation in one of
3	the cases.
4	MS. BROWN: That's correct.
5	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
6	MS. BROWN: The architect will describe in
7	greater detail the addition and how it will not
8	impinge on the light, air or privacy or adjacent
9	properties. And in fact, at the HPRB hearing in
10	February, the immediate neighbor to the east testified
11	in strong support of this application. And you will
12	find our standards of how we meet the standards of our
13	discussion on how we meet the burden of proof at pages
14	4 to 8 in our prehearing statement.
15	So if there are no other preliminary
16	questions that you may have, I'd like to proceed with
17	the testimony of our witness, our only witness.
18	CHAIR MILLER: I do have one other
19	preliminary.
20	MS. BROWN: Sure.
21	CHAIR MILLER: And I think I just want
22	to throw it out now and it can be addressed later, but
23	when we're looking at lot occupancy, did you calculate
24	that for the area under the trellis or not?

lot occupancy calculation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you.

MS. BROWN: Yes. So I will proceed with our first and only witness. We have Mr. Murphy Antoine on my far right and Mr. Greg Lamb from JPI in the center here is here for questions.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MS. BROWN: Thank you.

MR. ANTOINE: Good morning, Madam Chair, Members of the Board, for the record, my name Murphy Antoine. I am a principal architect and planner with Torti Gallas and Partners and we're representing JPI Apartment Development LP as the contract purchaser of the property at 1229 E Street for which we are proposing the renovation of the existing shotgun structure. Again, it's one of only two structures in the Capitol Hill Historic District of this type that pre-date 1853, so there's certainly a lot of interest by the neighbors, by the Capitol Hill Restoration Society, by the Historic Preservation Review Board and Historic Preservation Division in the renovation of this structure, and a two and a half story addition to that structure that would be new construction.

The areas of variance relief that we're

seeking are the minimum width for the side yard of the addition that would be built from property line to property line, the 31.8 feet that defines the width of that yard. The minimum width for an open court and nonconforming additions to an already nonconforming structure.

The minimum width of the open court is a nonconforming side yard as it exists now of four and a half feet. The addition would make that a nonconforming open court again, of four and a half feet.

The proposal, our testimony is that it does not have substantial adverse effect on any of the adjacent neighborhoods. Again, in the next case, we'll talk about the property to the south which JPI is also proposing to develop. That development is pushed toward the Pennsylvania Avenue side, leaving the rear adjacent portion of that property to 1229 E Street open for light and air.

The addition is also -- on 1229 E Street is also placed to the rear of the lot, but with the minimum -- also with the minimum side yard or rear yard, excuse me, to maintain light and air to the property on Pennsylvania Avenue. Its adjacencies to the east and west along E street, again, does not have

1 an adverse affect on light and air because it's set 2 back in the lot, because the narrow face of addition faces those lots. 3 4 Privacy is not an issue. In fact, we 5 would submit that the improvements improve privacy to the adjacent neighborhoods with privacy fences on both 6 sides and landscaping and the wall of the addition. 7 There are no windows of the neighbors facing into the 8 9 side. The windows that we're proposing for the 10 addition are fixed, translucent, but not transparent 11 windows, again not making a view issue of the privacy. 12 Visual intrusion, again, we went through a great deal of effort with Historic Preservation 13 14 Division staff and HPRB over the winter and spring to 15 addition that the and renovation did ensure specifically fit in with the historic character of 16 17 this district again, because this is of great concern 18 to the neighbors, to the Board and to OP. 19 Lot occupancy is less than 60 percent as 20 required in an R-4 District. It's 57 percent is the 21 proposal. 22 CHAIR MILLER: Was the trellis an issue at the HPRB review? 23 24 MR. ANTOINE: The trellis was actually a 25 specific issue and a specific request by Historic

Preservation Division staff and HPRB. Actually, our initial proposal did have a much stronger connection between the addition and the shotgun house and the concern was that the new addition again, really be set apart from the historic structure of the shotgun house. So it was a specific response to a specific request.

MS. BROWN: As a follow up to that, I believe you may not have the most current HPRB staff report in the record, so I wanted to provide that for you.

CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. If you were to fill in under the trellis as was one of the suggestions from Office of Planning, would that affect the light and air or privacy or any of the other elements.

MR. ANTOINE: It would depend on how we did it and that's why I'd like to suggest the perlins. As the trellis is proposed now, we have a series of a couple of beams with about 12 or 13 rafters on top of them. I'd propose this 60 percent coverage with perlins above that, basically a spacer or a nailer that's something like a two by two with an inch space in between them. There would probably be about 40 of them up there. I think that that would maintain

1 enough light and air to still not have an adverse 2 affect, but also get us what we need in terms of 3 making enough coverage to make a building connection, 4 a roofed connection. CHAIR MILLER: But does your plan, as it stands now create more light and air? 6 7 MR. ANTOINE: Yes, it does. 8 CHAIR MILLER: It does for your property. 9 Does it for the neighboring properties? 10 MR. ANTOINE: Well, because it is adjacent or within that four and a half feet of the side open 11 12 court, you can say that the more that that's closed then perhaps its casting more of a shadow, although 13 14 with the addition of a privacy fence on that side, 15 it's probably about the same height of the trellis anyway, so in responding to the privacy issue, it 16 17 probably does not affect the light and air. 18 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, and we haven't seen 19 the latest HPRB report that's just been submitted, so 20 we me may not have all the information, but is the 21 trellis, as you have presented it, more in character 22 and scale than would be the surrounding neighborhood 23 and the historic aspect of this property than would be 24 a more filled in covering? 25 MR. ANTOINE: Yes, it is and I think that

1	that was specifically HPRB and Historic Preservation
2	Division staff concern with the stronger connection,
3	a more built internal connection.
4	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Do my Board
5	Members have questions?
б	MR. MANN: A couple of questions. It
7	wasn't clear for me looking at the drawings that were
8	submitted. Is the trellis physically connected to
9	both of these structures or is it just kind of
10	abutting it?
11	MR. ANTOINE: It would be adjacent to them
12	and probably stabilized with some kind of support on
13	those structures.
14	MR. MANN: Stabilized, does that mean that
15	there would be a physical connection between the
16	buildings and the trellis?
17	MR. ANTOINE: There could be, yes. There
18	could be a physical attachment, yes.
19	MR. MANN: And is that from a legal
20	standpoint, would that physical connection be required
21	in order to control these?
22	MS. BROWN: Yes, it would be required.
23	MR. MANN: Okay, so there's minor gaps
24	that are shown in the plans, in fact, would probably
25	not exist.

1 MR. ANTOINE: Would be attached with some 2 kind of hanger. 3 MR. MANN: Okay, and this might 4 hairsplitting and I guess just kind of a procedural 5 question, but I was curious about the open court dimensions. You said it's four and a half feet, but 6 7 doesn't it actually narrow a little bit more down 8 towards the trellis area and wouldn't that be the open court dimension? 9 10 MR. ANTOINE: The 4.1? Is it 4.1 at the 11 other? I thought that that was a typographical error 12 in one of the reports, that it was actually four and 13 a half feet for the dimension of the side yard as it exists now. 14 15 MR. MANN: But not at its most narrow? 16 MR. ANTOINE: I thought it was. 17 the plat. 18 The difference appears to be MR. MANN: 19 probably no more than half a foot and I'm not certain 20 that really -- you have to be on the microphone if you 21 want to explain that. 22 MS. CHRISTENSEN: For the record, my name is Erin Christensen and I'm with Torti Gallas and 23 24 Partners, Architects as well. The new open court 25 would be four and a half feet at the -- at most of the

court and then where the trellis comes in, it would reduce to 4.1 feet right here.

MR. MANN: Okay, and I actually don't recall which was in which document now, but I just wanted to clarify that and make sure it was understand what the actual dimension was.

Thank you.

MS. BROWN: If there are no more questions, that concludes our direct presentation of our case.

CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Is there a representative from the ANC Commission here? No, okay, in which case then we'll turn to the Office of Planning.

MS. THOMAS: Good morning, Madam Chair, my name is Karen Thomas and I'm with the Office of Planning. I'm sitting in for Mr. Mordfin today. He was directly -- he wrote the OP Report. I would like to say that we have no objection to the development of the flat. However, our concern is with the trellis. We believe that it meets the special exception test on the whole in terms of light and air and privacy aspects of the 223. However, our main concern is with the definition of a structure and with respect to the trellis and its connection to the two buildings.

We consulted with the Zoning
Administrator's office and they also had some concerns
as to the interpretation of a trellis as a structure,
which would connect two main buildings. In our
report, we decided to recommend that the design be
amended to remove the trellis and either directly
connect it to the dwellings as one structure or attach
the two dwellings with the connection that meets the
definition of building under Section 199.1.
Thank you.
CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Thomas, I wanted to ask
you a few questions. I know this isn't your report.
MS. THOMAS: That's fine.
CHAIR MILLER: But perhaps you can answer
them.
I think that the Office of Planning made
a statement and I don't have it right exactly in front
of me, but to the effect that granting a 223 with
respect to this trellis would open the door for
multiple buildings on a lot being approved under 223.
And I'm wondering if you can elaborate on what type of
situation that Office of Planning anticipates that
would undermine the zoning plan and open this kind of
door for what kind of projects.
MS. THOMAS: We thought about people

having garages, separate garages and wanting to use it as, for instance, as a studio, for instance. We have seen cases coming before us like that, questions coming before us like that and then connecting it with some kind of a trellis structure and calling it one as part of the main house where it was clearly two separate structures having the garage and trying to convert the garage and using the house and just connecting it by a trellis and coming in under 223. We're concerned about the intent of that type of situation proliferating under 223.

MR. ETHERLY: I'm sorry, Madam Chair, I just wanted to follow up on that particular thought and once again recognizing that this is not Mrs. Thomas' report, but was there any discussion at the Office of Planning around what would be the -- at what point would you feel the trellis becomes substantial enough to be a more formalized connection between the two buildings?

MS. THOMAS: We wouldn't call it a trellis at that point. You know, we see a trellis as something, that -- you know plants and vines grow on. It doesn't signify for us sort of a permanent structure, something that seems permanent that really attaches to buildings. It's not like a sort of a

platform or a concrete structure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We would like that if two buildings are being connected, you know, there is some type of wall structure either connecting two buildings like how you would traditionally connect a building. But we're concerned about a trellis, seems sort of a temporary structure that can eventually go away and then there it is. You have two buildings on one lot.

MR. ETHERLY: So at this particular point, it's perhaps the Office of Planning's thinking that as long as there's a more formalized connection, one which perhaps takes on more, as you say, kind of a building type of feel, there's a wall, ceiling, there's some supports to it, as opposed to something that's perhaps a little more open air, a little more -- I don't want to say an architectural I think a trellis is a little more embellishment. substantial than that, but I think -- is that an appropriate characterization of the direction in which you would want to go? With that in mind, based on what you've heard from the Applicant, does that give you a greater sense of comfort that it's heading in the right direction or do you think there's still more that needs to be done with this trellis connection?

MS. THOMAS:

Yes, I'm agreeing with what

1	you're saying that something more substantial that
2	would meet the intent of the definition under 199.
3	The Applicant has stated that they would consider more
4	permanent like do you want to repeat what it is you
5	said?
6	MS. BROWN: A canvas covering or
7	plexiglass is what we've suggested if that's the
8	direction we actually need to take.
9	MS. THOMAS: Yes, we're not going to
10	dictate what exact form it should dictate, but
11	something more permanent than a trellis.
12	MR. ETHERLY: But I think just to put it
13	candidly, it sounds like what you're worried about is
14	you kind of said in your report, but just to
15	paraphrase, you don't want Applicant's coming in
16	trying to pass off and I'm definitely I don't think
17	we're anywhere near that situation here, but your
18	concern is you don't want the slippery slope to come
19	about where we have two buildings being passed off as
20	one by virtue of some basic communication between the
21	two of them, some basic connection between the two.
22	MS. THOMAS: That's correct. In our
23	opinion, we see a trellis as an embellishment.
24	MR. ETHERLY: Thank you. Thank you, Madam
25	Chair.

1	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, I have some other
2	questions. First of all, is your concern with the
3	trellis only when it's used as a connector?
4	MS. THOMAS: For two buildings?
5	CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
6	MS. THOMAS: Yes.
7	CHAIR MILLER: So for instance, if there
8	was an addition to a single family dwelling that had
9	a trellis over a deck, that wouldn't be a problem?
10	MS. THOMAS: No, a trellis over a deck
11	because the deck is counted toward lot occupancy by
12	itself.
13	CHAIR MILLER: It's not the question of
14	walls then or a covering in that case. Why don't we
15	have to have walls and a covering?
16	MS. THOMAS: The trellis in that instance
17	with the deck isn't doing anything. It's not
18	connecting another building. It's a structure. It
19	becomes an embellishment in that instance, if it's
20	over a deck or something. That's an embellishment.
21	CHAIR MILLER: So a deck is okay, but it
22	doesn't have walls under 191.1 like a building, but a
23	deck can be an addition with a trellis?
24	MS. THOMAS: A deck could be an addition
25	with a trellis, yes.

1	CHAIR MILLER: So they have here a patio
2	with a trellis, counting towards lot occupancy,
3	leading to another dwelling and your problem with that
4	in their counting the lot occupancy for the patio and
5	the trellis, so how is that so different from a deck
6	and a trellis?
7	MS. THOMAS: Is that a patio? Is it being
8	described as a patio?
9	MR. ANTOINE: As a porch, yes. As a
10	covered we don't need to use the word trellis.
11	It's a covered connection over a porch that is common
12	to both of these flat, or to the flat and the
13	addition.
14	As it's presented in the application, it's
15	a 190 square foot porch addition with that coverage.
16	MS. THOMAS: So are you saying that the
17	main structure that's connecting the two buildings is
18	a porch?
19	MR. ANTOINE: A floor and a roof and
20	beams. There are no walls on two of the sides. There
21	are certainly walls on two where the existing and the
22	addition are.
23	MR. ETHERLY: Would you just as a
24	piggyback on that, would you describe the proposed new
25	porch as something of a what's the word I'm looking

1 for? Does it to an extent try to harken back to the 2 porch of the original shotgun structure, so to speak? MR. ANTOINE: 3 It's the back porch versus 4 the front porch that is also restored on E Street. 5 MR. ETHERLY: I think one of the pieces that's perhaps unique about this that may take it out 6 of the realm of the slippery slope that I understand 7 the Office of Planning is concerned about is you do 8 9 have the very unique structure of the shotgun property 10 that you're dealing with and I think both the HPRB 11 documents that we have in the file now speak to the 12 architectural nature and character of the original property and the effort, the concern on the part of 13 14 HPRB to ensure that the addition is done in a way 15 that maintains the character and that's -is respectful of the original property. 16 I think to an extent the porch/trellis, 17 18 however we want to refer to it, but it might be more 19 appropriate to call it a porch. I think perhaps is a 20 very important piece of that milieu that might take it 21 out of the realm of the concern that OP rightfully has 22 which is is it just a communication to make two 23 buildings one for the purposes of getting the 223 as 24 opposed to another portion of the zoning regs.

MS. THOMAS: I would have to -- the Office

1 of Planning, we would still want to question the issue 2 of the porch. Me personally, I have no problem with 3 it. MR. ETHERLY: Understood. 4 5 MS. THOMAS: I have no problem with seeing that, but if that's how it's approached, but that's 6 7 something I would try to get a better feel from the 8 Office of Attorney General or the ZA. CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Thomas, did Office of 9 10 Planning look at past BZA decisions or court cases 11 with respect to the question of whether a trellis 12 cannot be a communicator with respect to a 233? MS. THOMAS: In our discussions I know --13 14 communication, whatever they call it, I know in our 15 discussions, we knew that the Board approved this in 16 the past, but I'm not clear as to how exactly it was 17 done or how it was approved, what was the specific 18 language. I don't know. I really can't say. 19 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, and another question I have, 223 uses the word "addition", "an addition to 20 21 a one family dwelling or flat." And I'm wondering are 22 you aware of that, is there a definition for addition 23 in the regulations that limit it in some way to walls on both sides? 24 25 I'm not aware right now. MS. THOMAS:

1	CHAIR MILLER: Or that the top needs to be
2	covered?
3	MS. THOMAS: I'm not fully aware right
4	now. I'll have to check.
5	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
6	MS. THOMAS: We specifically looked at
7	when I spoke to the Office of the Attorney General, we
8	focused on the definitions of building and structure.
9	CHAIR MILLER: Right.
10	MS. THOMAS: And when it came to
11	structure, hold on
12	(Pause.)
13	CHAIR MILLER: But 223 talks in terms of
14	addition.
15	MS. THOMAS: That's correct. This is why
16	we have any concern. This is why we have any
17	question, should this come under a different section
18	under 225.16. An addition means adding to an existing
19	structure, straight add-ons to an existing structure.
20	Now we're trying to connect two separate buildings, so
21	does this
22	CHAIR MILLER: Am I understanding
23	correctly that the other building hasn't been built
24	yet so it's not connecting to another building, it's
25	adding on to the building that's there by means of

1 this trellis going back and the whole shotgun manner 2 to another -- and adding then a filled-in dwelling. 3 So I mean the trellis does act as a connector in the 4 end, but it's not connecting to existing buildings. 5 Am I correct on that? MR. ANTOINE: It's connecting on one side 6 7 to the existing shotgun, to the back of the existing 8 shotgun. 9 CHAIR MILLER: Right, and then you're connecting another dwelling to that. Okay. Okay, I'm 10 11 sorry for all that time, but this is an important 12 issue. 13 Yes, I know. MS. THOMAS: 14 CHAIR MILLER: And I think that we are 15 probably -- we are going to taking until July 5th to decide this case in giving everyone an opportunity to 16 17 brief this issue further. 18 Just for the record, I did a little bit of 19 research and I want to bring to the attention what I looked at and one case is Application No. 11889, a BZA 20 21 decision in 1975 that dealt with a deck with a trellis 22 over it being attached to a single-family dwelling. And that BZA order found in a finding of facts that 23 24 the proposed addition and row dwelling are treated as

one structure in applying the pertinent requirements

of the zoning regulations.

And then the other case that I found of some significance was a Court of Appeals case, Tyco Gotto case, 423 A.2d 917 (1980), in which the Court of Appeals found a problem with the Board of Zoning Adjustment considering a communication of pipe underground connecting two buildings, but they didn't say anything about any communication above ground.

MS. THOMAS: Right. I think the definition here specifically states above ground, so I don't know how that one would apply.

CHAIR MILLER: Right. Well, anyway, I'm just saying from my very preliminary review of some law and I think the parties here can brief it fully, the only communication between buildings that I found that wasn't allowed was this kind and it is in the regulations. It says you can't count that like conduits or pipes underground. But I haven't found anything that says anything about a trellis or about why does it have to be a certain percentage filled in order to be allowed. So the parties can address that, I hope, in the next couple of weeks.

I have another question, adverse impact.

Would there be, in your opinion, a greater adverse impact on light, privacy, scale, character of the

1	neighborhood with a filled in addition as opposed to
2	the trellis proposal before us?
3	MS. THOMAS: From what I understand I
4	don't believe in our discussions we thought it would
5	cause any privacy issues or light and air issues.
6	CHAIR MILLER: What wouldn't, the trellis
7	proposal or the filled in?
8	MS. THOMAS: The filled in.
9	CHAIR MILLER: Which do you think would
10	have a lesser adverse impact?
11	MS. THOMAS: Well, the lesser would be
12	it's all airy, obviously.
13	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. Okay, I
14	don't have any other questions.
15	MR. ETHERLY: If I could, Madam Chair, let
16	me come back to HPRB for a moment because I think the
17	HPRB discussion was kind of a very helpful one and I
18	want to get a little bit of a sense and then perhaps
19	even touch on the Capitol Hill Restoration Society
20	submittal. With respect to HPRB and this can go to
21	either the architect or to Ms. Brown, was there a
22	sense that a larger connection or communication
23	between the two structures begins to, in some way,
24	impose or impact the original structure?
25	Could you speak a little bit to that

because I want to get a sense of what you feel from an architectural standpoint as opposed to standpoint your limitations are in terms of what you can do with that addition, that connection to the addition and not run afoul of HPRB's thinking. MR. ANTOINE: When we discussed a direct connection or а direct structured and closed connection between the two structures the feeling on both HPRB's part, the staff's part and on our part that that was less respectful of renovation of the shotgun house and that they should be -- that that connection should be something that was a different character than the built enclosed structure of the shotgun and the built enclosed structure of the new unit. MR. ETHERLY: Okay. And with respect to -- was there a presentation of any type to the Capitol Hill Restoration Society as part of your process? MR. ANTOINE: Yes, there was. And was there any pointed MR. ETHERLY: discussion at that meeting or at that presentation about the connection between the two? MR. ANTOINE: Similar discussion and we, in fact, had joint meetings with representatives of Preservation Subcommittee CHRS's and Historic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Preservation Division staff and discussed just those
2	issues.
3	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, that covers it, Madam
4	Chair. Thank you.
5	CHAIR MILLER: I have one more follow-up
б	question for Ms. Thomas.
7	Would you explain to me why you think 2516
8	would work in this case? Office of Planning has said
9	that this should be in their report, said that this
10	shouldn't be a 223, it should be a 2516.
11	MS. THOMAS: That addresses, it provides
12	a special exception relief for having two buildings on
13	one lot. On the face of it, I do believe that it
14	would meet those tests, much better than the issue of
15	the trellis. We just have concerns about the trellis,
16	that being used. To us, it's an embellishment, more
17	of an architectural embellishment than a structure as
18	defined.
19	So anything else that falls under that
20	would have to come under two buildings on one lot.
21	And we believe this is a more appropriate section to
22	address the development of the flat.
23	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
24	MS. THOMAS: With the shotgun house.
25	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Does the Applicant

1 have any questions for the Office of Planning? 2 MS. BROWN: I was going to comment and add 3 to the discussion on the trellis connection issue. 4 can do that now or I can do it in closing. CHAIR MILLER: Closing, yes, let's wait for closing which may be pretty soon. 6 7 MS. BROWN: Yes. MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, if I could, let 8 me also ask something I neglected to ask in kind of 9 10 that rundown of discussions at HPRB and at the Capitol 11 Hill Restoration Society. We are, of course, 12 receipt of Exhibit 22 which is the ANC-6B report on 13 this particular application. Was there discussion at 14 the ANC regarding the addition and the connection, in 15 particular, on the property? MR. ANTOINE: There was not as extensive 16 17 discussion. We certainly discussed how we were 18 structuring the addition and through the various 19 iterations of the plan, we did present to the ANC the 20 fully enclosed addition and the shared walls at an 21 earlier, I think, December meeting. That was not the 22 plan, but obviously it was voted on and unanimously 23 approved by the ANC with this structured addition, structured connection. 24 25 MR. ETHERLY: And you've alluded to it in the written submissions and you just referenced it and HPRB, of course, speaks to it somewhat, but it might be helpful and obviously, it probably could be an afternoon discussion to go through kind of the history of the iterations of the project as you've gotten from initial concept to where you are now, but could you perhaps speaks a little bit to where you started in terms of thinking about this addition and in particular, the connection, and where you kind of I think that might be helpful to give a ended up? little bit of context.

Once again, I understand where the Office of Planning is coming from and in particular as you look at a community like Capitol Hill, perhaps, the concern is that all of a sudden you have a new genre of carriage houses that might start popping up on the Hill that in some way are going to get done by going 223 through by virtue of just having some architectural embellishment connecting the two pieces and once again, I don't think that's where we are with this particular because of the case unique architectural history of the original structure on the lot. But I think it might be helpful just to give my colleagues and I a sense of where you started from in terms of a design for this originally and where you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are now.

MR. ANTOINE: Certainly, the design that we're looking at now is pretty much the third iteration, although it went through several kind of tweaks in the middle. The first was a larger kind of globbing on to the back of the shotgun house and perhaps even building over some of the back of the existing shotgun house. And again, I think everyone from HPRB, from Historic Preservation Division staff, CHRS, is really excited to see something happen with the shotgun house. The size and that direct enclosed condition space connection was an issue for all of those bodies December when we were talking about this structure.

So then we pulled it back. We did this structured covered connection to the addition as the second iteration. It was still a larger building, larger footprint, larger square footage and there were concerns about that size and obviously related to some of the privacy issues and light and air that we're dealing with here and so that was about the February version. In the March and then the April HPRB is what we're looking at now and there was audible sighs of relief in terms of what we'd come up with in terms of it's impact on the lot and the neighbor and the great

1 benefit of the renovation of the shotgun house that 2 has sat vacant and boarded up and is a privacy, a 3 safety and concern issue as it stands today and has 4 for years. 5 MR. ETHERLY: So initially, were looking at what might be defined or described as a 6 7 more traditional addition to the shotgun property. You're essentially just going to glob right on to it 8 9 and by all indications, most interested observers and parties were concerned about that from the standpoint 10 11 of one, light and air; but two, what kind of harm, if any, architecturally, aesthetically it does to the 12 existing original property. 13 14 MR. ANTOINE: Yes, t.hat. it. was 15 inappropriate for this exceptional and unique piece of architecture in the Capitol Hill Historic District. 16 17 MR. ETHERLY: Do you see this and this may 18 be a difficult question to answer because you probably 19 haven't necessarily done any type of survey on the 20 Hill, but do you see this particular property as a 21 frequent occurrence or based on your familiarity are 22 there other properties of this type on the Hill? 23 MR. ANTOINE: We have not done that 24 specific survey, but we've been reminded over and over 25 again by the people that are intimately familiar with the neighborhood, with CHRS and neighbors, that this is one of only two structures like this. believe, is on 8th Street on the other side of Pennsylvania that has already gone under a renovation and an addition to that structure. My opinion wouldn't set a precedent for shotgun house renovations, additions because there are none out there to be had. And once again, I think MR. ETHERLY: based on the record that we have, this house has been dated back to 1850s? MR. ANTOINE: Pre-1853 and that's all they know about it and again it's one of only two of that type of that era that still exists in the District. And just because it was MR. ETHERLY: referenced in the HPRB report it really has perhaps no zoning basis, but just out of curiosity and being an avid Discovery Channel watcher, there was agreement regarding some of the archeological comments that HPRB included in its report in terms of doing some addition, whether it's excavation work or just some archeological exploration before moving forward, should you receive approval? MR. ANTOINE: Yes, as part the conceptual approval, JPI proffered to do archeological

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	test pits on both this property and the property that
2	we'll talk about in the next case.
3	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent, thank you. Thank
4	you, Madam Chair.
5	MR. MANN: I have one minor question. How
6	is this unit going to be accessed? How will the
7	occupants access the unit?
8	MR. ANTOINE: The addition?
9	MR. MANN: Yes.
10	MR. ANTOINE: From the drive, the drive
11	that goes on the side and the side the conforming
12	side yard to the shotgun house ends in another porch
13	that's not covered to the front door pretty much at
14	the end of that drive.
15	MR. MANN: Okay.
16	MR. ANTOINE: It can also be accessed from
17	the covered porch in the front.
18	MR. MANN: By walking through
19	MR. ANTOINE: By another door.
20	MR. MANN: Right.
21	MR. ANTOINE: Right.
22	CHAIR MILLER: You probably just said
23	this, but I just want to make sure. Two dwellings,
24	you can access each of you can go from the back
25	dwelling to the front dwelling through the porch, it

1	provides access to both places?
2	MR. ANTOINE: Yes, it does.
3	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. I asked
4	this earlier, but just in case someone has come in, is
5	there anybody here from the ANC?
6	Okay, we do have a letter of unanimous
7	support from ANC-6B that was voted upon at a regularly
8	scheduled and properly noticed meeting with a quorum
9	present. So we can give great weight to that.
10	Okay, are there any parties or persons in
11	support of this application here to testify?
12	Not seeing any, are there any parties or
13	persons in opposition here to testify today?
14	So that brings us to closing remarks.
15	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair. To
16	get back to the issue of this connection, what I'd
17	like to do is briefly read the definition of building
18	under the zoning regulations. It is a structure
19	having a roof supported by columns or walls, so that
20	gets to your question of whether you need the walls in
21	order for it to be a structure. You do not. You need
22	just to have columns and a roof.
23	We believe that I'll finish th
24	definition and re-read it again. "A structure having
25	a roof supported by columns or walls for the shelter,

support or enclosure of persons, animals or chattel."

So if you have the porch that is covered by a trellis that has a roof and columns, that it encloses, it becomes a shelter for persons, that does meet the definition of building, so it is a legitimate connection. I think that the cases that you cited from 1975 would be persuasive support for that position as well.

CHAIR MILLER: Excuse me, I don't usually do this, but where are your columns?

MR. ANTOINE: There are four posts pretty much parallel to the sidewalls of the shotgun house carrying through. I think Erin can probably point to them. There. They're about 16 inch by 16 inch piers as we're conceiving them now. So they're substantial columns.

CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.

MS. BROWN: And I think to get to the Office of Planning's point about whether or not we're setting some sort of precedent by having -- by anyone using a trellis as a connection between an existing garage and a house, if it -- clearly, it would meet the -- under the Office of Planning's viewpoint the definition, if it's covered, it's done all the time and there are many examples of people connecting their

main house to the garage with more substantial structures than just perhaps the trellis or the connection that we're using in this case.

Again, more examples keep coming to mind as I'm sitting here. There's the one at 4000 Wisconsin Avenue, the McDonald's that connects the Channel 9 news building and there's a connection. It's more substantial. It's either a metal or a canvas connection, but it's there for a reason. It's to make sure that there are two buildings -- one building for zoning purposes.

There's another case, a BZA case that I can submit for the record that's upper Connecticut Avenue. It's a U-shaped building and again they used a canvas cover to make it one building for zoning purposes, a connection. And that was an appeal case and it was upheld for using the canvas, so I think -- but based on the other cases that we have submitted to the record that even the 51 percent or 60 also would suffice, but again, we will do what needs to be done in order for it to meet the definition of building and a legitimate connection under the zoning regulations.

Thank you. We believe that we do meet the test for a special exception relief under Section 223 and we would hope that you could grant this

1 application. Thank you very much. 2 CHAIR MILLER: Are there any 3 questions from the Board Members? 4 Okay, what we're going to do then is set 5 this for July 5th decision and Mr. Moy, could you give us a date in which any supplemental pleadings could be 6 filed for our consideration for our decision? 7 MR. MOY: Ms. Bailey, do you have a date? 8 I don't have my calendar with me. 9 10 June 27th. MS. BAILEY: Would that be 11 sufficient for you? 12 MS. BROWN: Yes, Madam Chair, that's 13 sufficient for the Applicant. CHAIR MILLER: What I'd like to suggest is 14 15 first of all that you -- you can do this in one of two forms. You could submit a proposed order if you like 16 17 or you could brief it, but I want to suggest that you 18 definitely address in either a proposed order or a 19 briefing is this issue about the trellis and the 2.0 connection. There are issues that Office of Planning 21 has raised. 22 In addition to that, in general, you seem to be addressing certain degrees of filling in and if 23 24 you could address that, whether that's established in 25 law anywhere, that it matters anywhere from an open deck to a trellis to the 50 percent filled, 60 percent filled, canvas, whatever distinctions you think there are or aren't should be addressed, and then make sure we do have all the calculations we need. It sounds like they're probably in here, but that if we're talking about the lot occupancy under the trellis, if it's in your paper it's great, if it isn't, take the time to supplement and put that in. Board Members have any other questions to address? Okay, I think that's it. You basically know what the issue is here. And I would say that if Office of Planning has any more that they want to say on the record on this issue that they're welcome to submit supplemental report by that date as well. June 27th, is that correct, Ms. Bailey? MS. BAILEY: June 27th, Madam Chair, for submissions. CHAIR MILLER: Right, that's really up to Office of Planning. We're not necessarily seeking a report, but we want to give you the opportunity if you would like to. MS. THOMAS: Okav. CHAIR MILLER: Okay, anything else on this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Thank you very much.
2	MS. BROWN: Madam Chair, thank you.
3	CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Bailey, you can call
4	the next case, whenever you're ready.
5	MS. BAILEY: Application No 17332,
6	Application of JPI Apartment Development LP, on behalf
7	of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR
8	Section 3103.2, for a variance from the lot occupancy
9	requirements under section 772, and a variance from
10	the residential recreation space requirements under
11	subsection 773.3, to allow the construction of a 47-
12	unit residential condominium in the Capital Hill
13	Historic District. It's also zoned C-2-A and it's
14	located as 1230 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E., Square
15	1019, Lot 55.
16	If I'm not mistaken, there was a request
17	for roof structure provisions, however, that has been
18	withdrawn, so that's no longer on the table.
19	That's it, Madam Chair.
20	CHAIR MILLER: Good morning. I want to
21	ask you to identify yourself for the record again,
22	since this is a different case.
23	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair. For
24	the record, my name is Carolyn Brown with the law firm
25	of Holland & Knight on behalf of the Applicant, JPI
•	

Apartment Development, LP.

With me here today is Mr. Greg Lamb and Mr. Carlos Bonner of JPI and the architect, Mr. Murphy Antoine and Erin Christensen from Torti Gallas.

Again, I believe you have our complete submission in the record for the pre-hearing statement and copies of the letters of support from the ANC and from the Capitol Hill Restoration Society. I believe that's Tab E in this pre-hearing submission. And again, we are not aware of any opposition in this case and again, we have overwhelming support from the community for this project.

We are appearing before you today to request two area variances, that increase the maximum permitted percentage of lot occupancy from 60 percent to 63 percent and a reduction in the required amount of residential recreation space from 20 percent to 8 percent.

As Ms. Bailey mentioned, we had originally requested roof structure relief. We had two different stair tower enclosures. We have now reduced that to one, so we no longer require that relief.

Additionally, since the time our prehearing statement was submitted two weeks ago, the design of the building has been slightly modified to

1 include a couple more projecting balconies out the 2 We had gotten it down to 62 percent, but this 3 maintains it at 63 percent lot occupancy. revised drawings here and also a revised plat that 4 5 shows this change. CHAIR MILLER: What did you say? Did it 6 7 come down to 62 percent or it's now back to 62 --8 MS. BROWN: We were at 63 percent. We had 9 hoped that we had reduced it to 62 percent during the HPRB review process, but we were unable to achieve 10 11 that. The drawings that were submitted to you show 62 12 percent, but we were unable to make that work. So 13 it's at 63 percent just like it has always been in the 14 initial request. So I'm sorry I even mentioned the 62 15 percent. 16 CHAIR MILLER: Okay. MS. BROWN: I confused for no good reason. 17 18 Again, this project represents an opportunity to 19 redevelop a long, under utilized site at a critical 20 location along Pennsylvania Avenue with a significant 21 residential project containing 44 units and 22 parking 22 spaces. 23 Again, this project has very exceptional 24 unique conditions inherent in the property.

Number one, it's a very unique shape, trapezoidal with

a jagged rear lot line. There is an existing historic rowhouse that contributes to the Capitol Hill Historic District that must be maintained. It's not centrally located on the site. It's off to one side, but not to the exact side edge, so we have to have an unusual building configuration around it.

There's no alley access to the rear of the property, so we have to get the parking in off the Pennsylvania Avenue almost through the building, so these unique and unusual conditions create a practical difficulty in meeting the strict application of the lot occupancy and residential recreation space provisions.

As the architect will describe in greater detail, because of the reduction in the building height at either end, due to historic preservation constraints, the footprint of the building bumped out just a little bit at the end to make sure that we have the space that we needed. It was also to create the most efficient interior configuration for the building, included the double loaded corridor, which is really crucial for any type of residential building.

Additionally, in order to create enough parking for this project and a viable number of

residential units, the amount of residential rec space provided as I mentioned is only 8 percent instead of the required 15. I misspoke earlier. It was 15 percent requirement.

As you will hear from the architect, there is ample opportunity for active and passive recreation in the neighborhood, however, by virtue of the Watkins recreational center which is one block to the north. It's a huge facility with basketball courts, baseball fields, swimming pool. It was quite a bit to offer, this particular residential development and there's also the passive opportunities for recreation along Pennsylvania Avenue's restaurants and retail establishments.

Our statement in support of the application, at pages 4 to 8, and the report of the Office of Planning, demonstrate very clearly how the three prong test for variance relief is met. If you have no preliminary questions, I would like to proceed with the testimony of our architect, Mr. Murphy Antoine.

Thank you.

CHAIR MILLER: I have a preliminary question, but the architect may address this, you know, as he does his presentation. But my basic

question is what would happen to the building if you came in at 60 percent as opposed to 63 percent that goes to the practical difficulty prong and I have a feeling that he'll address that, but I wanted to throw that out there so you do address it. Thank you. Certainly. MR. ANTOINE: Would you like

me to go ahead and answer that question now?

We would --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR MILLER: Whatever works for you. it works better in your presentation, that's fine. It's just a question I'd like you to answer.

MR. ANTOINE: For the record, my name is Murphy Antoine. Good morning, Madam Chair, Members of the Board. I am an architect and planner with Torti Gallas representing JPI as the contract purchaser for the property at 1230 Pennsylvania.

The proposal is for new construction of 43 condominium units and the renovation of one existing rowhouse at the exact address of 1230 Pennsylvania. lots actually would go from 1214 to The 1232 Pennsylvania and the new construction building wraps around that existing rowhouse at 1230 that would be Twenty-two parking spaces for those 44 renovated. units that are created with the new construction and the renovation. A four-story building with a basement

2 basement, except for the area that the -- that we go 3 through the building to get to the back to get to the 4 parking. 5 Again, we're looking for variance relief in the required residential recreation space and in 6 7 the lot occupancy. The shape and size of the block, again, was referred to as it has a jagged edge in the 8 back and with the diagonal, gives us a unique shape. 9 10 It also is unique in its size in that it's not -- it's 11 larger than a single lot, but it's not quite enough of 12 a block and the -- really, the existence of the 13 contributing rowhouse at 1230 in the middle of the 14 block requiring us to wrap any new structure around 15 that and renovate contributes to the exceptional and unique character of the site. 16 17 CHAIR MILLER: Can I interrupt you for one 18 second? 19 MR. ANTOINE: Certainly. 20 CHAIR MILLER: What do you mean it's 21 larger than a single lot, is that what you said? 22 It's larger than a single MR. ANTOINE: 23 rowhouse lot which is sort of the typical subdivision 24 along Pennsylvania avenue and in back along the E

at its center, three stories at the sides with the

Street side. So it's not as straight forward as just

25

renovate that rowhouse, look at that rowhouse, that 16 foot slice. It's 187-foot wide lot which is a larger lot to configure, but again, it's not access from the alley, it's not as big as an entire block or half a block. It has these exceptional characteristics in its access in the existing structure that makes it unique.

CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Okay.

MR. ANTOINE: The practical difficulties, I'll talk a little bit about the lot occupancy. Again, having to wrap around that existing rowhouse limits our footprint, limits what we can do in terms The built structure. specific of the HPRB requirements in terms of transitioning the building at its ends adjacent to 1214 and adjacent to 1232 meant we needed to bring that part of the structure down to three stories and that is more than anything what really pushed the lot occupancy up to the 63 percent because we lost that buildable square footage in the so in the CHC overlay, in the CHC build down. District where we're given a .5 FAR bonus because of the HPRB demands, we can only take advantage of about -- we're up to 2.69 FAR and that is with the 63 percent, 63 percent lot occupancy. So if we pushed it to 60 percent, we'd lose units. We'd lose square

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 footage. We're already not able to take advantage of the full CHC 3.0 FAR and so we would be constrained 2 3 even further. CHAIR MILLER: Do you know how many units 4 5

you would have lost? How much square footage?

MR. ANTOINE: We lost one or two units just looking at the straight numbers.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MR. ANTOINE: Again, our testimony is that there's no detriment to the public good of the zoning in the recreation space. There's an entire block -one block away at E Street, the Watkins Recreation Again, with the ball fields, the swimming pool, this is an entire block of recreational space, pretty much around the corner from the new proposal and then all of the passive recreation, retail activities along Pennsylvania Avenue, along Barracks Row that again would be proximate and available to the new proposed building.

Again, the lot occupancy from 60 percent to 63 percent, we feel it's a modest deviation and actually contributes more to the light, the provision of light and air for those adjacent units, so again, we were forced to build out a little bit in footprint to get to 63 percent to make up for some of those

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	reductions in carving out at 1232 and 1214 and again,
2	our testimony is that that actually increases light
3	and air and open space for those adjacent units. So
4	it's a benefit that gets traded off for the lot
5	occupancy.
6	MS. BROWN: Madam Chair, that concludes
7	our direct case in chief and we'd be happy to answer
8	any questions that you may have.
9	MR. MANN: You mentioned at the beginning
10	of the presentation that a double loaded corridor is
11	crucial. Is that crucial from an engineering and
12	architecture standpoint or from an economic
13	standpoint?
14	MS. BROWN: I would guess it's better for
15	the architect to answer that question and the
16	Applicant, but I would assume it's both.
17	MR. MANN: Okay.
18	MR. ANTOINE: All of the above, certainly
19	trying to do a single loaded corridor is inefficient
20	structurally. It's inefficient use of the space and
21	that definitely has impacts on the viability of the
22	project for the Applicant.
23	MR. MANN: Okay. Do I understand
24	correctly that everything that's cross hatched on your
25	exhibit A-3.4 is the residential rec space?

1	MR. ANTOINE: That's correct.
2	MR. MANN: And in figure 2, is some
3	residential rec space on I don't know if that's
4	oriented north-south, but on the lefthand side, what
5	is the dimension of that roof deck going from left to
6	right?
7	MR. ANTOINE: From the east to west
8	dimension on the western edge is 24 or 25 feet wide
9	and on the eastern edge is 32.
10	MR. MANN: It says 24 feet wide. Ms.
11	Brown, do you know if there's any requirement for a
12	minimum dimension for a roof deck to be considered as
13	residential rec?
14	MS. BROWN: I am not aware of any minimum
15	dimension.
16	MR. MANN: Well, I think actually Section
17	773.7, unless I'm misinterpreting the presentation or
18	the regulation, it says that if any portion of a roof
19	is to be used for residential recreation space, the
20	roof area shall have no dimension less than 25 feet.
21	MS. BROWN: I'm getting assistance from
22	Mr. Glasgow. He's going to answer it, yes.
23	Yes, we see Section 773.7.
24	MR. MANN: Okay, I mean I want to make
25	sure that I'm not misinterpreting this. It does say

1	25 feet and the dimension of this says 24 feet,
2	correct?
3	MR. ANTOINE: Correct.
4	MR. MANN: So do I see a disconnect here?
5	MR. ANTOINE: Yes, you do. We could make
6	that deck 25 feet wide on the western portion of the
7	unit.
8	MR. MANN: So the plans would be amended
9	to make that a 25 foot dimension and then it's
10	possible, although I'm not certain that you might end
11	up with the same problem on the opposite side where
12	there's a slight indentation. The full dimension of
13	the deck of 30.5 feet, but it's unclear whether or not
14	the 25-foot dimension will be met where the
15	indentation occurs.
16	MR. ANTOINE: Correct, the indentation, I
17	believe is 6 to 8 feet, so it would probably have an
18	impact at the 8 feet.
19	MR. MANN: Okay, so that portion may
20	require some adjustment to the amount of relief sought
21	or would you be able to adjust that so that it's a
22	minimum dimension of 25 feet?
23	MR. ANTOINE: We'd have to go back and
24	take a look at that. I can't say off the top of my
25	head.

1	We can't go over the rowhouse certainly to
2	the west.
3	MR. MANN: Right.
4	MR. ANTOINE: And the question is could we
5	go closer to the property line on the east without
6	impacting our HPRB approved elevations which have some
7	sensitivity, the proportions there.
8	MR. MANN: But the one foot on the other
9	side is not as big an issue as this we need to take
10	a look at this one.
11	MR. ANTOINE: Okay.
12	MS. BROWN: I think what we're saying is
13	perhaps the percentage will change, but the area of
14	relief stays the same, so we do not need any
15	additional relief, it's just the percentage.
16	MR. MANN: I'm having a little trouble
17	processing that.
18	MR. ANTOINE: If we use on the eastern
19	side, if we get rid of that portion of roof deck that
20	is less than 25 feet for the 4 foot depth that it
21	doesn't comply, then we might be whereas now we're
22	providing 8.47 percent res rec space, it might go down
23	to 8.35.
24	MR. MANN: But that's just are you just
25	talking about the side with the indentation?

1	MR. ANTOINE: Yes.
2	MR. MANN: Okay, the other side would
3	still require you to get to 25 foot dimension.
4	MR. ANTOINE: Yes.
5	MR. MANN: I see.
6	CHAIR MILLER: Isn't your other option to
7	ask for a variance from the 25 feet requirement?
8	MR. ANTOINE: Yes.
9	CHAIR MILLER: I mean if you think that
10	it's suitable for residential rec space?
11	MS. BROWN: Yes, and I think that's
12	probably the option we would prefer to just reduce the
13	overall percentage to a lowered number as opposed to
14	maybe reconfiguring it, but if we need to reconfigure
15	it, we will.
16	MR. ANTOINE: And to reduce the minimum
17	dimension from 25 to 24 for relief from that.
18	CHAIR MILLER: But I'm suggesting that you
19	might need another variance from the 25 foot
20	requirement, not just from the percentage required.
21	MS. BROWN: Well, I think what would end
22	up happening is that area would not count toward
23	residential recreation space. So instead of giving 8
24	percent, it wouldn't count we'd provide it, but our
25	number might be reduced to 7 or 6 percent. I don't

know what the calculation would be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GLASGOW: Ms. Miller, we've done that before. We just said that if it's reasonable, provide 20 or 24 feet rather than even ask for the variance for that. We just said we won't even count it as residential recreation space. The space is there, we're going to build the project in accordance with the plans approved by the Board which is a requirement in your regulations, so what's shown on there -- we won't even count it as residential recreation space. Therefore, you don't get hung up in all these variances with the 24 feet width, rather than 25 feet, when you're providing something reasonable and can be used.

CHAIR MILLER: I guess my experience has been in cases where we've just given variances from the 25 feet requirement. Are you going to use it for residential rec space in any event?

MR. ANTOINE: Madam Chair, my recollection is that when we've experienced cases like this before, although it's not been counted toward recreation space in our deliberations, we've taken into account that there's this additional space that can be used as residential recreation space, even though it doesn't meet the restrict requirements.

1 So just as though we take into 2 consideration the other of recreational sort 3 alternatives, we include this in our thought process 4 as whether or not there are other alternative 5 residential recreation spaces available. CHAIR MILLER: I think we've probably done 6 7 it both ways, which must be the situation. Does Office of Attorney General have an 8 9 opinion on this? Madam Chair, I do recall a 10 MS. GLAZER: case. I'm not sure which one where there was a change 11 12 in the percentage of the reduction and I don't see a 13 legal problem with that, however, Ι 14 application would have to be amended to reflect that 15 change and the calculations would have to be redone. MS. BROWN: We can probably calculate you 16 17 that for you right now while we go through other 18 questions and we can get the exact percentage for you. 19 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, I mean if we go 20 through route, I just would want to be assured also 21 that that space is going to be used. 22 MS. BROWN: It would have to be if these plans are approved. Obviously, it has to be built as 23 this would -- we would have to comply with what 24 25 drawings were approved.

1 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, so we really may have 2 the option of going either way and it may not matter 3 which way we go, so okay. MR. BONNER: Certainly, it's an amenity to 4 5 the building and it's going to help with the sales. CHAIR MILLER: Are there other questions 6 7 from my Board Members? I just want to see if you can fill out 8 this practical difficulty just a little bit more. And 9 10 I know there is one here, but basically I think on 11 page 7 you say that adhering to the 60 percent lot 12 occupancy requirement would require the Applicant to add a floor to the two end bays with the HPRB has 13 14 specifically rejected. It sounds like that's one 15 which maybe you can elaborate on if you could and also you also said you would lose units. I don't know if 16 17 these two are related or what, but if you could just 18 address it a little more. 19 MR. ANTOINE: They're related in that if 20 we take the 2.69 or 2.7 FAR which again is less, 21 significantly less than the allowable as the target 22 needed to make this a practical project, then we need 23 to make that square footage up somewhere. 24 The HPRB concern with building up to four 25 stories on the ends where you're adjacent to a 2.5

story townhouse is what's prohibiting us from building in that -- building in that air space which pushed our footprint out, again, given the 2.69, 2.7 FAR target to make this a practical project. We had to make that square footage up somewhere. It pushed the footprint It pushed some of these projecting bays that Carolyn referred to earlier out. CHAIR MILLER: So if you push up, you don't increase the lot occupancy, but if you go out, you do? MR. ANTOINE: Correct. CHAIR MILLER: And you can't go because of the HPRB constraints? MR. ANTOINE: That's correct. MS. BROWN: Madam Chair, I would refer you to Exhibit or Tab D in our prehearing statement which has the February HPRB staff report and the very first recommendation that they make in their -- I quess it's on page 3 of their report is consistent height along Pennsylvania Avenue is incompatible with the character of the Historic District and it was to exactly do this, reduce the height. So it is there as their official recommendation from February. MR. ANTOINE: And again, the existing rowhouse in the footprint of that and the unique

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

condition that creates, if that was not there, if that had been allowed to go into demolition by neglect as the other row houses on this lot had, then we could get a 60 percent occupancy building on that lot, going up and not building up over these ends, but because of this special condition of needing to wrap around that existing footprint and not being able to build above that, is another condition on this site that is exceptional.

CHAIR MILLER: Good. Okay, and why don't you do the same thing with the residential rec space which is 20 percent down to 8 percent. I mean I guess -- if you had to do 20 percent, what would happen?

MR. ANTOINE: Again, you wouldn't have the building. You wouldn't be able to park the building in the way because of the access that we have to access from Pennsylvania. We need to go through the building to get to parking in the back and the 20 percent of that gross floor area is basically half of the site if all of that was exterior and again, you don't get a building that would cover half of this site. There's just not a residential building configuration that comes from that kind of footprint.

CHAIR MILLER: How much is the residential rec -- what percentage of the residential rec space is

1	outside?
2	MR. ANTOINE: Of what we're providing?
3	CHAIR MILLER: Of what you're providing.
4	MR. ANTOINE: Of what we're providing, 90
5	percent is outside.
6	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, so you're meeting the
7	outside requirement, okay.
8	Is there a representative from the ANC
9	here today? Okay, not seeing one, then I think do
10	my Board Members have any other questions at this
11	point?
12	MR. MANN: Remind me again what the
13	parking requirement is for the building?
14	MR. ANTOINE: The parking requirement is
15	one space per two units, so at the 44 units, which
16	includes the renovated townhouse, we're providing 22
17	spaces, one space per two units.
18	MR. MANN: So you're meeting the minimum
19	requirement.
20	MR. ANTOINE: Yes.
21	MR. MANN: So it wouldn't be possible then
22	to say without relief taking parking spaces and
23	converting that to residential rec space?
24	MR. ANTOINE: Correct.
25	MR. MANN: Okay.

1 CHAIR MILLER: I think we can go to the 2 Office of Planning now. 3 MS. THOMAS: Good morning, Madam Chair. 4 For the record again, I'm Karen Thomas for the Office 5 of Planning. The Office of Planning concurs with the the 6 Applicant's statement regarding property's 7 uniqueness, unique features which we believe creates a practical difficulty meeting the zoning requirements 8 including the lot occupancy and recreational space 9 10 requirements. 11 We concluded, therefore, that the variance 12 has met the required tests and that it could be 13 granted without substantial detriment to the public 14 good and without substantially impairing the intent 15 and integrity of the zone plan and therefore we recommended approval of increasing the lot occupancy 16 17 and a decrease in the minimum residential recreation 18 space. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Do my Board 21 Members have any questions? 22 I guess we don't have any questions for 23 you on this one. Does the Applicant have any questions for 24 25 Office of Planning?

1	MS. BROWN: No, Madam Chair.
2	CHAIR MILLER: And you have a copy of
3	Office of Planning's report?
4	MS. BROWN: Yes, we do and we agree with
5	it.
6	CHAIR MILLER: All right.
7	MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, if I could, I
8	apologize for not jumping in. I wanted to just ask a
9	very brief question of the Office of Planning. At
10	page of your report, Ms. Thomas, it was referenced
11	that there was a telephone conversation with the
12	Department of Transportation regarding the proposed
13	application. And you noted that the Department of
14	Transportation did not have any objection to the
15	application.
16	Do you recall if there was any discussion
17	about and I'm looking for my reference, regarding a
18	vehicular access to Pennsylvania Avenue, that was
19	indeed the
20	MS. THOMAS: I believe that was in the
21	nature of the discussion with respect to the curb cut
22	off of Pennsylvania Avenue. And since the Applicant
23	couldn't provide any, there's no alley access, that
24	wasn't a problem.
25	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, thank you. Thank you,

1	Madam Chair.
2	CHAIR MILLER: Are there parties or
3	persons in support who wish to testify on this
4	application here today?
5	Not seeing any, are there any persons who
6	want to testify in opposition to the application?
7	Okay, then we're ready for closing
8	remarks.
9	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair. We
10	have taken this time to recalculate the percentage of
11	residential recreation space being provided on site
12	and it is now 5.6 percent instead of 8 percent. We
13	believe through our testimony and evidence of record,
14	we have demonstrated that we meet the three prong test
15	for variance relief and we would ask for a decision
16	today, if you believe it's warranted and hopefully a
17	summary order.
18	Thank you very much.
19	CHAIR MILLER: I have one follow-up
20	question.
21	MS. BROWN: Yes ma'am.
22	CHAIR MILLER: Why is it preferable to ask
23	for a 5.6 variance down to 5.6 instead of another
24	variance from the 25 feet? Is it preferable to you?
25	MS. BROWN: It is preferable to us

1	CHAIR MILLER: Why is that?
2	MS. BROWN: And partly for notice reasons.
3	If we were to require a variance from this Section
4	773.4 potentially, we would need to readvertise.
5	(Pause.)
6	And Madam Chair, if there were no notice
7	issue, we're indifferent.
8	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, so basically the
9	provision that was cited in the notice was for a
10	percentage decrease. It wasn't from the deviation
11	from the 25 feet regulation. Okay, thank you.
12	(Pause.)
13	MR. MANN: Madam Chair, if I may, while
14	we're kind of getting our ducks in a row, I just
15	wanted to for purposes of ensuring that the record is
16	full, Staff has suggested that it would be
17	appropriate, perhaps, to ensure that we have OP's
18	position on the record with respect to the 5.6
19	percentage as still being within OP's comfort ground.
20	MS. THOMAS: Yes, I don't believe we would
21	have any objection to that.
22	MR. MANN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank
23	you, Ms. Thomas.
24	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Etherly. I
25	think we're prepared to deliberate on this case at

this point then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And why don't we deliberate under motion.

MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, it would be my motion to approve Application 17332 of JPI Apartment Development LP on behalf of -- where's my appropriate sheetwork here -- thank you very much -- on behalf of Larry D. and Carol K. Quillian, pursuant to 11 DCMR 3103.2, for a variance from the lot occupancy requirements under section 772, and a variance from the residential recreation space requirements under subsection 773.3, to allow the construction of a 47unit residential condominium at the premises 1230 Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E. and I would invite a second.

CHAIR MILLER: Second.

Thank you very much, Madam MR. ETHERLY: think the record has been more than Chair. adequately and satisfactorily filled out and completed with respect to both of the variance tests. Clearly, both the ANC, Office of Planning support has been consistent in this discussed regard as we've previously with respect to some of the particular aspects of the subject property, in particular, its irregular and as is referred in the Applicant's submittal, jagged rear lot line clearly creates an exceptional condition which contributes to a number of

considerations that impact both lot occupancy concerns and residential rec space requirements.

If I could, I'll deal with the residential rec space requirements first, because I think that's a fairly straight forward discussion as is evidenced by the record and we'll note that with the 4100 square feet of residential rec space approximately -- 90 percent of which is outdoors or thereabouts, there clearly is a substantial amount of options still contained within the subject property and the project as proposed for residents. And then as has been alluded to, a number of options abound with respect to -- in close proximity to the subject property.

As was discussed, with regard to some of the HPRB considerations and concerns, we have a fairly unique and very particular streetscape on Pennsylvania Avenue that the Applicant has done very well to remain consistent to with respect to the frontage on Pennsylvania Avenue and some of the height issues as have been discussed.

Clearly, I think, we are looking at some very similar considerations with regard to lot occupancy, in terms of the Applicant's efforts to develop, once again, a streetscape that is consistent

with the existing frontage along Pennsylvania Avenue, but also a project that internally is consistent and works internally in terms of the building core.

With respect to the issue of substantial detriment to the public good and the provision of variance relief, without impairing the intent and purpose and integrity of the zone plan, I think clearly here we have a project that goes to great lengths to respect Pennsylvania Avenue, the existing residential streetscape, but while at the same time bringing some much needed and continued development to Pennsylvania Avenue.

I'll pause there, Madam Chair, and encourage others to speak to the application, but I'm very pleased to support the application.

CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Etherly.

I just want to add a little bit to what you said.

Basically, this is one of those situations where the developer had some real constraints with respect to trying to develop around an existing historic dwelling and in this case the developer is developing a wraparound rowhouse and we actually heard the specific constraint -- one of the constraints is that the developer would have been able to build up were it not for protecting the historic character of the property

and then in which case to make up for FAR, the developer had to add the units on the end and that brought the lot occupancy over by three percent which is a modest deviation.

And then with respect to the residential recreation requirements, I think that the property was very limited with respect to location because of the jagged configuration and also the whole wraparound design and there was very limited space and they are using fully the space that they could use without losing so many units that they probably couldn't have a residential building there. But we didn't really have to go that far.

Also, there clearly was a practical difficulty in providing 20 percent recreation space and they're very near -- there's a great recreation center, the Watkins Recreation Center very near the property which has basketball courts, football fields, baseball fields, softball fields, volleyball courts, swimming pool, playground and a large multipurpose room.

So I think there's no problem with detriment to the public by not providing more recreation space.

Are there any other comments anybody?

2.0

1	MR. MANN: I have no additional comments.
2	I agree with you and Mr. Etherly.
3	MR. ETHERLY: I'll also note for the
4	record, of course, Madam Chair, as was indicated in
5	the presentation of the Applicant, that ANC-6B was
6	also in unanimous support of the application as was
7	the Capitol Hill Restoration Society and even though
8	the letter was not submitted directly for our record,
9	but rather to HPRB, in terms of their proceedings,
10	Ward 6 Council Member Sharon Ambrose was also in very
11	strong support of the application as well. So there's
12	a very clear and unbroken line of community support
13	for this development project as well.
14	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. If there are no
15	further comments, then all those in favor say aye.
16	(Ayes.)
17	All those opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	All those abstaining?
20	(No response.)
21	Would you like to call the vote, Ms.
22	Bailey?
23	MS. BAILEY: The vote is recorded as 3-0-2
24	to approve the application. Mr. Etherly made the
25	motion. Ms. Miller seconded. Mr. Mann is in

1	agreement. Mr. Griffis, nor any Zoning Commission
2	member is present today.
3	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair.
4	Again, if a summary order is appropriate, we'd
5	appreciate it. Thank you.
6	CHAIR MILLER: You would like a summary
7	order?
8	MS. BROWN: Yes, please.
9	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, then in which case do
10	my colleagues have any
11	MR. MANN: No objection, Madam Chair.
12	MR. ETHERLY: No objection.
13	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, then by consensus,
14	we'll waive our rules for issuing a final decision and
15	issue a summary order in this case.
16	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair and
17	Board Members.
18	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. Ms. Bailey, are
19	there any other cases for this morning?
20	MS. BAILEY: That's it for the morning
21	session, Madam Chair.
22	CHAIR MILLER: Okay, well, thank you very
23	much. This hearing is adjourned.
24	(Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m., the hearing was
25	recessed, to reconvene at 1:20 p.m.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

2 (1:20 p.m.)

CHAIR MILLER: This hearing will please come to order.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Ruthanne Miller. I'm the Vice Chair of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. Our distinguished chair, Mr. Griffis, is unable to be with us today, so I'll be presiding over these hearings with my distinguished colleague, Mr. Etherly, to my right, who is a Mayoral appointee; and with Mr. John Mann, to my left, who is an NCPC representative.

Also joining us today is Cliff Moy, from the Office of Zoning; Mary Nagelhout from Office of Attorney General; and Beverley Bailey from Office of Zoning.

Copies of today's agenda are available to you and are located to my left in the wall bin near the door. Please be aware that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room.

When presenting information to the Board, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. When you are

finished speaking, please turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

All persons planning to testify, either in favor or in opposition are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located to my left on the table near the door and on the witness tables. Upon coming forward to speak to the Board, please give both cards to the court reporter sitting to my right.

The order οf procedure for exceptions in variances is, one, statement witnesses of the Applicant; two, Government reports including Office of Planning, Department of Public Works, Department of Transportation, etcetera; three, report of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission; four, parties or persons in support; five, parties or persons in opposition; six, closing remarks by the Applicant.

Pursuant to Sections 3117.4 and 3117.5, the following time constraints will be maintained. The Applicant, Appellant, persons and parties, except an ANC in support, including witnesses, 60 minutes collectively; Appellees, persons and parties, except an ANC in opposition including witnesses, 60 minutes collectively. Individuals, three minutes. These time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

constraints do not include cross examination and/or questions from the Board.

Cross examination of witnesses is permitted by the Applicant or parties. The ANC within which the property is located is automatically a party in a special exception or variance case.

Nothing prohibits the Board from placing reasonable restrictions on cross examination including time limits and limitations on the scope of cross examination.

The record will be closed at the conclusion of each case except for any materials specifically requested by the Board.

The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when the persons must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning. After the record is closed, no other information will be accepted by the Board.

The Sunshine Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public. The Board may, consistent with its rules of procedures and the Sunshine Act, enter executive session during or after the public hearing on a case for purposes of reviewing the record or deliberating on the case.

The decision of the Board in these contested cases must be based exclusively on public record. To avoid any appearance to contrary, the Board requests that persons present not engage the members of the Board in conversation. Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so not to disrupt these proceedings. The Board will make every effort conclude the public hearing as near as possible to 6 If the afternoon cases are not completed at 6 p.m., the Board will assess whether it can complete the pending case or cases remaining on the agenda. At this time, the Board will now consider any preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those which relate to whether a case will or should be heard today such as requests for postponement, continuance or withdrawal or whether proper adequate notice of the hearing has been given. If you are not prepared to go forward with a case today or if you believe that the Board should not proceed, now is the time to raise such a matter. staff Does the have any preliminary matters, Ms. Bailey? MS. BAILEY: No, Madam Chair, none. We have none.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIR MILLER: If not, let us proceed with
2	the agenda.
3	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairperson, shall I
4	proceed?
5	CHAIR MILLER: Is this a preliminary
6	matter?
7	MR. QUIN: I was going to take a
8	preliminary matter, yes.
9	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Let me say this,
10	there's only one case on the agenda, so I think we
11	ought to call the case and you can address the
12	preliminary matter.
13	MR. QUIN: Fine.
14	CHAIR MILLER: Let me first say would all
15	individuals wishing to testify today, please rise to
16	take the oath.
17	Ms. Bailey, would you administer the oath?
18	MS. BAILEY: Please raise your right hand.
19	Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you
20	will be giving today will be the truth, the whole
21	truth and nothing but the truth?
22	(THE WITNESSES WERE SWORN.)
23	CHAIR MILLER: Would you identify yourself
24	for the record, please?
25	MR. QUIN: Thank you, Madam Chairperson,

Members of the Board. My name is Whayne Quin with Carolyn Brown of Holland and Knight. We represent the Protestant Episcopal Cathedral Foundation on behalf of St. Alban's today in this case.

As you are probably aware, the school has been negotiating over a period of time with the ANC-3C and other organizations to reach a usage agreement. We've met many times or to state it better, they've met many, many times, but they're yet there yet and we are very hopeful that an agreement will be reached. So in an effort to make use of this time, what we would like to do with the concurrence of the ANC is to proceed with our case in chief, questions that would come up by the Board, anyone else, any other party and then we have perhaps a couple of witnesses that would appear in support and get as far into the case as possible today and then request a continuance while we finish negotiating this agreement which, as I said, we're very hopeful of successfully concluding and so maybe it could be continued until next month.

We have talked to Mr. Nero about this just briefly.

CHAIR MILLER: Did Mr. Nero indicate that there was a time next month available?

MR. QUIN: He said that possibly on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26th of July, but I'm hopeful that this whole process shortens any further hearing, so it would be hopefully fairly brief.

MS. MACKWOOD: Madam Chair, Nancy Mackwood for ANC-3C. I would support what Mr. Quin is suggesting with a slight change.

We would support having the Applicant present their case in chief. We would certainly support anyone who has come down today to offer testimony in support, that they be allowed to speak out of turn so they don't have to return, but we would prefer, strongly prefer that no additional testimony after that, no government witnesses, the ANC, that no one else testify.

I think we are close, I'm optimistic that we are close to a usage and a parking agreement, that will be relevant to these proceedings and if we are successful, the ANC will undoubtedly ratify that agreement and our position on the special exception application could well change.

So the prospect that we're holding out is that this could be an uncontested hearing, whereas right now, it's a contested hearing. So while I greatly respect your schedule, I would strongly hope that the Board would agree to limiting today's hearing

1	to the case in chief and the supporting witnesses.
2	CHAIR MILLER: I have a few questions. Do
3	you intend to cross examine today?
4	MS. MACKWOOD: Yes.
5	CHAIR MILLER: So it would still be
6	contested in that sense, am I correct?
7	MS. MACKWOOD: Well, unless you're willing
8	to keep the record open beyond today because we have
9	no guarantees that we will have an agreement, so I
10	feel I have to cross examine witnesses so that we will
11	have a record.
12	But that doesn't change the fact that I'm
13	very hopeful that notwithstanding that, that we can
14	come back here at a later date and indicate our
15	support for this application on the basis of a usage
16	and a parking agreement.
17	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairperson, if the Board
18	would wish, we can always bring our witnesses back
19	because they have to come back for rebuttal anyway, if
20	there were a rebuttal, so it's up to the Board how you
21	proceed.
22	CHAIR MILLER: And Ms. Mackwood, why
23	shouldn't we hear from the government today?
24	MS. MACKWOOD: I'm suggesting that you not
25	hear from the government.

1 CHAIR MILLER: Why is that and how will 2 your negotiations affect the government's testimony? 3 MS. MACKWOOD: Well, Ι think 4 government, I don't want to speak for the Office of 5 Planning, but I think that there are aspects to their report that could well change if there isn't a usage 6 7 agreement and a parking agreement. The Department of Transportation, for example, might need to make a 8 statement and contribute to the Office of Planning's 9 10 report and I believe that the Office of Planning is 11 supportive of the postponement, concluding the case 12 today with the case in chief. CHAIR MILLER: Is there anything else you 13 14 want to tell us before we deliberate on this? 15 Okay, I'll start the deliberation then. We're faced with a situation where we have an entire 16 17 afternoon devoted to this case and my looking at the calendar in July, we don't have that. And then we go 18 19 into recess in August. 20 And I'm not sure what the next date is. 21 understand your situation, although I 22 understand exactly the nexus of with not going forward 23 This is what I want to propose. I think we today. 24 should hear the case, just like you're going to cross

examine today and then we will leave the record open.

We will not make a decision until September at the earliest and during that time period, if you want to negotiate an agreement and things change, you'll have the opportunity to submit to that effect whether ANC changes their position from opposed to support, whether it's you jointly submit conditions, whether something changes, fine.

This is what I'm proposing. My Board Members and you let me know what you think, but we'll have the time to review pleadings between now and September, but I don't see enough time in July to hear witnesses.

What do my Board Members think?

MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, I'm inclined to agree with you somewhat. I would perhaps want to offer one question for Ms. Mackwood. You are in receipt of the Office of Planning's initial report on this application, correct? Do you feel that the ANC would be disadvantaged in any way in terms of proceeding with cross examination of the Office of Planning today?

MS. MACKWOOD: To some extent I guess I would think that. I think the Office of Planning report is relying to a large extent on their being a parking and a usage agreement and if one doesn't

materialize and again I want to stress that I think one will materialize, then I would hope that the Office of Planning would reconsider their position and confirm more closely with the Department of Transportation and I also want to just comment very quickly on something that Ms. Miller said. I realize that your schedule is very tight, but I think what we're offering here is no further witnesses after today. And that I would hope that you might be able to squeeze this application into a time frame that would not require very much time.

Keep in mind also that the Historic Preservation Review Board also will be reviewing this application and they haven't heard it yet. They won't be hearing it for the first time until the end of this month. And their staff have indicated that they will take at least two months to consider this. So the record would have to remain open, at least I'm assuming it will remain open at the request of the Office of Planning, if not the Applicant and we would certainly have no objection to that pending the HPRB decision.

So I am -- you know, I think what we're offering here is perhaps an early afternoon for you, witnesses not having to make statements that in a few

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 weeks from now they may be able to take a different 2 position. It makes for a cleaner record and a very 3 limited hearing time on your part after today. 4 MR. MANN: Madam Chair? 5 CHAIR MILLER: Yes. May I ask a question? 6 MR. MANN: 7 Quin, is the application before us the relief that 8 you're seeking subject to change based on the outcome 9 of negotiation? 10 MR. QUIN: 11 MR. MANN: So there's going to be no 12 change in the application? MR. QUIN: No, the only thing that would 13 14 be submitted is a usage agreement which hopefully we 15 would reach and I think what Ms. Mackwood is saying is that if we are successful, there would be no need for 16 17 any further witnesses. The only potential 18 disagreement that I would have with Ms. Mackwood is I 19 don't see any reason why the Office of Planning 20 couldn't proceed today, but that's entirely within the discretion of the Board. 21 And I understand Ms. 22 Mackwood's feeling that maybe it would be better to 23 put that over so that she could later cross examine in 24 the event that we were not able to reach an agreement. 25 CHAIR MILLER: Why don't we hear from the

Office of Planning on this? Do you have a problem with our going forward today and leaving the record open with respect to this usage agreement and leaving it open for Office of Planning to do a supplemental report if circumstances change?

MS. THOMAS: Yes, I think it will give us an opportunity for us to do a supplemental report is there, we'll agree because right now I think the usage agreement is an important part of our recommendation as the other portion, the HPRB portion. I think that's important.

CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Mackwood, what witnesses would we hear today that we wouldn't hear if there's a usage agreement?

MS. MACKWOOD: You probably wouldn't hear from the ANC or it would be probably about a minute You probably wouldn't hear from the community association. You probably wouldn't hear from many residents in opposition. I think it would be -- I think there are actually two or three neighborhood organizations would probably testify that in opposition and this may not be persuasive to the Board, but I don't know how -- I know Ms. Miller is familiar, but I don't know how familiar the other Board Members are with the controversies we've had in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the neighborhood with the cathedral and this is a real
opportunity for us to bridge some of the longstanding
differences that we've had and from the ANC's
perspective, it would be enormously beneficial, I
believe, for neighborhood relations if we were not put
in the position where we had to offer very negative
testimony today. It would we really hold out the
hope that we are going to be able to be supportive of
this application and really turn the page on some of
our past our past history with the cathedral, so I
would you know, I would add that that's obviously
not probably a very persuasive matter as far as you're
concerned, but I think it's an important one for the
community.
CHAIR MILLER: Let me ask one more
question. How long have you been negotiating with
respect to this case?
MS. MACKWOOD: Probably since the middle
of or the end of May, yes, I think, two, two and a
half weeks.
MR. QUIN: I don't I think we've been
negotiating, as far as I'm concerned, since certainly
January, in that area. We've been to the ANC. We've
been to community meetings. We've had in fact,

there's an exhibit in your package that shows some of

the meetings that we've had. It's been an extensive outreach for a long time.

The usage agreement itself, I know there's been extremely intense discussions and that can be described by our witnesses. But that's been going on for at least a month or longer and I think we appeared before the ANC, we've already appeared now three times, I believe, before the ANC. So it's something that we've all been working hard on and I think that's what gives us and that's why we are together in this request and I support Ms. Mackwood's request for the postponement and I think it is awkward for her and for people in the neighborhood to come in today when we're right in the middle and many -- I won't say the percentage that we think we resolved at this point, but it's a fairly high percentage of issues that we have resolved already, so that what would have to happen today to protect the ANC is that they would have to come in and speak in total opposition when, in fact, we're much closer to that in the agreement. And for that reason I would hope that the Board would consider our request.

We can go as far as you feel that we can because there will be cross examination. You all will have questions. We expect that our testimony will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 take at least an hour, maybe a little bit more, the last indulgence of the Board and if there's anything 2 3 else that you feel you should take, if you want to 4 take a preliminary presentation by OP, that could be 5 done, understanding that Ms. Mackwood can have a later time for cross examination. 6 7 I think we can use the time efficiently 8 today. 9 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, I think we'll confer 10 about this, but I would like to say that the ANC, the 11 community, everyone has notice as to when our hearings 12 are and the fact that you cannot reach negotiation in time for our hearings and then ask us to waste our 13 14 afternoons or whatever, when we could have fit in 15 another case here is disturbing. And it's just a 16 message that we want to send to you all, that it's not 17 just about you, it's about other cases getting heard. There's a backlog of cases. Our schedule 18 19 is crammed and you know when these dates are. 20 should -- it's hard for us to understand why you don't 21 meet that goal of completing your negotiations by 22 then, but let me --23 (Pause.) 24 Okay, we've had a chance to discuss the

options and what we're going to do is have you all

proceed and we'll see how it goes today and if we're at a logical point where we decide that it makes sense to stop before the ANC does their testimony, then we'll stop, but we're not going to decide that definitively at this point.

Т think that's MR. ETHERLY: t.he appropriate way to proceed, Madam Chair, and I think it's perhaps also very important to know that, I'm sure my colleagues and I appreciate and understand perhaps the sensitive point at which conversations, dialogue, finds itself regarding the potential of reaching an agreement on this application, one which the community and the ANC, in particular, can be comfortable with. I think as the chairwoman alluded to, we're perhaps caught between a little bit of a rock and a hard space in terms of wanting to still take advantage of the opportunity with all of our players gathered here today, members of the community, the Applicant, of course, ANC, to get shall we say a little bit of work done. Т think we're all appreciative of the opportunity to perhaps get home early on this Code Red day, but be that as it may, I think it's an appropriate compromise for us to move forward, see what we can get done today and then perhaps just take stock once we reach that moment in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the agenda for the ANC's report.

I want to be, and I'm sure once again my colleagues are sensitive to perhaps the awkward position, Ms. Mackwood, that you find yourself in along with the ANC in perhaps not wanting to be on the record in any way as opposing the project, if you feel that you are indeed so close to reaching some agreement on some of the more important and pressing issues here, but I think if we can make some progress today that will ultimately stand us all in real good stead in terms of moving forward.

So I'm in agreement, Madam Chair.

CHAIR MILLER: Just on that note also, we didn't discuss this quietly, but I think as far as order of procedure goes, we'll hear from the Applicant and then we'll hear from the Office of Planning and then I think Ms. Mackwood you suggested that perhaps we hear from persons in the community before the ANC and I think we could do that, especially given that all these people's schedules have been arranged to come down here and then see where we are.

MS. MACKWOOD: Could I suggest that in terms of people in the community that you hear from the Applicant's supporters, their testimony, rather than the opponents for many of the reasons that I

1 mentioned earlier and I think the residents, the individuals in opposition are -- can certainly speak 2 for themselves, but my understanding is that they are 3 4 all hopeful that they do not have to testify today, so 5 I would simply -- when you reach the point at which you're going to take a second deliberation on this, I 6 7 hope you would reconsider that. Thank you. 8 CHAIR MILLER: In our normal order of 9 procedure is for persons in support to speak first 10 anyway, so okay. I think we can proceed with the 11 case. 12 MR. QUIN: Thank you, Madam Chair, Members the Board. Just to call your attention, I 13 14 referenced something and I wanted to correct myself. 15 I said January for the ANC meeting. If you look under Tab H, two of the ANC meetings, but not the third one 16 17 are referenced and the first one was November of last 18 year and then we had one in April and then there was 19 one recently. So I just wanted to correct the record 20 on that. 21 CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. 22 MR. QUIN: We are very pleased to appear 23 before you today in the special exception case which

is extremely important to the well-being and mission

St. Alban's School, to provide an outstanding

24

1 education to its diverse student body in an attractive 2 and state-of-the-art physical environment. 3 Specifically, in this case, the school 4 seeks your approval in three areas. These are described in detail in our written statement, but I'd 5 The first is a new like to just summarize them. 6 7 connector wing addition that will tie the physical facilities, the existing physical facilities together 8 9 and dramatically improve the educational programs of 10 the school. 11 I would say at the outset and it's part of 12 the record, that no increase in the number of students is being sought in this application and a phased 13 14 increase of the staff faculty of nine, a total of nine 15 FTE over the next 10 years is requested. 16 CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Quin, I'm sorry to 17 interrupt you, but is there an enrollment cap 18 effect or not? 19 MR. OUIN: No, there's not a cap and the 20 orders of the Board are under Tab C and you can 21 examine that. There are no caps for either the 22 student faculty or staff. CHAIR MILLER: Has the number of students 23 24 remained pretty constant in the last few years? 25 In the last few years, yes. MR. QUIN:

1 CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you. 2 MR. QUIN: So that's the first area. The 3 second request is a reconfiguration and improvement of 4 t.he St. Alban's athletic fields located at 5 southeastern corner of the -- generally at Garfield and 34th Street. This also will be substantially the 6 7 same level of activity as present, and that will be described by our witnesses. 8 Now construction on these two parts of our 9 10 application would commence in 2006 and we hope they 11 would be completed in the fall by the end of 2007. 12 The third area of approval relates to a 13 below-grade performing arts center addition with a new 14 auditorium and support space, primarily to serve the 15 existing St. Alban's and National Cathedral School coordinated performing arts programs, but also to 16 17 serve some of the Cathedral's programs. 18 Here, the essential increases in activity 19 would be after school hours when there would be plenty 20 of parking. Construction on the performing arts 21 center is expected to commence at a substantially 22 later date, not yet decided, but within the 10 years 23 that we are following in this particular special

Now as you're aware from Court of Appeals

exception.

24

Decisions, special exceptions are predeemed compatible with the neighborhood and with the RO-1B zone so long as the criteria set forth in section 206 are met.

Our witnesses today will establish that we will clearly meet all of those criteria, namely, as it said in the ordinance, the proposed building additions will not be objectionable because of noise, traffic, number of students or otherwise objectionable conditions, and as previously mentioned, we note again that there is no seeking of an increase of the students and only a modest increase in faculty staff.

What is unusual about this case, other than the fact that we've been almost two years of outreach with the community, are the extensive measures being taken by the Foundation and St. Alban's, most of which are not even legally required or even expected. But they're to better serve the employees, students and visitors to this important landmark and maybe even more important, to enhance the good relationship with the community.

And I'll just briefly cite a couple of those examples. The Foundation, at a very substantial expense, over \$30 million, is building a bus facility, below grade, to accommodate parking for buses that come to the Cathedral, and also at the same time, a

below-grade parking garage that will provide over 400 spaces for off-street parking to serve the employees, students, visitors and even neighbors, where appropriate.

This parking garage will free up, we estimate almost 300 parking spaces in the neighborhood and that would be added to the availability of spaces that will be described by our traffic consultant.

As also indicated in our statement, the school has gone even further than you normally would go in cases and has had a detailed hydrological study made and a detailed noise study to make certain that the proposed uses are compatible and not a problem and meet the regulations.

There are many other actions that are being taken that will be described by our witnesses and many that we expect to be incorporated into the usage agreement that we are working with the ANC and other organizations on. And that will include, by the way, construction management plan.

Before calling the witnesses, what I would like to do at this point, just very briefly, is to call your attention to some of the exhibits and describe them because they have great relevance to our case.

2.0

I'll not deal with A and B of our submission because that simply deals with the zoning and the location of the property. C, I've already referenced. And you'll see that the last BZA case that we had before the Board was in 1994.

Under D, the acoustical study and that's a detailed study again measuring what the effect of noise would be and in many cases it decreases from the existing situation and that will be described.

The traffic study is under E which will be described by and reviewed by Mr. Slade. Under F is the ANC-3C report to the HPRB which is support with conditions and as stated and alluded to by Ms. Macwood, we believe that the HPRB will hear this on the 23rd of June, but I personally think that there's at least a possibility that no further meeting will be necessary. That may not be true, but as you know, HPRB has separate and distinct authority from the Board while they relate to each other, there's no requirement that HPRB act before the BZA.

The hydrological study is set forth under G and the record of the meetings which I referenced earlier under H and unless there are questions, I'd like to proceed with our witnesses and while not listed, if you could indulge us in a brief

2.0

1 introduction overview by the representative of the 2 Foundation, the Washington National Cathedral, Major 3 General Stephen Rippe who is the Chief Operating 4 Officer of the Foundation. 5 General Rippe? Good afternoon, 6 GEN. RIPPE: Madam 7 Chairperson and Members. I'm Major General (Retired) Operating 8 Stephen Т. Rippe, Chief Officer and Executive Vice President of the Protestant Episcopal 9 10 Cathedral Foundation. 11 I live at 1750 P Street, Northwest in this 12 city. 13 The Foundation is an institution perhaps 14 unique in all of America. We were founded well over 15 a hundred years ago by an act of Congress for the promotion of religion, education and charity. We have 16 17 the sixth largest cathedral in the world and three of 18 the finest schools that exist anywhere. Last year, 19 Cathedral had three quarters of We accommodated 4300 tour buses and we 20 visitors. 21 educated over 1500 children in our schools. 22 The Bishop's quidance three years ago to Work to establish first rate 23 me was very clear. 24 community relations with our dedicated community

Nancy Macwood.

leaders such as

25

Take proactive

measures to be a good neighbor in our crowded, urban environment. Do something to solve the problem of car parking and tour buses along Wisconsin Avenue and ensure our facilities are appropriate to support our fundamental missions.

We have made much progress in this regard and I'm happy to report three of our more significant initiatives. First, we will begin construction in early August of a totally underground car garage that will accommodate approximately 414 cars, and second, an underground bus garage in early December that will accommodate 18 tour buses. The result is an improvement in our ability to accomplish our mission and a vast improvement in the congestion on our neighborhood streets.

This has been a challenging endeavor as you can well imagine because the \$34 million for these projects were not programmed three years ago, but with great support from the community, the city and from our Foundation leadership, we will get this done.

Finally, thirdly, St. Alban's, with your approval, will begin construction next year of a much needed upgrade to their antiquated facilities. The plans you will hear today are the result of thorough and intensive work, both internally and externally.

1	These well thought out, coordinated and most
2	considerate plans are vital in order that the school
3	may continue to offer a first rate educational
4	experience appropriate for this day and century.
5	On behalf of Bishop Chain, we thank you
6	for your time, attention and consideration. That
7	concludes my testimony, ma'am.
8	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much.
9	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairperson, I assume
10	that you will hold questions until unless they're
11	clarifying questions until we complete our
12	presentation?
13	CHAIR MILLER: How many witnesses do you
14	have?
15	MR. QUIN: Well, we're cutting Mr. Sher,
16	maybe that's a good that's our token gesture for
17	you today.
18	(Laughter.)
19	I think we have five, excluding the
20	General, one, two, three, four, five.
21	CHAIR MILLER: Then I say we might not
22	hold all our questions.
23	
24	MR. QUIN: All right.
25	CHAIR MILLER: We might ask specific

1	witnesses.
2	MR. QUIN: That's fine, I just wanted them
3	to know.
4	CHAIR MILLER: That's a lot to hold in our
5	head, you know.
6	(Laughter.)
7	Do you have any questions, Mr. Etherly?
8	We don't have any questions for this witness, thank
9	you very much.
10	MR. ETHERLY: No questions, Madam Chair,
11	we just have a very quick question for Mr. Quin. I
12	know that we're in receipt of a couple résumé s. Are
13	you intending at any point to offer witnesses as
14	expert?
15	MR. QUIN: Yes, there are four witnesses
16	that we will offer as experts: Roger Duffy of
17	Skidmore, Owings and Merrill; Richard Arentz, of
18	Landscape Architects; Skip Burck, Landscape
19	Architects; and Lou Slade, a transportation
20	consultant.
21	I was going to wait until we came to our
22	first one, but if you'd like to do it now that's fine
23	also.
24	CHAIR MILLER: Why don't we do it with the
25	witness

1 MR. QUIN: Thank you. Our next witness, 2 Madam Chairperson, Members of the Board is Jean Duff, 3 who is chairman of the St. Alban's Community Relations 4 Committee and she will give an overview of what we're 5 seeking today and the efforts that we've had for community outreach. 6 7 CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Quin, I just want to 8 clarify your question about questions for 9 witnesses. I was referring to Board questions, but we 10 can do the cross after you finish your case, but 11 sometimes Board Members have questions during it. 12 That's fine, thank you. MR. QUIN: Madam Chair, Members of the 13 MS. DUFF: 14 Board, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Jean Duff. 15 I live at 2700 36th Street, Northwest in the City of Washington. I'm speaking to you today as a neighbor 16 of the school, as a former parent, my son graduated 17 18 this past couple of weeks, as former president of the 19 St. Alban's Parents Club, as a former member of the governing board of the school and as current chair of 20 21 the St. Alban's Community Relations Subcommittee. 22 The St. Alban's School is part of the 23 Episcopal Cathedral Foundation. Protestant The

Foundation is the legal entity that incorporates the

Washington National Cathedral, the three schools on

24

the Cathedral close, National Cathedral School,
Beauvoir and St. Alban's School.

This is why all the materials that make up our application to the BZA refer to the Foundation. The school is almost one hundred years old. Since 1909 we've been educating boys in academics, athletics and the arts. From small beginnings the school has grown to a complement of 568 students in the academic year just ended. However, the school's facilities have not kept up with the growth in the student body. As a result, the school buildings and facilities are inadequate for the level of educational excellence that our boys deserve and their parents expect.

We need to build and renovate to meet the needs of our program. One of the many attractions of St. Alban's is its size. The school is large enough to be diverse and stimulating, but small enough to enable a sense of community. This can foster a range of student friendships and encourage lifelong relationships.

We're not going to change this aspect of the school. Everything we're presenting in our application is designed to support the current program, not to accommodate more students. As has been said, we have no plans to increase enrollment.

We do intend to add about nine faculty members to the team as part of the teaching and learning initiative that is the centerpiece of our long-range plan.

We are proposing three new facilities as described in our application for a special exception. These are an extension to an existing building that will provide new classroom, office and social space; 650-seat performing arts center that would accommodate the entire school, as well as provide a venue worthy of the skills and talents of and thirdly, a reconfiguration students; the athletic fields that would replace our existing single field with a multi-purpose field for football, soccer or lacrosse in season; a full-size baseball field that converts to practice space out of season; a regulation track; and eight new tennis courts.

Our current fields dates from 1912 when the school had a quarter of the number of teams we have today.

In coming to the BZA this afternoon, we're asking your approval of these projects, but I want to point out that we've already gone through many consultation and approval processes, both internal and external.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

To begin the approval process, we worked with the Building and Grounds Committee of the St. Alban's School in order to develop a proposal that was eventually approved by the St. Alban's School Governing Board. At the same time we worked with other bodies on the Cathedral close, the All Hallow's Guild that manages the grounds of the close, the Protestant Episcopal Cathedral Foundation Grounds Committee and the Board of Trustees of the Foundation. Without their support for our plans, we could not be here today.

We have worked with the staff of the Historic Preservation Review Board in preparation for our appearance before that Board later this month. We've also worked for the staff of the Office of Planning in preparation for our appearance here today.

In addition, we've worked extensively with our own immediate community, the neighbors of the school. Before we began that process, we identified a set of principles that would guide the nature of our dealings with our neighbors and with the community as we developed plans, seek necessary approvals and proceed with the eventual renovation and construction.

There are five principles. I'll just run through them very quickly. Number one, as one of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

oldest neighbor's in this community, St. Alban's recognizes and respects how much all neighbors value their location and the surroundings we enjoy and we as a school are committed to maintaining those values.

Secondly, we committed to share relevant study results, research data, concept designs, plans and proposals with the community to ensure transparency and openness.

Thirdly, we invite community comment at each stage in the development of plans and consider proposals to meet the needs of St. Alban's.

Fourthly, we commit to consult with our neighbors by providing opportunities for comment before making decisions, considering alternatives or preparing designs of new or upgraded facilities.

And finally, following the planning and approval process and before starting any renovation or construction, we have committed to work and consult with our neighbors on the Cathedral close and with the wider community to develop routes, schedules and parking arrangements to minimize noise, traffic or other disturbance during construction. We implemented an elaborate community relations program over the last 18 months that included a new community relations website, community newsletters, three meetings with

the full ANC at their full public meeting, and twice more with the ANC committees, a total of six community meetings that were widely advertised in local papers and by direct mail. And in all, during that outreach, we calculate that we have met with more than 150 neighbors of the school.

In these various meetings, we've invited detailed comment and feedback and as you can imagine, neighbors have not been shy about raising their concerns. Areas of particular interest, in addition to those that relate to historic and aesthetic interests, were noise, hydrology, parking and new facilities usage.

Garfield Street neighbors were concerned that with the reconfiguration of the athletic fields and the resultant relocation of the seating area there might be increased noise at the homes on Garfield Street. St. Alban's commissioned a study to evaluate this. The conclusion, after extensive testing and modeling, was that the reconfiguration would be most likely to result in a slight lowering of noise levels at Garfield Street with the possibility of a small increase at some homes on 34th Street, but in an amount not detectable by the human ear.

Hydrology concerns were for possible

changes to the water table as a result of construction. The engineering study concluded that there would be no permanent works constructed that would impact the water table even at the highest recorded ground water levels.

Over the past several weeks, as you've already heard, St. Alban's has been intensively involved in meetings with ANC-3C and with representative neighbors and neighborhood groups to negotiate a usage agreement that would spell out terms for parking and for facilities usage. of As yesterday, there have been six meetings during the day, evenings and weekends and here I would like to complement the ANC chair for her extraordinary flexibility in bringing her Members and neighbors to the table for these meetings.

These meetings have aggravated more than 15 hours and are pursuing agreement that satisfies community concerns for the level of usage of the new facilities and that addresses parking concerns raised by the neighbors.

The school is committed to reaching a satisfactory agreement as a condition of the support of the ANC-3C and of approval of our special exception request from the BZA. Elements under discussion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 include car registration, new procedures for visitors 2 and visiting school buses and restriction of student addition, 3 parking. In the school has offered 4 commitment to no student parking in the neighborhood, 5 except for North Garfield Street and to management of construction-related parking. 6 7 I'd like to sum up by saying that the school needs these new facilities as we approach our 8 9 one hundredth anniversary in order to continue a second century of academic, athletic and artistic 10 11 excellence for boys, these facilities our and 12 improvements are essential. We have met the requirements for process 13 14 in the community and we ask for your approval of our 15 application. 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIR MILLER: Do you have any questions? I have one question basically. You talk about needs 18 19 and I'm wondering if you want to elaborate at all as 20 to like, for instance, with the athletic fields or 21 something, what they can't do now that they'll be able 22 to do with the new facility? Vance Wilson, the headmaster 23 MR. OUIN: 24 was going to address that, if that's okay? 25 CHAIR MILLER: Okay, that's perfect, thank

1	you.
2	MR. QUIN: And he happens to be our next
3	witness, so thank you for the introduction.
4	CHAIR MILLER: Great, okay. Thank you
5	very much. Wait, we have a question over here.
6	MR. MANN: You mentioned that there would
7	be parking restrictions imposed on the students with
8	certain exceptions, one of them being Garfield Street
9	and I was wondering if you could address that further
10	or if perhaps you wanted to defer that until another
11	witness?
12	MS. DUFF: And that was still under
13	negotiations, so I think perhaps we should defer?
14	MR. QUIN: We could defer that to another
15	witness, both Mr. Slade would address the whole
16	traffic part.
17	MR. MANN: Thank you.
18	MS. DUFF: May I just add as chair of the
19	Community Relations Committee that I, too, feel that
20	we have made great progress towards the negotiation of
21	a usage agreement and I feel that we're very close.
22	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
23	MR. QUIN: Our next witness is Vance
24	Wilson, the headmaster of St. Alban's School.
25	MR. WILSON: Hello, my name is Vance

Wilson. I live at 3505 Woodley Road, Northwest and I am the seventh headmaster of St. Alban's School, a school that in the year 2009 will celebrate one hundred years of residence here in the City of Washington on Mount St. Alban, a school that for one hundred years has had basically the same philosophy that is unchanged and that is that we try to educate young men to seek meaning in their lives of faith, to live lives of honor and integrity. Those two points of our mission being what we hope to also model in our dealings with the community, both our local neighbors and the entire city, and to teach young men to become leaders especially by service.

That philosophy has been successful enough to have an entire range of service to this city and the country from a class graduate of the Class of '63 being the Vice President of the United States; a graduate of the Class of '58 being on the first manned flight to the man; and a Class of 2000 being in charge of the homeless centers in the City of Hartford, Connecticut at the ripe age of 25.

There are five aspects of our school that

I would particularly like to discuss to help you

understand what it is in our mission in this city.

The first is we have just recently been part of the

benchmarking study of 15 independent schools in major cities in this country: Boston, Philadelphia, New York, Washington, Cleveland, San Diego, Los Angeles, Atlanta and Dallas are some of the ones, many of them being boys schools like ourselves and included in our benchmarking was a study of diversity statistics in a school. We were the most diverse school of all those 15, including schools like Collegiate School in New York City, Roxbury Latin in Boston, St. Mark's in Dallas, University School in Cleveland. Twenty-seven percent of our student population is non-Caucasian; 20 percent of our student population is of African or African-American in our school. Our financial aid budget is between 15 and 18 percent of the tuition, depending on calculations that schools do in different ways, but it certainly is a significant part of our budget.

We also have a program called the Skip Grant Program. Skip Grant is a 25-year retired athletic director of St. Alban's. We renamed program from its original name which was called the Risk Program in the 1960s when St. Alban's integrated as a private school in this city. It devotes \$1 million of an endowment every year to working, in particular, with 30 boys that we identify from this city that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

feel that can do the academic work at our school which is of the highest level, as you know, but which we bring into the city either as day students or as boarders to try to succeed in an environment that we think will make them leaders of this city and of the country.

Next week we open our summer program, the School of Public Service which brings 33 students from around the country into our dorms to study service in this city by visiting people like yourselves and others and having case studies about what it means to be a leader who serves in a city. Those 33 students, 60 percent of them are from public schools around the country; 47 percent of the entire student population is given financial aid to come here in the summer.

And finally, we take to heart, Councilman Cathy Patterson's task force recommendation that our facilities are used by the City of Washington. As you know, we have often tried to have outreach in artistic matters and athletic matters and other things to groups in the City of Washington to come to our campus.

Three points to make about what we would like to do. We focus the school on the academic life, the athletic life and the artistic life of our boys

and the renovation projects that we're going through directly address all of those issues. The extension to the academic building that Mr. Quin talked about is the result of a lot of study on our part including commissioning Bralesford and Dunlevy, a national firm for space studies about the fact that we are in over utilized buildings and 100 percent of our classroom usage by the boys.

I would help you understand that issue and not simply the fact that the last academic building we built at St. Alban's was 35 years ago in the early 1970s, the Stewart building, but also ask you to consider in your experience in education i the 1970s, the average class load of a student at St. Alban's was four courses. The average class load of a student at St. Alban's now as in most cases in both public and private education around the country is six, five or six. So our enrollment has increased somewhat from the 1970s, but we are still compared to our fellows around the country a relatively modest sized school, but like all other schools, our program is much bigger than it was in the 1970s.

That's only to speak academically, but if we turn to the athletic issue that you raised, Madam Chairperson, in 1912, our athletic fields were built

in 1912. The last track meet we had at St. Alban's at home was in 1971 because you could no longer hold a meet on a cinder track that wasn't an oval and was the wrong length. To try to explain what it means from an athletic point of view, let's use the example of springtime sports. As you know, lacrosse is a huge sports in this city, as is baseball. Right now, with one field, if the lacrosse team has a game, baseball team can practice only on the infield. Ιf the infield is playing a game, the lacrosse team has to go around to the elementary school playground to try to do drills on a field that I would characterize as being about one third the size of most lacrosse practice fields. So our lacrosse team, in competing with some teams in town which you happen to know to be very good in lacrosse, has a very difficult time having full field practices. That's just one example. I could do the same in the fall for football and soccer.

And finally, the performing arts center. We have a masterful coordinated program with National Cathedral School and the last two years in the springtime we are having to turn away patrons who come to our two consecutive weekends of performances, three performances at a time so six houses in our current

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

theater cannot house the number of people to come see
our students perform and we would very much like to
provide a performing arts center on the close which
would seat the combined upper schools of both National
Cathedral School and St. Alban's and put the entire
St. Alban's School in that performing arts center at
the same time. It's for artistic purposes, primarily.
It's also a place that you could hold an upper school
assembly.
So finally, I'd be glad to take any
questions. It's a very exciting time in the history
of St. Alban's. We think that we're a great school
who has contributed much to this city and to this
nation over the last 100 years. We feel that these
projects are absolutely essential to maintaining our
position as an excellent school for boys to be
educated in this city.
Thank you very much.
CHAIR MILLER: Thank you. I just have a
couple of questions. Did you use the term over-
utilized buildings?
MR. WILSON: Yes.
CHAIR MILLER: Can you explain that a
little bit, a little more?
MR WILSON: If you have a school in a

1 classroom situation, it's an interesting concept to 2 explain to the business people on our Board. don't want to have classrooms at 100 percent capacity 3 4 all day long, especially if your mission includes 5 individual extra help and tutoring between teachers and students. 6 7 So not only have 100 percent 8 utilization of our classrooms, we have converted 9 spaces that were built for other purposes including, 10 believe it or not, closets into small classrooms in 11 order to accommodate our kids. 12 CHAIR MILLER: How do you measure 100 13 percent utilization? 14 MR. WILSON: Bralesford and Dunlevy, the 15 space people came in to do that and basically it means if there's six periods in the day, when you walk 16 17 around school, you'll find every classroom having a 18 class in it at the time or perhaps I'll include in 19 that a study hall. 20 CHAIR MILLER: Okay. It's not specific to 21 occupancy stuff, it's not like how many kids are in 22 the classroom. 23 MR. WILSON: No, no, no. It's just that 24 all the classrooms are used. I'm sorry if I used an 25 improper term of over-utilization.

1	CHAIR MILLER: No, I don't think you did.
2	I was just trying to understand your
3	MR. WILSON: It's my lay persons term.
4	CHAIR MILLER: And I heard you talk about
5	the fields and I'm sure the architect will get into
6	it, but I was just curious. You did mention the
7	tennis courts, and I'm wondering you said you had
8	eight?
9	MR. WILSON: We have 10 now and in the new
10	plan we would go from 10 to 8.
11	CHAIR MILLER: So you're decreasing the
12	tennis?
13	MR. WILSON: We are and resurfacing it in
14	a surface that is not yet been announced by the
15	headmaster because it's of some controversy in the
16	community on what that surface will be, but that
17	tennis club, to use an example, is has membership
18	all throughout the City of Washington, including many
19	members in the nearby neighborhood and it's an
20	important service and a wonderful facility for people.
21	CHAIR MILLER: And so these eight tennis
22	courts, they're used by the community, they're used by
23	St. Alban's and are they also used by NCS?
24	MR. WILSON: Yes, and they're used by
25	people in other parts of the city too.

1 CHAIR MILLER: And are you -- I understand 2 NCS also has tennis courts. Are you including their 3 tennis courts in your count or not? No. NCS has -- there's one 4 MR. WILSON: 5 other court at Beauvoir, the elementary school. there's another court that's next to the College of 6 Preachers which is called NCS' court. 7 Those are two singletons. The eight courts, for example, if you 8 you 9 interscholastic match, need have an 10 contiguous courts to have an interscholastic match. 11 We can't send boys up to another place on the close 12 for those tennis matches. They need to be supervised. CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. 13 14 MR. MANN: You talked about some of the 15 summer programs that you conduct on campus. I don't 16 know if that was just an example or if 17 everything you did? 18 MR. WILSON: No, our summer 19 a summer school which serves primarily 20 students from public education in the city who come 21 there for enrichment and to make up courses. We have 22 a summer sports camp program which includes al the 23 sports that we would do during the course of the 24 school year. We have -- that includes all the sports,

so that's both outdoors and indoors.

1	The School of Public Service that I
2	mentioned and a two-week program called the Royal
3	School of Church Music which are choristers who sing
4	in the cathedral.
5	MR. MANN: And will the intensity of these
6	programs increase with the new facilities?
7	MR. WILSON: No. Our plan for athletic
8	facilities is not to increase any of our usage of
9	those facilities at all.
10	In other words, if I could go back to my
11	example of lacrosse and baseball, those two things
12	would still be doing the same thing, but they would
13	have full fields to do it. The idea is to create size
14	for the teams, not additional usage.
15	MR. MANN: We're still talking about the
16	summer program at the moment, is that correct?
17	MR. WILSON: And the school year.
18	MR. MANN: Okay, but there will be no
19	change then in the number of participants in summer
20	programs?
21	MR. WILSON: No, we have no plans to
22	increase the size of that program at all.
23	MR. MANN: Thank you.
24	CHAIR MILLER: But you're not able to have
25	track events at home right now?

1 MR. WILSON: That's correct. 2 CHAIR MILLER: So that would increase 3 because you --4 MR. WILSON: We expect that we would have 5 two track meets at home and one IAC conference match every six years. There are six members of the IAC and 6 7 you're supposed to host it once. And two home track meets and that would be an addition. We do not have 8 9 home track meets at this time. We're hoping that we 10 can do that with little impact on the neighborhood. 11 A track meet of two schools is not a significant 12 The IAC Conference track meet would be a event. significant event every six years. 13 14 CHATR MILLER: But currently they 15 practice, your track team practices --16 MR. WILSON: They run on a cinder track 17 and during the spring, the cross country team runs 18 everywhere, all over the city. That wouldn't change. 19 The cross country team would probably use the track a 2.0 little more also. CHAIR MILLER: But for baseball, football, 21 22 lacrosse, they practice anyway on your property. It's 23 just on smaller fields? 24 MR. WILSON: We do on occasion, we will go 25 off-site for some of those practices. At times, we

have tried to rent areas around the city. We were successful for a year or two and then those properties might turn over or something like that. We always are looking for off-site rentals, you know, for athletic team to practice, but I can't tell you from year to year if it's going to remain the same from year to year. CHAIR MILLER: So then there might be some increase then in usage by your teams on your property in practicing because they wouldn't have to go offsite? I would say that that is MR. WILSON: probably true. When they go off-site, they do gather on our fields. They get on a bus and then go off-site and they come back. There's that situation, but if we can practice everybody on the field, then I think to be accurate, you're correct in saying that all the boys will be there. Practices last, lower school practice about 2 o'clock and practices go into the evening. CHAIR MILLER: What's into the evening mean, until what time about? MR. WILSON: Sundown, I would think and so that depends on the season. Baseball games go later

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	because of sundown. Football practices are ending at
2	sundown. We have no plans for lights or anything on
3	the fields. We're not interested in night time stuff
4	at all.
5	CHAIR MILLER: Do you have practices pre-
6	season in the mornings as well?
7	MR. WILSON: In pre-season, we practice
8	three weeks prior to the first game which is the first
9	Saturday in September. That's usually around August
10	20, 21. We practice first at a school in Virginia and
11	then come and have double practices a day. Right,
12	until school starts and we've been doing that
13	CHAIR MILLER: You've been doing that in
14	Virginia so that would come to St. Alban's?
15	MR. WILSON: I'm sorry, we go away first
16	for summer practice to a camp.
17	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
18	MR. WILSON: To get all the boys together
19	for four days of intensive bonding and playing.
20	CHAIR MILLER: So that would continue?
21	MR. WILSON: Right.
22	CHAIR MILLER: Is that correct? I don't
23	want to put words in your mouth.
24	MR. WILSON: Yes, and then they come back.
25	That would continue. We would take them off-site

1	first. That's as much a psychological experience as
2	a physical experience and then we bring them back to
3	campus for two days until school starts.
4	We have been doing that.
5	CHAIR MILLER: How many days would they be
6	there then before pre-season on campus?
7	MR. WILSON: I would say David? Six.
8	CHAIR MILLER: Six days.
9	MR. WILSON: I'm sorry, he's our athletic
LO	director. Six days on campus.
L1	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. And would you say
L2	that's pretty standard for private schools to have
L3	their practices then?
L4	MR. WILSON: The IAC has the most
L5	restrictive pre-season practice schedule of any
L6	schools in the city. So our three weeks before
L7	Saturday is very small compared to other schools.
L8	CHAIR MILLER: What's the IAC?
L9	MR. WILSON: I'm sorry, Interstate
20	Athletic Conference are six teams that make up our
21	conference. Those schools are Landon, Episcopal, St.
22	Stephan's, St. Agnes, St. Alban's, Georgetown Prep and
23	Bullis School. That's our athletic conference, but we
24	play many teams throughout the city, especially in
25	sports like basketball in which there are lots of

1	games.
2	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
3	MR. ETHERLY: Thank you very much Madam
4	Chair. Mr. Wilson, if I could I think most of my
5	questions have been answered by earlier questions, but
6	I just wanted to make sure I have a fairly clear
7	understanding of where we are in terms of staff or
8	staff and faculty numbers at this point.
9	At a couple of places in the written
10	submissions there's reference to the plan to do some
11	phasing in. I believe the number would be nine new
12	faculty members over the next 10 years.
13	MR. WILSON: That's correct.
14	MR. ETHERLY: So I just want to be sure I
15	understand kind of where we are now in terms of upper
16	school and lower school and then with respect to that
17	nine being phased in over the next 10 years, where
18	they will fall primarily.
19	In terms of the number of current faculty
20	and staff, where are you at now presently, total
21	number.
22	MR. WILSON: One hundred thirty four.
23	MR. ETHERLY: One hundred thirty four.
24	And so that would be a combination of both faculty and
25	staff?

1 MR. WILSON: Yes, and upper and lower 2 school. Lower school for us begins in grade 4. goes from grade 4 to grade 8. 3 4 MR. ETHERLY: And then your upper school 5 would go from 9 to 12? MR. WILSON: Correct, sir. 6 7 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Do you have a sense 8 for how that number, that number, 134, is broken out in terms of your upper school and lower school? 9 10 Well, for faculty, MR. WILSON: 11 faculty is about 70 people and that would 12 approximately, and please, understand this to 13 approximately a 40/30 split, 40 being upper, 30 being 14 lower. Those are our approximate numbers. I'm sorry 15 The rest would be staff I don't have them exactly. and they would be spread throughout the program. They 16 17 would not be local to lower school or upper school 18 except perhaps the upper school head's assistant would 19 be upper school, but most of the staff would work 20 throughout the school. 21 MR. ETHERLY: And with respect to the nine 22 that you are anticipating phasing in over the next 10 23 years, how do you anticipate those nine FTEs to be Will they be primarily upper school or 24 allocated?

lower school or would that also be spread out across

Τ	both schools?
2	MR. WILSON: I would say that that would
3	be spread out across both schools and I don't expect
4	us to, in other words, increase nine next year. I'm
5	thinking that those nine would probably be spread out
6	over the next 10 years in a slow accretion of people
7	because that's the only thing we can afford. It's a
8	financial issue.
9	MR. ETHERLY: And those nine would also be
LO	in all likelihood a combination of
L1	MR. WILSON: Five/four, six/three. It's
L2	a teaching and learning program as Ms. Duff suggested
L3	and that would be for the entire school.
L4	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, thank you. Thank you,
L5	Madam Chair.
L6	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you very much.
L7	MR. WILSON: Thank you.
L8	MR. QUIN: Madam Chair, for the Board's
L9	understanding, we do have the athletic director who is
20	here, who can answer more detailed questions if that
21	would be necessary at any point. I just wanted you to
22	know that they are here.
23	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
24	MR. QUIN: Our next witnesses are we'll
25	put them together as a team and they've coordinated

1 their presentation so that it will go, I believe, very 2 smoothly shift from architecture/landscape, to architect to landscape dealing with it in an organized 3 4 fashion. I've submitted their résumé s. 5 Roger Duffy, Skidmore, Owings and Merrill, I'd like to 6 submit him as an expert in the field of architecture. 7 Richard Arentz, Arentz Landscape Architects, I'd like 8 to submit him as an expert in the field of landscape 9 10 architecture and the same for Skip Burck and you have 11 the résumé of Richard Burck as well. 12 We also have Lou Slade who would be our 13 last witness, as an expert in traffic consultation, 14 and he has been here many, many times as an expert. 15 So I would like to submit all three of those as 16 qualified, to be qualified as experts 17 respective fields. 18 Thank you. Ms. Mackwood, CHAIR MILLER: 19 do you have any questions or any objections to the 2.0 proposed experts? 21 MS. MACKWOOD: Just to recap, it's Mr. 22 Arentz and Mr. Burck as landscape architect experts, 23 is that right? 24 MR. OUIN: Yes. 25 MS. MACKWOOD: And Mr. Slade is a traffic

1	expert and was there one other expert?
2	MR. QUIN: Roger Duffy, Skidmore, Owings
3	and Merrill, as the architect.
4	MS. MACKWOOD: Okay. Will there be a
5	noise expert testifying today?
6	MR. QUIN: No, we have the report. We
7	thought it was conclusive enough, but we can always
8	bring him back at some other point or submit answers
9	to questions, if you would like. Here are by the
10	way, I'm sorry I didn't get those to you earlier, the
11	résumé s of each.
12	MS. MACKWOOD: Madam Chair, we have no
13	objections to the four individuals that Mr. Quin
14	identified.
15	CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
16	MR. QUIN: Thank you. Then at this point
17	let me turn our testimony over to Roger Duffy
18	CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Quin, I'm sorry, do you
19	want us to accept them as expert witnesses?
20	MR. QUIN: I'm sorry, I thought you just
21	did, I apologize.
22	CHAIR MILLER: Ms. Mackwood said she had
23	no objections.
24	MR. QUIN: Okay.
25	CHAIR MILLER: Do my colleagues? Okay,

1 we have no objection. We accept them as expert 2 witnesses. Thank you very much. 3 MR. OUIN: Roger? 4 MR. DUFFY: My name is Roger Duffy. 5 a partner of Skidmore, Owings and Merrill. address is 19 Stuyvesant Oval, New York, New York 6 7 10005. The close is a magnificent treasure in 8 9 Washington, D.C., bounded by Wisconsin Avenue, 10 Garfield Street, 34th Street and has at the high point 11 of Washington, D.C., the Cathedral. So it's a 12 privilege for me and my team to be focused in thinking about this wonderful landscape and the St. Alban's 13 14 School. 15 So as a point of orientation, the --Roger, why don't you use the 16 MR. OUIN: 17 pointer, the laser, if you have it. So as a point -- this being 18 MR. DUFFY: 19 the Cathedral here, this is the outline of the close. This being Wisconsin Avenue here. Garfield Street. 20 21 A bit of Massachusetts Avenue here. 22 So the area shaded in the salmon color here is the area occupied by St. Alban's School of the 23 close and the area over here that Richard Arentz will 24 25 talk about a little bit later is the athletic fields

as described earlier by the headmaster.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is an aerial photograph that's at a closer scale, focusing in on the St. Alban's School. Again, for orientation, this is the current main entrance to the St. Alban's School. It's characterized as the senior circle. This is the little sanctuary and the Lane Johnson Building, these were the first two buildings of the school. the lower school, the True Lucas wing here. existing classroom building that we'll be adding on to, this is called the New Wing, but it's circa 1966. The library of St. Alban's, the Stewart building here, the Martin gymnasium, the Activities building and what will be described a little bit later by Skip Burck the little field.

The area in the brighter red color here is the area of the addition that Mr. Quin described at the beginning of the presentation and the area in this light salmon color below the level of the senior circle or beneath the topography is the area for the performing arts building that was described earlier.

The Cathedral that the headmaster described at Mount St. Alban's occupies the high ground of Washington, D.C. so that's the high ground of the close as well. Twenty feet down from there is

the main entrance to the primary building of St. Alban's, the Lane Johnson Building or the senior circle main entrance to the campus.

So the first buildings occupied the high ground of St. Alban's just after the turn of the century and over the years they built many buildings at the lower part of their campus and the difference between the upper or entrance topography and the lower topography where they've also built buildings is 60 feet of grade difference. So therein lies a challenge for us, creating this new building because the campus currently not accessible to all persons secondly, we were able to accomplish this addition which finishes up before th ground floor of the Cathedral starts, so therefore, if you follow that logic, the views of the Cathedral are not occluded, any more than they are currently already occluded by existing buildings. So in other words, the height, the roof line of the new wing, the current New Wing classroom building is in alignment with the extension or the addition that Mr. Ouin described. And all of those things finish up well before the ground floor of the Cathedral even starts.

The St. Alban's campus lacks a single architectural character, so we offer as evidence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pictures of existing buildings, buildings that actually occupy the site currently, from the Martin gymnasium to the Activities building to the Lawrence Pool, to the little sanctuary to the Stewart building, the Library building which is attached to the New Wing classroom building, the Lane Johnson building and the True Lucas lower school building. So it's a rich tapestry of architectural styles, you might say.

At the senior circle level or main entrance level of St. Alban's is the Lane Johnson building. Just to the right is the little sanctuary and this building was just after the turn of the century and it is the primary representation or symbolic center or heart of the St. Alban's campus and will remain so in the future. The other architecture as proposed, the additions, will be secondary against this primary symbolic heart to their campus.

As important as architecture is on the close landscape is also extremely important. This landscape was planned by Frederick L. Olmsted, Jr. just after the turn of the century. It's a magnificent and varied landscape. Clearly, two things that are of equal status in our minds are the architecture of the Cathedral and the landscape or the Olmsted Woods within the close.

So all of that is a prelude to what we're proposing here today. Again, on this section you can see the high ground and low ground of the campus or the investments they've made over time at St. Alban's. And the intention is to take these two light green lines which exist as outside landscape levels and create two intermediate landscape terrace levels to stitch together the high ground with the low ground. These would be levels that would be accessible to all. They would also be levels that would be landscaped. So it forms a connection between the community at the bottom of the hill, to the Cathedral and from the Cathedral down to the community as a public sequence.

We've also considered, because St. Alban's started as church school or School of the Cathedral, views of the Cathedral from this new addition and also views of Washington, D.C. since it is the high ground and there are wonderful views of both.

So to make this point more clearly, we have highlighted views of the Cathedral from the St. Alban's campus and views of the Washington Mall from the St. Alban's campus. And Skip Burck will elaborate on this point and tell you how this was part of the original Frederick L. Olmsted intentions for this site connected use.

2.0

This is the approved close master plan for the entire area here and Olmsted designed this area to be a woodland garden with buildings that would exist within this woodland garden. Over time, what's commonly referred to as the Olmsted Woods was cut back with building interventions and is now an island that exists within this area of the close. It was never intended to be like this.

So the intentions of the architecture and the landscape is to create a linkage between these two systems and in fact, expand the area of the Olmsted Woods as originally intended back into the St. Alban's campus and merge architecture with landscape. So at this point I'd like to introduce Skip Burck, a wonderful landscape architect. We're very fortunate to have him as part of our team and he will elaborate on some of these landscape features.

MR. BURCK: Madam Chair, Members of the Board, my name is Richard "Skip" Burck. I'm president of Richard Burck Associates Landscape Architects. I live at 3 Forest Street, in Lexington, Massachusetts. I'd like to just reiterate what Roger Duffy has said about this image because it's central to my presentation and I think Richard Arentz' also, when he follows later, about our desire to take this wonderful

feature of the close and look at ways to reintegrate it with a more developed landscape.

In the proposed landscape of St. Alban's we have three goals. The first is solving functional problems, the vehicular circulation and drop off, pedestrian circulation, knitting together the campus in a way that hasn't been done before which is shown here and maybe the most difficult aspect of this is also making all of that accessible in a handicapped situation which given the grade changes Roger just described is quite difficult, but doable.

In addition, as an overlay to that, we want to create a strong network of social spaces. This is a campus and we want to create a variety of spaces where students can get to know one another and their instructors and Roger will speak about both of these in more detail later on.

This image here, excuse me, let me go back here for a second. Scholaskill here, we want to use new planting to create a cohesive landscape to knit together all the diverse parts of the St. Alban's landscape and also relate it to the greater landscape of the close.

This image here is a limited work plan and shows the areas which will need to be reconsidered for

1 landscaping in the area of the performing arts center, 2 the New Wing extension, suppressed loading dock, the little field that would be used for construction 3 4 staging. And the yellow areas are in addition to that 5 and show disturbed landscapes that have never really been treated, never really been planted. They've been 6 left over from road construction and have volunteer 7 8 trees and pretty weedy growth for the most part. 9 So it's a large area to consider and 10 because of that we've taken a master planning approach 11 to how we might plant that and in doing so, the 12 fundamental question is what does history have to say 13 about that? 14 So we've gone to a number of sources, the 15 first, the 1998 master plan for the Cathedral close which describes the Olmsted Woods as being the 16 dominant landscape of the close and that future 17 18 development should serve to strengthen it. 19 We've also looked at 20 correspondence, photographs and plans at Farstead in 21 Brookline, Massachusetts which is the main repository 22 for Olmsted's work. 23 Roger spoke about this a little bit 24 earlier. This is one of the first two buildings at

St. Alban's, the little sanctuary, a very interesting

note here about viewing the dome of the Capitol and it's a device the Olmsted firm used a lot. They would use landscape elements or site architecture in order to inframe important vistas and they have it there on their plan. There's the dome of the Capitol in this historic photograph.

This plan and the one following it are probably the most important plans that we've looked at at Fairstead. Again, a very early plan. It again shows the little sanctuary with that note about the Capitol Dome, the Lane Johnston building here and this is before the development of the little field which would occur right here and it shows a deep stream valley, 20 to 30 foot deep with a spring as its source. And what's very telling about this plan though is it shows a line of oak trees coming down here. The next plan I'm going to show you shows again this building and this building in red, just for your reference. Here they are again, Lane Johnston and little sanctuary in red. And this plan of two years later, 1909 shows the stream valley filled here, but they filled it up to the trunks of these trees and so the existing trees here define the extent of the little field, but also the elevation of it because they didn't want to fill over the trunks so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

as to kill them, a very small baseball diamond there.

A great place to play if you're a strong right hand hitter.

And this photograph shows what the plan

showed just prior, it shows Olmsted Woods coming into the interior of the St. Alban's campus. These are those trees. This is the little field right here. So the first half of this last century, the forest of Olmsted Woods was integrated with the campus. This plan shows the existing condition today where, as Roger Duffy pointed out, the Olmsted Woods more or less sits in isolation, and we have the different sort of ornamental landscapes, foundation plantings of existing buildings, the fields, the little field, and the disturbed areas.

And our recommendation, given the scope of work that we have, then is to take the Forest Association of Olmsted Woods, the oaks, the beech, the dogwoods, the ferns, and in essence bring it into this and make Olmsted Woods a part of the fabric of St. Albans again like it was for half a century and integrate the plants of St. Albans.

Thank you.

Roger.

MR. DUFFY: I'd like to elaborate on the

1	connections of the architecture from the high ground
2	to the low ground, and we offer these three
3	dimensional axiometrics of our intentions.
4	The elevation 360 here is the elevation of
5	the Senior Circle, or the main entrance of the St.
6	Albans campus. Elevation 314 is an existing plaza
7	level in front of the activities building.
8	So currently to get from elevation 360 to
9	elevation 314, you would walk down a very steep
10	asphalt road and pass exposed dumpsters and things
11	that we'll look at in a moment.
12	The intention
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Can I interrupt you?
14	I'm sorry.
15	MR. DUFFY: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Just on that point
17	though, did you say they weren't accessible to all?
18	MR. DUFFY: That's true.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Even though it's a
20	road? I thought maybe it was terraced.
21	MR. DUFFY: No, it's way above the ADA
22	slope criteria.
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, there's a slope
24	criteria. Okay. So what do they do now?
25	MR. DUFFY: Well, it's just not

1 accessible. 2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: They have to 3 another way? 4 MR. DUFFY: It's preexisting conditions, 5 right. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 6 Okay. MR. DUFFY: 7 So this is the area that Mr. Quin described earlier as the extension, the classroom 8 9 addition to the New Wing, the circa 1966 building, and you can see at the ending point here the intervention 10 11 of a circulation system that includes an elevator that 12 would allow all of buildings these to be 13 interconnected, but also all of the landscapes that we 14 have described to be interconnected as well. 15 So these linkages that Skip described, 16 these landscape terraces would be a component piece of 17 this solution. 18 superimposed on this drawing Wе 19 existing buildings with that circulation system, just 20 to be clear about that, and now we're superimposing on 21 that the existing landscape topography. 22 Essentially what we've done is to take 23 beginnings and ends of existing landscapes, 24 instance, the front or the western door of the

National Cathedral is beautifully landscaped and the

Olmsted Woods is beautifully landscaped, but if you would connect those things with a meandering path through St. Albans, the middle is not up to the standards of the beginnings and ends of these sequences.

So what we've essentially proposed to St.

Albans is that these middle landscapes or these middle
pathways connecting existing beginnings and ends be
made consistent with the wonderful landscape of the
Close (phonetic) that exists around them.

We've also attempted to achieve a material continuity with the various pieces of architecture that we showed you earlier, and essentially the backbone or armature for the construction of the New Wing addition and areas that will support these new terraces that we've created will be constructed out of stone that will match the stonework of the turn of the century Lane Johnson Building.

This is an aerial rendering of the proposal of the classroom extension, which is this portion here. This is the elevator connection that makes all of this accessible.

This portion here is the existing New Wing that will be reclad, and these are some of the new terrace levels, this being the little field. And

these new terrace levels connect different levels of the Olmsted Woods and help create these intermediate steps from the high ground to the low ground and also hide subterranean loading and service and mechanical areas for the St. Albans campus. All of these activities, service loading and some mechanical, are currently prominent view on the campus when viewed from the community behind these buildings. Currently they're all sitting in this location here above the very steep asphalt roadway. All of that will be completely hidden from view in the future below this new green terrace landscape. These are some of the trees that Skip Burck described that would extend the Olmsted Woods through to the opposite side of the St. Albans campus. And, Mr. Duffy, if I may MR. ETHERLY: very quickly, if you could return to that prior slide, with respect to -- I just want to be clear -- the landscaping and the representative depictions of the trees, that's meant to be fairly reflective of what you're intending the final product to look like? Thank you for that question. MR. DUFFY: I think it does deserve clarification. indicative These are conceptual

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 renderings, and they're not meant to be 100 percent specific, but these do represent an intention and also 2 a logic that we're working with on these solutions, 3 and a relative size, as well. 4 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Perhaps when we return to Mr. Burck's remarks, as you discussed, the 6 7 incorporation of the Olmsted Woods into the existing architecture and some of the new construction, it's 8 going to be very helpful really, at least for one 9 10 Board member, to kind of talk me through that so that 11 I understand what you mean. 12 I am kind of perhaps one of the visual folk here on the Board. So I'm trying to picture the 13 14 incorporation of the woods into the new construction. 15 So that will be helpful to kind of perhaps spoon feed that piece of it to me. But I just wanted to be sure 16 17 that you are not intending this to represent what the 18 final product would look like. 19 MR. DUFFY: I think it is representative 20 of, but not specifically so. I mean, we're in an 21 early phase of the design, but I do believe that the 22 intentions are strongly indicated here. 23 MR. ETHERLY: But as you were referencing, 24 the walkways and the paths that we see here and then

the movement between the terraces, all of that is

1	definitely meant to pick up on the material used here.
2	MR. DUFFY: Yes.
3	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and that's going to
4	flow throughout all of the new connections.
5	MR. DUFFY: Yes.
6	MR. QUIN: And I guess at another point we
7	could ask Skip to come back and describe in more
8	detail the nature of the plannings that would be
9	there. This is a little bit scarce in order to make
10	your other points.
11	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So just to follow up
14	on what Mr. Quin is saying, I gather that that picture
15	didn't reflect all of the landscaping that's actually
16	going to be planned for that area. I know we see a
17	lot of lawn and some trees, but there may be more?
18	MR. BURCK: Yes.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You have to come to
20	a microphone though.
21	MR. BURCK: For example, most likely in
22	these beds here we would find a tree level, small
23	tree, shrub and groundcover association, all those
24	different layers to those plantings like you would
25	find in the forest, and we would look, too. In fact,

1	my project manager was on site today and yesterday
2	analyzing the different layers here, looking at
3	Olmsted Woods to find out exactly what the planting
4	association was so that we could then propose that for
5	replicating areas of that elsewhere.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do we have a
7	landscaping plan in the record?
8	MR. BURCK: It's a very schematic one at
9	this point.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's schematic at
11	this point?
12	MR. BURCK: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is that in the record
14	or not yet?
15	MR. BURCK: The schematic one is in the
16	record, yes, and I think, skip, one of the things we
17	may want to do is to file in the record a more
18	complete one that would show the nature of the
19	plantings that you propose in these areas.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That would be a good
21	idea. Thank you.
22	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairperson, just to call
23	your attention, in the area in the plant that was
24	filed, if you look at the planning plan under L-102,
25	I just want to make sure that they are referenced in

the site area plan. That covers what we have today, but I think maybe we should do a consolidation that would make it more easy.

MR. ETHERLY: Well, I think definitely as we move to the PowerPoint presentation it will be very helpful, if not necessary, to have this included in the record and, of course, submitted to the ANC. Ιt is definitely helpful in terms of, shall we say, informing all of the schematics that are included in the submittal that came with the prehearing submission because what I'm doing and perhaps what my colleagues are doing is looking back between the schematics, gelling that with the presentation and the oral testimony, and then of course, as we see the conceptual illustrations, it's very helpful in terms of trying to really pull it all together.

So I'll leave it there, but I will perhaps have some further questions as we continue to kind of talk a little bit about the landscaping piece. But I gather right now where we're at is just essentially trying to get a sense of what the spirit is behind the thinking for the overall project, and I appreciate that one major theme right off of the bat is trying to incorporate, revive more of the Olmsted Woods to, shall we say, counterbalance some of the erosion that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 we've seen over the years as a result of prior 2 constructions. And I can definitely appreciate that. 3 And then, secondly, trying to replicate in 4 some of the newly created open spaces and some of the 5 pathways a little more of a tie aesthetically, conceptually, architecturally even so there's not 6 7 perhaps such a disparate feel to the campus because of that rich architectural tapestry that you reference, 8 9 which I can also appreciate. 10 But otherwise, the schematics just as an 11 example of a question in my head, as you look at the 12 plantings plan that's noted in Sheet No. L-102, there are some very specific references to number of trees 13 14 that would require removal or mitigation, and then a 15 total number of trees in landscape. Are those numbers, for example, Mr. Quin, 16 are those numbers intended to be hard numbers at this 17 18 point or is that still somewhat --19 MR. OUIN: No, these are fairly advanced 20 numbers. So I think that the three sheets that you have beginning with 100 are indicative of what our 21 22 proposal is. That shows the tree mitigation plan, and 23 then it shows the planning plan under L-102. 24 MR. ETHERLY: Okay, but you're suggesting 25 that there may be an ability at this point in terms of

some of your illustrations to offer a more advanced or 1 2 somewhat tighter look. MR. QUIN: I was suggesting that. Now I'm 3 4 looking at it and thinking it looked pretty detailed 5 as it is, but we can certainly relook at that and see if it should be supplemented. 6 7 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent. Thank you, Madam Chair. I apologize for 8 9 that. 10 Madam Chair, MR. MANN: since we've 11 stopped here and started to engage in this discussion, 12 you actually did use a phrase at the beginning of this portion of your presentation that you're at an early 13 14 phase of design, and I was going to address that 15 later, but what does that mean, "at an early phase of For the particular schematic that you're 16 showing us or for all of design or just landscape? 17 18 Maybe you can just clarify that. 19 MR. DUFFY: We're advancing all of these 20 projects simultaneously, and we're about at the end of 21 the schematic phase moving into design development 22 with these projects. 23 As Mr. Quin described earlier, two of them will advance beyond that, the classroom edition and 24 25 the athletic field component that you'll hear about in

1	a few minutes.
2	MR. MANN: Okay. So that's not in
3	contradiction with the specificity with which Mr. Quin
4	just noted the plans have regarding trees?
5	MR. DUFFY: No, I think that some areas
6	had to be looked at in greater detail, and that was
7	one of the issues, the trees.
8	MR. MANN: In regard to the entire
9	landscape plan, not just the one slide where you made
10	that comment, correct?
11	MR. DUFFY: Yes. Also, these areas of
12	landscape have been a touch mutable in discussions
13	with the community, and you can appreciate that this
14	is a dynamic process.
15	MR. MANN: Okay. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just located L-102,
17	and I just want to make sure that I understand. It
18	doesn't say what kind of trees are being planted or
19	anything like that, does it? We don't have that in
20	the record. It just says to let them where trees
21	are going to be planted.
22	MR. QUIN: I think that's correct, but I'd
23	like Mr. Burck to address that issue.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and the other
25	question I would think that Mr Burck would be the

appropriate witness. You're working on this very
closely with HPRB; is that not correct, since it's
historic property?
MR. QUIN: Yes, absolutely.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So they're looking at
the kinds of trees that are going in here to be
consistent with Olmsted's plan, et cetera; is that
right?
MR. QUIN: That's my understanding. Mr.
Duffy and Mr. Burck, and I know Carolyn Brown of our
office have all been working with Steve Colcutt at the
office, and that also will be going to HPRB on the
23rd, next week.
So, yes, that will be part of their
approval, I'm sure.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And I would think
that there would be that kind of a detailed landscape
plan then presented to them showing what types of
trees
MR. QUIN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: and what types of
shrubs.
MR. QUIN: And that's what I was
proposing. I was hoping that we could take that lead
and file that with the Board as well.

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That would be great.
2	Yes, that's what I was hoping as well. Okay, and
3	there will be time for that.
4	MR. QUIN: Thanks.CHAIRPERSON MILLER:
5	Thank you.
6	MR. MANN: Is the landscape on the
7	national register?
8	MR. QUIN: The entire Close is on the
9	national register.
LO	MR. MANN: The entire Close. So that
L1	includes?
L2	MR. QUIN: The appearance, both physical.
L3	There is always a question of interpretation as to
L4	what a designation means, but some people say even the
L5	topography is. Other people say it's not the
L6	topography; it's the structure. So I think it's open
L7	to some interpretation, but overall the goal here is
L8	to deal with physical improvements, including
L9	landscaping. That includes hard and soft, as well as
20	the architecture.
21	MR. MANN: Great. Thank you.
22	MR. ETHERLY: And one final question on
23	this area. With respect to some of the ongoing
24	discussions that will be taking place with the ANC and
25	with the community, we're probably nearing or we're

beginning to touch on some of those areas, and I want
to be sensitive of not putting the applicant in the
position of speaking for the ANC because, of course,
the ANC will address a number of these issues, but you
did reference, Mr. Duffy, that there are components of
the landscaping piece, if not all of it in its
entirety, that are a big pat of your conversations
with the community. Is that an appropriate
characterization here, that this overall landscaping
plan is a big piece, or are there particular segments
of the property where there are going to be particular
issues that hopefully will get resolved?
MR. DUFFY: That would be my
MR. DUFFY: That would be my understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that.
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that.
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because that will be part of the process, and we've already
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because that will be part of the process, and we've already submitted and responded. In fact, today we filed
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because that will be part of the process, and we've already submitted and responded. In fact, today we filed additional drawings. I guess it was today or was it
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because that will be part of the process, and we've already submitted and responded. In fact, today we filed additional drawings. I guess it was today or was it yesterday? Yesterday, additional drawings to address
understanding, but Mr. Quin may want to clarify that. MR. QUIN: We think we're already resolving those issues as we deal with HPRB because that will be part of the process, and we've already submitted and responded. In fact, today we filed additional drawings. I guess it was today or was it yesterday? Yesterday, additional drawings to address those, including softer approach, for example, from

MR. ETHERLY: Okay, excellent.

Τ	MR. QUIN: As we get into the next phase.
2	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and the reason why I
3	ask is, once again, I think we're trying to marry a
4	couple of different things here. One, of course, will
5	be the zoning inquiry, but I think, of course, there
6	are going to be a number of concerns that will emerge
7	from the ANC, that already have emerged from the ANC's
8	initial report filing and may emerge in terms of oral
9	testimony.
10	So I'm trying to also be sure that we're
11	flagging those areas where there still is some
12	discussion that's ongoing. So we're aware of that as
13	well.
14	MR. QUIN: Yes.
15	MR. ETHERLY: So if there are any shall we
16	say red flag areas that need to be highlighted, that
17	would be helpful so that we know that this is an area
18	that really still needs some work and some dialogue.
19	MR. QUIN: Yes. That will be also covered
20	
	by Mr. Arentz as our next witness.
21	by Mr. Arentz as our next witness. MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you.
21 22	
	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you.
22	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.
22 23	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. MR. DUFFY: I offer this exhibit. This is

described here, extremely steep from about this point up through to where the loading and service area is behind the first building on the campus, the Lane Johnson Building, to serve as their active refectory or cafeteria space.

And this is what that outdoor loading area

And this is what that outdoor loading area looks like here with outdoor mechanical space and dumpsters for the trash. All of that, including the asphalt roadway that currently bisects or precludes bringing the Olmsted Woods into the campus because it severs it right here, all of that will be suppressed or hidden from view and allow these green landscapes to float over top and connect each other from high to low.

MR. QUIN: Mr. Etherly, one thing that I don't know whether you saw, if you look at L-101 -- I'm sorry to jump back -- but the common name is set forth in the lower left-hand corner in terms of the trees that are being planted. So I didn't want you to get the feeling that this was just a, you know, indefinite. This has been studied, and it will be native species to go along with the Olmsted Woods.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent. Thank you.

MR. DUFFY: So, again, you can see the

extent of the roadway, and at this point it will go underneath this green terrace and have loading service and all mechanical spaces underneath this potential landscape into the future, and this allows the connection of these green spaces through all the way to the perimeter of the Close.

An indicative three dimensional perspective of the addition, the connection through to the Olmsted Woods from this new terrace that hides the loading, trash, and mechanical areas, and the elevator tower rendered in stone that would allow accessibility to all levels throughout the campus.

This is the Senior Circle area of the campus. This is the front door of the Lane Johnson Building. So this would be the high ground or main entrance of the St. Albans School. It currently has a round-about approximately in this location. It is accessible to vehicles and will remain so and currently has a parking spaces and those are intended to remain in the future.

What we've done here is to create the opportunity of bringing some more trees into this area and softening the edge and also creating a more definitive and designed connection through from that archway of the little sanctuary that Skip Burck showed

2.0

1 earlier connecting through to the future you 2 Performing Arts Building and New Wing classroom. 3 So the Performing Arts space would be 4 located beneath the Senior Circle virtually hidden 5 from view, and that's about all there is to say about that program. Very little of that building is visible 6 7 from grade in any condition. 8 So the entrance would be here adjacent to 9 the existing New Wing building. You would go down a 10 series of stairs or have elevator access down to the 11 performance space, which would be beneath the existing 12 Senior Circle. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So what would be 13 14 visible? The roof of it or what? 15 No, there's one side here. MR. DUFFY: There's a stair that comes down that helps link this 16 17 landscape with the next sequenced landscape down. 18 This is an exterior stone, blue stone paved stair with 19 a stone wall to match the Lane Johnson Building so it 20 looked like a landscaped wall, and that would be one 21 edge that would emerge out of the ground from a zero 22 It's sort of pie shaped to an area that condition. 23 would be one story high in this location here. And this area here is about 13 feet down 24

Sorry.

or one story down.

25

This area here would be

1	down one level, and that would be paved with blue
2	stone as well, and that facade there would be the main
3	lobby of the Performing Arts, but hidden from view
4	because you would be on an upper landscape looking out
5	over to views of Washington at that point.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
7	MR. DUFFY: You would have to be within
8	the campus to actually see it.
9	So I'd like to introduce Richard Arentz to
10	go through the athletic field component piece of the
11	project.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Duffy, I just
13	want to ask you one question before you go. You made
14	a comment about I think that the new addition was
15	going to be built, but it was secondary, I think
16	MR. DUFFY: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: to the Lane
18	Building.
19	MR. DUFFY: Yes, Lane Johnson.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Lane Johnson
21	Building. Could you explain that a little bit, what
22	you mean by that?
23	MR. DUFFY: That's a good question. There
24	are different ways to answer it because it's a complex
25	question, but the Lane Johnson Building sits on the

high ground of the St. Albans portion of the Close, which, as described earlier, is down from the high point of where the cathedral sits, and it is a robust building. It is rendered in this heavy stone, and it has a strong presence because it's adjacent to the main entrance, but also occupies the high ground and it's a very permanent kind of architecture.

And this extension is really an extension of an existing building which is call the New Wing, which was done in the '60s. So it generally follows the profiles of that existing building and is an extension of the something else.

So by default it is really an addition to an existing condition and finishes up downhill from where the Lane Johnson Building even starts. So as a strategy for the architecture, we thought that these landscaped walls that we have to support these terraces and the new classroom addition as described very skillfully by your fellow Board member is the dominant architectural characteristic of these new interventions, and the classroom space would be transparent and look out into the trees that would be the extension of the Olmsted Woods.

So from that building, you could appreciate the landscape that would surround the

1 building. 2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. As a follow-3 up, do tell me what you meant by landscaped walls. 4 MR. DUFFY: May I show you a picture? 5 This is a depiction of the structure of the architecture, and the architecture is in support 6 7 of landscape, landscaped terraces, and support of these new addition, and so everything that you're 8 9 seeing in this view is constructed out of 10 stonework that would match this turn of the century 11 building and be in support of landscaped, whether it's 12 grass areas or treed areas or classroom areas. 13 So that's what we mean by these landscaped 14 walls, these spaces in the foreground that would be 15 in support of these new terraces that would hide service and loading areas for the project. 16 So we characterize those as landscaped 17 18 They would be beautiful stone walls that you walls. 19 would see in a landscape somewhere or around the 20 perimeter of the Close there are many of these stone 21 walls around the perimeter of the Close 22 Wisconsin Avenue, for instance. 23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So are they like 24 retaining walls? 25 MR. DUFFY: Something like that, but well

1	done, I mean, to match things that are magnificent
2	about the Close, not meant to create a new vocabulary.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right, and they're
4	beautiful stone, and they're not landscaped
5	themselves.
6	MR. DUFFY: No, no, no.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: With ivy all over
8	them or something like that.
9	MR. DUFFY: No, no, no.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. DUFFY: I'm sorry. I guess that was
12	a confusion
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, no. You answered
14	it well. Thank you very much.
15	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairman and members of
16	the Board, I want to make certain that we don't leave
17	with you the impression that we're not pretty far
18	along. In fact, we're 50 percent completed, design
19	development drawings, and I thought if I could just
20	take about two minutes and have Mr. Burck just
21	summarize the landscaping intention, that might be
22	helpful to the Board in terms of detailing the nature
23	of what the landscaping would be.
24	So Skip.
25	MR. BURCK: There was a question earlier

1	on whether or not to put this in the presentation or
2	not. So it wasn't in the presentation, but here it
3	is.
4	This is a list of
5	MR. QUIN: That was my fault because I
6	thought we would try to cut down on the amount of
7	testimony, knowing full well that you would ask the
8	question.
9	MR. BURCK: Thank you for falling on your
10	sword.
11	And I'll read you different categories of
12	plantings that go from the tallest to the smallest
13	just so you'll get an idea of where we are on this,
14	but canopy trees for this new planting may include red
15	maple, swamp white oak, tulip poplar and tupelo.
16	Understory trees: red bud, Silver Bell,
17	American holly, ironwood, and sassafras.
18	Medium high shrubs: witch hazel,
19	winterberry holly, mountain laurel, Catawba
20	rhododendron, arrow wood viburnum.
21	Low to medium shrubs: native deciduous
22	azaleas, summer sweet dwarf Father Gil, Virginia sweet
23	spire.
24	And then on the ground plane, hay scented
25	fern, wood fern, Virginia blue bells, May apple foam

1	flower, and Christmas fern.
2	And this, again, is a preliminary list
3	that we are starting to look now in detail at Olmsted
4	Woods to see whether or not we have the full spectrum
5	and will be adjusted to the design process.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Will you be
7	finalizing that this summer with HPRB or what?
8	MR. BURCK: I suspect so. To tell you the
9	truth, I'm not exactly clear what the
10	MR. QUIN: I think what we probably
11	normally do is to have a range. In other words,
12	designate the areas and say within this area the range
13	will be such-and-such or similar, and that's what
14	we've done before, and I suspect that's what the Board
15	would like to see.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
17	MR. QUIN: Certainly the HPRB will want to
18	see it.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.
20	MR. QUIN: And I assume that you all would
21	like to see it as well.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's right. That's
23	right. I mean, that's what I'm assuming, that if we
24	were interested in the planting list, that HPRB would
25	be. So whatever you would be submitting to them since

1	they're so concerned about the historic property, you
2	would submit to us
3	MR. QUIN: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: so it would reach
5	our concerns as well. Okay.
6	MR. QUIN: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very much.
8	MR. QUIN: Thank you.
9	MR. ETHERLY: And if I could, Madam Chair,
10	while we have Mr. Burck up, let me just dispense with
11	this question quickly.
12	It was noted in the Office of Planning
13	report, but at page 4, the Office of Planning does
14	note under landscaping a number of different things,
15	but of particular importance, the introduction of
16	additional vegetation between the fields and extended
17	plantings along the practice fields south of the
18	Olmsted Woods to have a unified edge along Garfield
19	Street.
20	Are those issues that are also being
21	addressed in the landscaping plan at this point as
22	well?
23	MR. BURCK: Yes.
24	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Thank you.
25	Thank you, Madam Chair.

1 MR. MANN: And one follow-up question. 2 During your presentation you described or you used the 3 phrase "cohesive landscape." So does that mean the 4 inclusion of those plant types that you just listed 5 create that cohesive landscape? And do you mean by cohesive that they're truly the original intent of 6 7 Olmsted? 8 MR. BURCK: No. By cohesive what I mean 9 is that we're going to use a very similar palate 10 throughout all of the disturbed area, which 11 probably 70 to 80 percent of the St. Albans campus as 12 a result of the design proposals in order to tie the 13 different areas together. Some areas will have lawn 14 with trees in them which will be native trees, but the 15 predominance will be woodland in character, which will have all of the different layers that I've mentioned. 16 17 MR. MANN: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have one other 19 question before you go. I mean, this sounds like you 20 all are doing major landscaping in connection with 21 this application; is that correct? 22 MR. BURCK: Yes. 23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okav. When is the last time that St. Albans did undertake any kind of 24 25 major landscaping?

1	MR. BURCK: I would suspect it was
2	probably a part of the last architecture that was
3	built there. Would it be the Stewart Building 35
4	years ago? That would be my guess.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you very
6	much.
7	MR. BURCK: No, I take that back. There
8	have been later memorial gardens as part of the
9	campus. So probably more recently, within the last
10	five or ten years.
11	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The memorial gardens,
12	were they pretty major like this or just isolated
13	gardens?
14	MR. BURCK: No, no, isolated, yeah. I
15	think that's been the pattern of the development of
16	the campus.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, this is going
19	to be my last question.
20	Mr. Burck, before you run, what I'm
21	trying to do, once again, there are a number of kind
22	of elements to this kind of big chunks, and I think
23	we've landed in one of the nice, big chunks, which is
24	landscaping, no pun intended here, but while I have
25	the benefit of you, I just also wanted to run through

1 a quick observation that was made in the ANC report, 2 and that was as we begin to kind of transition our 3 discussion also towards the athletic portion of this 4 piece, part of the concern, part of an issue, of 5 course, will be that you're going to have to remove some trees, either remove or otherwise impact a number 6 7 of trees. And in addition, there were concerns noted 8 9 in the ANC report around buffering, and from a visual 10 standpoint buffering for some of the 11 surrounding property owners that bordered the subject 12 property, and then also from kind of sound 13 standpoint. 14 So while I have you up here, could you 15 speak a little bit to some of your thinking or some of your planning around buffering from a landscape 16 17 standpoint, visually, as well as to any extent 18 buffering would help from a sound standpoint? 19 BURCK: Well, first, I'd like to 20 clarify that landscape does absolutely nothing to 21 It does psychologically, but in a alter sound. 22 physical sense, it does not. 23 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 24 MR. BURCK: Okay? In terms of buffering, 25 Roger has mentioned Decker Terrace here between

1 Stewart and in the library and making this connection 2 here. It's very important to us, and so we would like 3 this edge here to appear very nicely. 4 So we're interested in what this looks 5 like from an internal point of view, but on the other hand, from cars traveling here, I think the intent is 6 7 to allow them to continue to see the Cathedral. 8 the buffering needs to be 9 carefully because we don't want to close the public 10 out from use of the Cathedral, but from interior 11 views, we want to make the edge look very nice, and 12 this is, as I mentioned earlier, one of those edges that has been neglected for a long time, has a lot of 13 14 broken up trees on it from storm events and kind of 15 volunteer weed trees. Is it fair to say or could 16 MR. ETHERLY: 17 you identify in terms of the trees that are slated to 18 be removed at this particular point, are they all 19 occurring in pretty much a concentrated area, or do 20 you anticipate tree removal happening throughout the 21 property for various reasons? 22 Well, the scope of work plan MR. BURCK: 23 I showed a pretty large area of construction activity, 24 and so it will be the trees. They're not located in

They're, you know, filtered all

one discrete area.

1 throughout as construction would go from one area to 2 another. MR. ETHERLY: 3 Okay. So it's fair to say 4 that, one, the landscaping plan is going to really 5 cover a wide swath of property, not necessarily concentrating in any one area, but is it fair to say 6 7 that as you deal with the boundary, perimeter of the property here, especially along this street because 8 9 residences that line this you have entire 10 thoroughfare, is it safe to say that you are, of 11 course, paying a lot of attention to this particular 12 stretch here from a planting and a tree standpoint? 13 MR. BURCK: Down here? We have not really 14 focused on this area down here. We have focused more 15 on the slope here. 16 MR. ETHERLY: Okav. Thank you. 17 Thank you, Madam Chair. 18 MR. QUIN: Mr. Etherly, just so that you 19 probably have already figured it out, but Mr. Burck is 20 really dealing with the landscaping primarily to the 21 west of Pilgrim Road, which comes in through Chill 22 Pilgrim (phonetic) Road, and Mr. Arentz is dealing with the athletic fields to the east. 23 24 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 25 MR. QUIN: And that's why there may not be

1	apparent cohesiveness in the design, but there is.
2	They've worked together all along, and you'll now hear
3	from Mr. Arentz on the athletic facilities and how he
4	has addressed a lot of the issues that have been
5	raised, even fairly recently. You will see some
6	slides on that.
7	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just want to follow
9	up on Mr. Etherly's question and maybe Mr. Arentz is
10	going to address this because it sounds like you just
11	said that Mr. Burck is doing the landscaping for the
12	area north of Pilgrim Road, and that Mr. Arentz is
13	going to talk about the fields.
14	And I guess what Mr. Etherly was noticing,
15	that it looks somewhat bare along Garfield Street. Is
16	that Garfield Street?
17	And I'm wondering is someone addressing
18	landscaping along Garfield Street or is that not in
19	your application?
20	MR. QUIN: No, that's very much part of
21	what we're doing, and Mr. Arentz has been spinning his
22	tires getting ready to charge into the explanation of
23	it.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you
25	very much.

1 MR. BURCK: Thank you. 2 Mr. Arentz. MR. QUIN: Thank you, Madam Chair, 3 MR. ARENTZ: 4 Board. 5 I am Richard Arentz, Arentz Landscape Architects based here in Washington, D.C. 6 I live in 7 8401 Charnock Road, Orlean, Virginia. What I am talking about today is the 8 fields project and hopefully being able to tie in and 9 10 answer a lot of these questions that you've just 11 recently brought up about how all of these pieces 12 really do work together. What I'd first like to do is to be able to 13 14 talk about the boundaries of the fields project. 15 First, that it's bounded by, on the eastern side here, by 34th Street, Garfield Street here, Pilgrim Road, 16 17 and Olmsted Woods along this edge, and then by a combination of Shared Services and Beauvoir School to 18 19 the north. Of the fields projects, the fields that 20 are currently there, there are the ten tennis courts 21 22 that are running along Garfield Street. There is a 23 mixed field here, again, track, that is wrapping 24 around. You can see here that it is not regulation

and it is just circling around the outside of the

baseball field.

The other component here that we're going to be addressing is that this is the existing Beauvoir pool.

The program that we are looking to fit into this site, which actually I'm sorry. I didn't point out in this last slide. Actually one of the things that's difficult here is looking at the overall slope of this, and just to keep in mind that this is an overall sloping site that goes 81 feet from the diagonal of here at the base of Beauvoir down to the intersection of 34th and Garfield, and the 67 foot drop going north and south in this direction.

The fields program itself start off with we are actually decreasing the amount of tennis courts where having eight courts with the first four of those courts being on exactly the same location and grade of the existing courts in that corner.

We are then adding another four just north of that, following along an existing wall that happens to separate out that play field that's there currently, and we are going to be -- this second set of tennis courts follows with that same grade.

Just to the west of that, there's an underground, less than 1,000 square foot tennis

1 pavilion and storage, and that's underground in this 2 location. So all that really is appearing is there's 3 an 11 foot high wall that happens along here, and this 4 would be underneath there. 5 There's also going to be access --CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Excuse me. What's a 6 7 tennis pavilion? MR. ARENTZ: It's a facility where you can 8 9 go buy supplies, tennis balls. You can have your 10 tennis rackets restrung, that kind of thing. 11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Not underground 12 tennis courts? 13 MR. ARENTZ: No. 14 So anyway, so that we looked at doing it 15 this way so that it was the most discrete form of expression of this building along the edge. 16 17 able to get to that, you would end up coming up from 18 down below up to here, and then above that, there is 19 space that you could use as a viewing area for when 20 there's tournaments going on for the school. 21 Then further north of that, there is a 22 dedicated practice field that's 100 feet by 200 feet. Off to the left-hand side is a baseball field that is 23 24 320 feet by 320 feet, and there are two practice

fields that could be overlaid on top of that, you

know, for fall use.

Above that, to the northern part of the site, this is now a regulation track that is a six-lane track, and there's a multi-purpose field that happens here that would include soccer, lacrosse, and football.

Again, obviously the field events would be happening towards the perimeter of this.

We are also looking at relocating in kind the Beauvoir swimming pool. They currently have a 12,000 square foot area that they use, and that is going to be relocated over here.

Now people are going to be using the space, and with trying to be able to get this as the central collection point for everybody to come in, so that it's access for people to be coming up Pilgrim Road, to be able to come across from the school itself over here and into a central collection point. This central plaza is the place where people can then move from there through an arcaded space going east to west, and from there you can drop down into the practice fields or baseball, to be able to drop down this way to be able to get to the tennis courts through here and to be able to get back out to 34th Street.

1	We have handicapped accessible ramp that
2	goes from, again, the entrance plaza up to the upper
3	fields, again, all of the uses that I had just
4	described previously.
5	There is access to the Beauvoir pool from
6	Beauvoir, and it would be coming down this slope and
7	into their pool pavilion and into the pool itself.
8	We are also looking at just in general
9	wrapping this whole edge and the existing sidewalks.
10	There are going to be two community gated
11	opportunities here and here to be able to allow the
12	community access from those other spots rather than
13	just making it one here at Pilgrim Road.
14	There's also going to be an emergency
15	access point that would happen up at this location for
16	field use.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are the community
18	gates to walk into or they're not for cars?
19	MR. ARENTZ: No, no. They're just for
20	walking.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Just for walking.
22	Okay.
23	MR. MANN: Those gates, you need a key to
24	access them. Is that
25	MR. ARENTZ: There's going to be a

1	combination code on them that would be given to the
2	local community throughout the area here. We can
3	clarify that.
4	I'm sorry. To clarify that, it closes at
5	five and opens at 7:00 a.m., and it would be timed to
6	be accessed. I'm sorry?
7	Opens at five and then closes at seven.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So you're saying the
9	gate opens at five?
10	MR. ARENTZ: Automatically bringing the
11	gate
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Automatically at 5:00
13	p.m.?
14	MR. ARENTZ: A.m.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, 5:00 a.m.
16	MS. MEEHAN: No.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's to be
18	determined?
19	MR. ARENTZ: Sorry, Colleen.
20	MS. MEEHAN: That's okay.
21	My name is Colleen Meehan. I work at St.
22	Albans School. I'm Director of Planning.
23	Rita asked SOM to do some research on how
24	we could make this gate work for the neighbors who
25	have asked repeatedly if they could have an easier way

to access the Close, and we'd very much like to provide that. So without making it too much of a labor intensive activity, we have found a device that is actually a timer, very much like the timer you might use on your household lights. This is a timer that will unlock the gate at 5:00 p.m., which is after the time that sports have ended and a couple of hours after the time that the young children at Beauvoir for whom we have a particular security concern would have left the Close. And so at 5:00 p.m., it would open, and anyone walking their dog or coming in to just enjoy the grounds would be able to come in at that entrance on 34th Street and then directly walk through the arcade, which will be a safe environment because it's covered and in terms of the sports, and then go over towards Pilgrim Road and into the woods or up the roadway. It would then lock in the morning, you know, prior to the time that students would be arriving for school. There's going to be CHAIRPERSON MILLER: a covered arcade? MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Arentz is going to be

describing that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, you're going to 2 be describing that. Okay. You're talking about being protected from stray balls and stuff like that? 3 4 MS. MEEHAN: Exactly. 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. This diagram rally talks 6 ARENTZ: 7 about how we're actually fitting these fairly large footprints of the field and the of the baseball into 8 Obviously currently there are 9 the sloping site. 10 slopes; there are walls, there are steps, and we're 11 just really reconfiguring how those are allocated 12 throughout the site, again trying to reconcile these 13 fairly large footprints into this and really making 14 sure that we're maximizing the opportunities here. 15 One of the things that I wanted to talk about here -- and I'll get to the arcade in a 16 17 second -- but how we're terracing this up, it was really important for us in the beginning of this, and 18 19 my first has been working on this on the heels of the 20 master plan that was completed in '98, and looking at 21 how this could get reconciles. 22 So one of the things that was important to 23 keeping the existing grade here at 24 intersection of 34th and Garfield and preserving the

long views up to the Cathedral. And so that was an

important part of our work from the very beginning.

What we have here is, again, an existing grade, and what happens here is it is a one percent slope that goes from west to east. The residual that we have then, again, is existing grade. There is an existing wall that happens along here currently at the north end of those four tennis courts. We would be keeping that existing grade. There would be a new wall that would happen there, and then we will add in this next layer of tennis courts that's happening here. It's actually cutting down 11 foot of grade out of the area.

So part of our effort was to really look at how we're cutting and being able to increase views coming into the site. So further as we go on up from there, again, with this slipping one percent across, we're actually able to pick up two feet across there.

So on this condition we end up having a wall that's at four and a half feet high and out to six and a half feet high to this end.

As we're moving sort of toward the west, this wall, again, is 11 feet when it's on the inside and from the outside condition, we have a nine foot wall internally, and we've also brought out some low landscape walls. I mean, there are conditions

throughout the Close that have a variety of opportunities for the pedestrian experience, and one of those, it talks about some of the low walls that are 30 inches and lower so that walking past those, they are not inundating walls.

So it was one of the things that we've been looking about as sort of the edge conditions. Also, the walls that get pulled out to the edge would be clad in stone, very much talking about the materials of this place.

So there's a low wall that then also is bounding from three feet high, again, up to nine feet high towards the back of the baseball field.

and a half percent slope, you know, from home plate out and in all three directions so that that's actually a two foot grade difference from home plate out to this field and a four foot difference to here. So, again, in these large footprints, there's actually a fair amount of grade that's actually taken up with even very subtle slopes such as those.

As we move further north, we're coming across then this arcade, and the design intention here was to really use this as sort of a gathering spot here for the entrance plaza and to be able to bring

1	you through a safe and covered environment. So what
2	this is doing is bringing you along sort of the
3	pedestrian spine, if you will, that connects the site
4	and all of the activities north and south through this
5	east-west corridor.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Could I ask you about
7	that arcade?
8	MR. ARENTZ: Sure.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It has the cover.
10	Does it have sides? Does it have walls or is it
11	columns or what is
12	MR. ARENTZ: It's just columns, and it's
13	a stone arcade that is actually Roman arch, and again,
14	it's at this end over here only 12 feet that is
15	expressed, again, because of how this slopes across
16	here, and then to this end it's 17 feet that is
17	actually expressed, height.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Height of columns?
19	That's what you mean by "expressed"?
20	MR. ARENTZ: No, height of the top of the
21	arcade to the base of the arcade.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Is how much?
23	MR. ARENTZ: Twelve to 17.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Twelve to 17. Okay.
25	MR. QUIN: You're going to come to the

1 perspective. 2 MR. ARENTZ: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 3 It's going to be 4 apparent then, perspective? The columns though, can 5 you just give me an idea though what they're like, how thick they are, how many spaces in between or are 6 7 there a lot of open spaces in between? There is, but I might defer 8 MR. ARENTZ: 9 to the architects. Can we come back to that? CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, go ahead. Yes. 10 11 MR. ARENTZ: Okay, great. So then we're 12 slipping up from there vertically to be able to get to 13 this 308 level, and what we're doing at this level is 14 we're actually sloping up from 34th Street, and we're 15 going to be ending up cutting in a bit off of Pilgrim Road. 16 17 From there we are then sloping up. 18 There's a 40 foot grade difference from this part of 19 Beauvoir down to the 308 and then a 30 foot grade difference here. 20 21 And what we're looking at doing is trying 22 to really maximize how that is perceived, pushing the 23 slope back so that you really are receding into the 24 slope, and I think that this next image really starts

to demonstrate how we see that happening.

The seating which is for the field part of this, there's a 600 person capacity within these bleachers' seating, and what we've done with that is really tried to stretch that out so that it actually goes out to the ten yard lines on the ends, and then it just gradually slopes back up so that we're maximizing the amount of green space that we have on the edges of the seating.

The other thing that we're looking at doing here is that, again, the vertical surfaces, trying to have those as much as possible -- the material stone is quite important for us, but we also from some of the community comments have been, you know, is that too hard. So we are looking at trying to soften much of the vertical surfaces throughout this space with Boston ivy, and I'm going to be specific about that.

It's a fairly traditional material like you would see on campus like this, and it's one that does -- it's deciduous. It drops its leaves in the fall. It actually turns quite bright red in the fall and then drops its leaves, and it allows the stone to be able to breathe. So it's not a long-term maintenance issue. You would have that with English ivy for example.

2.0

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: May I ask you is this
2	the perspective from the field for football basically
3	or lacrosse?
4	MR. ARENTZ: Yes. I'm sorry. This is the
5	308 elevation; this is the track, and then the multi-
6	purpose field.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, okay. How does
8	the seating capacity compare to what it is currently?
9	Is there something
10	MR. ARENTZ: There's not a comparable now.
11	I mean what happens now is it's actually just dragged
12	out onto the field.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I mean, for the field
14	now is there some seating capacity?
15	MR. ARENTZ: No.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No. People just sit
17	on the hill basically?
18	MR. ARENTZ: There's bleacher seating, but
19	it's not tucked into the hillside like this.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm just wondering if
21	the space is going to be able to accommodate more
22	spectators than the current space.
23	MR. QUIN: Why don't I call David Baad,
24	the Athletic Director, to explain that quickly?
25	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
	•

1 MR. BAAD: I'm David Baad, the Director of 2 Athletics. 3 The way we do things now is that we have 4 about ten bleacher sections that can hold 5 approximately 350 people, but for some games we draw many more than that and people simply just stand 6 7 around the field to watch the game. 8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. 9 BAAD: So we're certainly having MR. 10 stands that will accommodate more people, but I don't 11 think that would change the crowd sizes at all. 12 would just be more comfortable seating for people. 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. ARENTZ: Next, moving out to the edge 15 condition, these are two examples of what the existing conditions look like, albeit in the winter. 16 really sort of tells the story about 17 18 condition. I mean, what we have are old chain linked 19 fences that are rusted. They are also the old silver 20 type of chain linked fence. 21 Over the years, vines, et cetera, have 22 grown up through them. Even trees have grown up into 23 So it's really a bit bedraggled, this edge 24 condition. 25 The other thing that we have are slopes that range up to 60 percent slope such as in this area. One of the problems with that is that with slopes that steep, it's very difficult to get people to get in there to maintain them. Generally we consider a 30 percent slope is sort of the maximum that you would want to get in for a movable situation.

So that's one of the reasons we think that this is really left in this condition, which again is not very nice.

You know, the other components of the edge are some stone walls. This is that area that I had mentioned before, that wall across where the second level of tennis courts would be going in. So that's really talking about what this edge condition looks like at the moment.

There are a number of street trees that primarily are along 34th Street, which are great and in good condition, and obviously those are things that we're planning on sort of celebrating.

This diagram is we'll be talking about our efforts to either maintain or improve grade conditions along the edge. So we have achieved an 80 percent improvement or retain the existing. This is existing on this corner and we've actually cut out 11 feet of grade here so that we're encouraging these longer

views into the site.

So the goals here were to increase the views in by changing the grades or maintaining them; to dramatically upgrade the plantings throughout, but primarily on the edge; and that we were also looking at when walls are happening, that those walls are happening, that we are making the commitment that they are stone and when we are pulling out the edge condition, you know, it's one of the highest grade materials.

The thoughts, sort of building on what Skip had talked about earlier, were looking at, and this is actually just showing some of the images of those levels of plantings, from the variety of, you know, sort of canopy trees, and actually to look at the drawings. Right now they're called out as canopy trees, shade trees. I mean, there are flowering trees. So this, again, canopy trees.

These are representative of understory and flowering trees. This is a redbud and behind is actually dogwood. This is one of our native evergreen trees, this sort of American Holly, and this plant over here is Fathaghia (phonetic).

So we're looking at it doing a variety of materials that would be appropriate to pull out here

1 that, you know, are very much thinking about the 2 native plants for this region, and so, again, this is 3 a very general idea of what that pallet might be. 4 The other image that's up here is to sort 5 of talk about the softening of some of these vertical surfaces with the Boston ivy. 6 7 In some of the comments that have come back from the community, particularly from the ANC, 8 9 was to, you know, can we beef up our planting efforts 10 along all of really the edge conditions, and we have 11 taken that to heart and have come back and have added 12 more trees throughout this whole zone and actually quite a bit here at the entrance plaza, along Garfield 13 14 Street. 15 This little quadrant that was left here, again, this is 30 percent slope that's happening 16 17 across there, and we have actually pulled plantings down into that. 18 So there's a lot more 19 plantings there. And we are looking at efforts here to try 20 21 to be able to save a few more of the trees that we 22 have at the Beauvoir pool. 23 Again, you know, we're left here with 24 existing conditions. We have the safety concerns of

all of these internal uses of the fields, and really

1 the opportunity here is to be able to pull the notion 2 of Olmsted Woods across Pilgrim Road to here along the edge of the baseball field. 3 4 MR. ETHERLY: Mr. Arentz, if we could, 5 could we stick with the prior slide just for a moment? With regard to this intersection here --6 7 MR. ARENTZ: Yes. MR. ETHERLY: -- Garfield and 34th, let me 8 9 try to take a stab at kind of summing up what I think 10 I'm hearing thus far. It sounds like in large part 11 there was a desire to insure that you still protect 12 the vista into the closed property. 13 MR. ARENTZ: Right. 14 MR. ETHERLY: One of the things that I'll 15 be interested to hear from the ANC because I don't 16 want to presume that this is the issue or assume it, but is it safe to say that there is, however, a 17 tension between wanting to preserve those vistas into 18 19 the Close with also insuring that there still is some 20 type of satisfactory visual buffer as you look into 21 the athletic area, correct? 22 MR. ARENTZ: Correct. I mean, we have 23 along the edge, I mean, in the beginning of looking at 24 this we were thinking of not having as many large 25 canopy trees as ultimately came because it was our

1 perception that, you know, the scale of that, is that 2 going to be blocking views? So I think there's a fine line to be 3 4 established there. I think it's everybody's desire to 5 not be able to see because we do have safety concerns. There's going to be a six foot high fence that's along 6 7 here. So we're looking at having an undulating 8 planting, the same with the idea of the canopy trees, 9 the understory trees, so that there's a variety, so 10 that this is not just a straight line of plant 11 material, but that you would see a softness, an 12 undulation very much talking about the very casual 13 woodland nature of Olmsted Woods. 14 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So as I look at some 15 of the graphic representations, in particular, A-301 in the prehearing submission offers a perspective of 16 17 Garfield and 34th Street. I'll ask the same question as we got into a little earlier. Is that intended to 18 19 be a fairly straightforward representation of what you intend that kind of visual line to look at along 2.0 21 Garfield and towards 34th? 22 MR. ARENTZ: Yes. MR. ETHERLY: So that would be kind of --23 24 MR. ARENTZ: I was going to go to the next 25 slide because it's here.

210 1 MR. ETHERLY: Pretty much, yeah, pretty 2 much. 3 MR. ARENTZ: Yeah, it very much is. 4 mean, what we're looking at here, again, trying to 5 transition up, we looked at this from several different perspectives. We looked at this as people 6 7 who are walking by the edge condition and what their 8 views are going to be like as they're looking up into the Cathedral. 9 10 And also having just recently moved out of 11 Cleveland Park as a resident here, you know, driving 12 past this all the time you think about it as, you 13 know, what is it like also being in a car, and so you 14 want to make sure that -- and this was an intersection 15 that was always really quite an important one to me personally because you're always looking up there. 16 17

So wanting to maintain that grade and be able to maintain the view up was important. So planting-wise, you know, we would be looking at keeping these fairly low plantings right here at the corner, and then you can see here where it's starting to transition back up, and we'll get to another image here where it actually is pulling in some evergreens.

We have thought about, you know, trying to minimize the amount of things that will be really

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	dense and completely block views out, but again, if
2	it's a filter views that are coming in so that, you
3	know, you're not going to get a completely direct view
4	every time, but we're trying to frame the views so
5	that we're really trying to make sure that this
6	experience is the best that it can be.
7	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So there will be
8	varying areas of intensity, but part of the thinking
9	is insuring it at at least that Garfield Street-34th
10	Street corner. There is an opportunity, an opening so
11	to speak from which passersby, vehicles still have a
12	vista into the Close.
13	MR. ARENTZ: Right.
14	MR. ETHERLY: And similarly, that would
15	also perhaps be the case for residents that kind of
16	abut that particular corner, as well, still
17	maintaining sight lines
18	MR. ARENTZ: Exactly.
19	MR. ETHERLY: into the property.
20	Now, is it fairly set in stone, no pun
21	intended, that the chain linked fence that serves as
22	the perimeter for what would be, I guess, the tennis
23	courts. That essentially is what you're going with at
24	this particular point?
25	I don't necessarily have any ideas or

1 notions about what else would go there, but is that pretty much the only option at this particular point? 2 At the tennis courts 3 MR. ARENTZ: Yeah. 4 we have a ten foot, high black, vinyl coated, chain 5 linked fence. We do not have the upper rail. So we're trying to really keep this as light as possible. 6 7 We find that the upper rail really anchors that and So we're just having a wire that 8 makes it heavier. 9 would end up making that taut. 10 So the things that you're left with really 11 are the vertical members here. Along the portion 12 where there's the baseball field, we end up having a six foot high security fence there that's also a chain 13 14 link. 15 And, again, some of the comments that have come back from the community is: can you push that as 16 17 much as possible? And this next slide is one of those 18 19 opportunities where we thought we could do that. 20 could actually make an iron fence, and that's really this section right here, and this is the alleyway 21 22 across the way here. What you're looking at up here 23 is the track and field. So we have sidewalk along 24 34th Street, a 30 inch high wall that's stone, and

then a 30 percent slope that slopes up to the track.

So what happens here -- in fact, we were going over this this morning -- is, you know, there's a sense of depth that's created here and actually sort of a picturesque view of this with the rolling of this grate so that it actually looks further than it actually will be.

There's also here's an opportunity to be able to take some of the clues from the existing part of the site and there are dead or cedars that are here that are really quite nice and are things that could be transplanted, and we're actually looking at being able to try to have that happen within here and perhaps even repeat that one moment with some evergreens. So it's framing this very nice view to the Cathedral.

MR. ETHERLY: Now, coming back to the tennis courts for a moment, as I've had occasion to note before in other cases, being a tennis fan myself and maybe on a good day a tennis player, I do note at times, of course, that tennis courts do employ wind screens or other types of material that are attached to the fence to serve as a buffer for winning things along those lines.

Is there any type of -- and perhaps this is directed to the Athletic Director or maybe not --

1 is there any type of plan along those lines to do 2 anything like that that would interfere with that 3 sight line as you've established it? 4 MR. ARENTZ: Yeah, to my knowledge they 5 have never used windscreens here, but I think we're thinking that it might be the U.S. Open blue as far as 6 7 the material. 8 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Let's not go there. 9 (Laughter.) 10 MR. ARENTZ: I'm just kidding. 11 MR. ETHERLY: Now, with respect to and 12 just while I have you I'll throw the question out 13 there so I can be done with it. The ANC report did 14 reference, and you spoke to it to some extent, on I 15 believe it was page 3 of the report -- actually it's page 4 of my fax copy, it did reference some of the 16 17 once again understory plantings, perimeter hardwood 18 trees, to assist with kind of some of the visual 19 buffering for some of that streetscape along the 20 tennis court and to an extent perhaps along the 21 baseball field. 22 Is it your sense that this is pretty much 23 where you are now in terms of the landscape and 24 planting presentation for this particular area or are

there still other pieces in terms of that perimeter

1 that you're working on to, shall we say, soften this 2 piece and not just from the standpoint of 3 perimeter, but as we talk a little bit about the 4 plaza, the arcade, if you will, which is what I think 5 is a very lovely addition, but from the standpoint of visually conceivably that could be a lot of stone work 6 7 that you're looking at. But I guess my bottom line guestion is 8 you're pretty much close to final right now in terms 9 10 of you're proposing with regard the 11 landscaping on this part, on this piece. 12 That's what Skip was MR. ARENTZ: Yeah. saying before. I mean, we were asked to be able to 13 14 advance up, talking about where do we see canopy trees 15 happening, where do we see understory trees happening. So the drawings now have that level of 16 17 specificity to them, but exactly what those plans are 18 yet, that is going to be the next piece that we will 19 go into. 20 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. ARENTZ: And just additionally, I just 22 wanted to point out here, I mean, this is intended 23 that the arcade, and I know it's a little bit difficult to read from here, but we're looking that 24

those are also clad, I mean, with the ivy so that,

1	again, this has a soft, green vertical presence to it.
2	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent.
3	Thank you, Madam Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have a few
5	questions. Are you finished?
6	MR. ARENTZ: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Could you
8	elaborate more about the pool? You say you're moving
9	the pool from one spot to another spot. Why are you
10	doing that? What's going to be where the pool is?
11	The pool is actually Beauvoir property as
12	opposed to St. Albans property, but the pool is being
13	I mean, it's all one foundation property. I
14	understand that.
15	MR. ARENTZ: Right.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Somewhat I understand
17	that, but it's going to be moving onto St. Albans'
18	area?
19	MR. ARENTZ: Well, the existing pool is
20	located here, and as the fields project moves into and
21	covers this area, it was negotiated in the master plan
22	that they would relocate the pool, and so the pool is
23	actually not physically taking that pool, but having
24	to build a new pool obviously, would go into this
25	location, and that's something that the school is
	· ·

1	committed to doing.
2	MR. QUIN: And would you describe is this
3	the same size, the same access? Everything is the
4	same except it shifted over?
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Same use of the pool?
6	MR. QUIN: Same use, no other uses.
7	That's why when we talked to the Zoning Administrator
8	earlier, we thought that rather than having two
9	separate applications, it made sense just to put it in
10	this application because there's nothing that we're
11	changing about any condition of the Beauvoir order
12	that would make any sense to have to have a new
13	application. It's just going from one site to
14	another, the same number of students, everything is
15	the same.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And it's all one
17	property owned by the foundation.
18	MR. QUIN: All owned by the foundation,
19	and they are not separate corporations. They're not
20	separate ownerships. They're part of the foundation.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is there going to be
22	any particular landscaping around the pool?
23	MR. ARENTZ: As I said, let me go back to
24	the next slide.
25	Yeah, we're looking at and this is a

concern for all of us in making sure that the pool is screened. The pool actually drops down from -- it's essentially eight feet lower off of Pilgrim Road here. So it's actually you're stepping down into it, and we're looking to have this whole zone planted out.

Now, there are some efforts to be able to try to save some trees there, and we are working hard to see if that's a possibility. So we haven't finalized that, but we are looking at that, and that would really be primarily in this zone.

The difficulty with that is, you know, we're trying to push the pool, you know, having all of the same square footages, et cetera, with that. We want to make sure in the end that if we do make the efforts of trying to save trees, which the efforts are there, we want to make sure that if it's being done and the monies that would be allocated to that, it's worthwhile.

I would hate to see them spending, and personally having been through that, having them spend money to try to save something if it only has 50-50 chance of living. It would be better top actually buy some other trees.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So there will be a bath house or something that's going to go there

Τ.	as well:
2	MR. ARENTZ: There is a pool house
3	changing room facility that's here, and the image,
4	it's actually tucked into the hillside as well. So
5	it's not actually expressing itself. Let me get to
6	that. I'm sorry. It's the other direction.
7	It's actually tucked into sorry. Over
8	here. So it's really pretty quietly moved into that
9	hill.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and did you say
11	that you're going to be replacing the edging around
12	34th and Garfield that's currently a wall that
13	looks am I incorrect?
14	I think you're saying you're going to have
15	all of this new stone wall. Let's go back there. See
16	that, the wall there behind the fence?
17	MR. ARENTZ: This wall?
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, and it curves
19	around and goes up the
20	MR. ARENTZ: This wall?
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. Is that going
22	to be replaced or is that going to stay the same?
23	MR. ARENTZ: This wall actually in the
24	end, this may end up having to be replaced, but there
25	is going to be a stone wall put back in there because

1 at this condition, this is where the second four 2 tennis courts come in. We're actually cutting down 3 this 11 feet of grade so that you can see. 4 going to open up views to the Cathedral. 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right, okay. MR. ARENTZ: And, again, that was one of 6 7 the goals. With that being cut across there, the 8 9 tennis court being laid into there, they probably will need to take that wall down as we're just constructing 10 11 that and just simply for feasibility of keeping that 12 wall completely intact. Haven't quite gotten there as to whether 13 14 that absolutely happens, but the notion in the end is 15 that the end product will have a stone wall there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So around 34th Street 16 17 there will be a stone wall, and you're not sure 18 whether it will be the one that's there or there might 19 be a new one? 20 MR. ARENTZ: Right. We just have to look 21 at, you know, when we're actually taking all of the 22 soil out of here and you're having equipment and stuff 23 taking the soil down and actually coming back in and putting the new surface in whether this wall will be 24

able to stay there, and in the end, the important part

1 of it is that the stone wall will be there as an end 2 product. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But am I correct that 4 the new stone walls that you'll be putting in will be 5 more attractive than the one that's there currently? MR. ARENTZ: Well, let's go in the other 6 7 direction. You can see where the stone wall is. Actually that other slide was talking about how they 8 9 are terracing up there. So the wall we were just 10 looking at, in fact, it is right there. 11 So you're having -- because we're cutting 12 that grade across the way, and then there's another 13 wall which is stepping up which is the beginnings of 14 that wall. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Just bear with I'm sorry. It's like maybe I can't tell exactly 16 17 what the stone calls look like. I'm under the 18 impression that your new stone walls will be more 19 attractive than the old stone wall. Am I wrong? 20 MR. ARENTZ: Well, that certainly is the intention, yes. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and so with 23 respect to 34th Street, is it undecided at this point whether they'll be replaced depending on how the 24 25 construction goes or --

1 MR. ARENTZ: Well, it would only be that one wall because, again, these are all new walls. 2 mean, these do not exist. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right, okay. MR. ARENTZ: And so these are all new and 6 so you can assume that they are new stone. 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. 8 MR. ARENTZ: And that they do look great, 9 and if it is just that one because the grades happen 10 to fall exactly in the same plane, and so there's a 11 possibility that that might be able to be saved. 12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So if you can save it, you're just going to leave that one. 13 14 Right? 15 MR. ARENTZ: Yeah, if we can. 16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okav. 17 last question, and I didn't want to interrupt you 18 before, and I'm not sure whether you'll know exactly 19 what you're referring to at this point, but you made 20 a comment about celebrating trees on 34th Street when 21 you showed us the trees that were existing, and I 22 wasn't sure what you meant by how you are going to be 23 celebrating the trees on 34th Street. 24 MR. ARENTZ: Well, along 34th Street, the 25 best street trees on the project for this part of the

1	project, meaning the fields project are along here.
2	They're really wonderful willow oaks that are in very
3	good condition and health. So the point was here that
4	there's nothing that's happening with that that's
5	going to jeopardize those trees.
6	So you know, we're saying they're great.
7	They add to the fabric of the edge of this Close, and
8	we really encourage that kind of thing.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So your
10	landscaping there is going to complement those trees
11	and as opposed to some other areas, those trees aren't
12	in jeopardy. That's what you meant?
13	MR. ARENTZ: Those trees are not in
14	jeopardy, no.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. ARENTZ: Thank you.
17	MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, a real quick
18	question, perhaps not for Mr. Arentz, but just so I
19	round out my understanding on the athletic piece,
20	which perhaps might come back to Mr. Baad, but I'll
21	toss it to you, Mr. Quin, and you can field it
22	appropriately, no pun intended.
23	With regard to the baseball field, any
24	bleachers planned for that field at all? And I'll say
25	actually for the baseball field and for the practice

1	field. Any bleachers of any sort or seating?
	Tield. Any bleachers of any sort of seating:
2	If you could turn your microphone on, Mr.
3	Arentz.
4	MR. ARENTZ: Oh, I'm sorry.
5	Yeah, just for the baseball field, there's
6	actually, and I'm sorry I didn't say this, there are
7	200 seats that are here that it's tucked into this
8	hillside here because as we're coming off of Pilgrim
9	Road and it's actually sloping down so that the seats
10	are in there, about 200.
11	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, but no other no
12	accompanying press box for that field, correct? Just
13	seating?
14	MR. ARENTZ: No, actually there is going
15	to be facilities over onto the side. That would be
16	actually associated with the arcade.
17	MR. ETHERLY: And then bleachers for the
18	football field and the track will be located here?
19	MR. ARENTZ: I'm sorry?
20	MR. ETHERLY: There's a rendering at I
21	apologize for not having it handy there's a
22	rendering that showed the okay. Note here what's
23	referred to, I guess, as the multi-purpose field.
24	There's bleacher seating there, correct?
25	MR. ARENTZ: Right. That's the 600 seats

1	that I had talked about, right.
2	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and there will be a
3	press box structure at the top.
4	MR. ARENTZ: Yes, there is.
5	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and now you also are
6	indicating that there will be an additional there.
7	MR. ARENTZ: Yes.
8	MR. ETHERLY: Okay,a nd that's for the
9	multi-purpose field.
10	MR. ARENTZ: Right.
11	MR. ETHERLY: Now, there will also be an
12	additional 200 seats that will be built into the hill
13	as you go into the entry plaza off of Pilgrim Road for
14	the baseball stadium.
15	MR. ARENTZ: There's 200 seats there for
16	baseball.
17	MR. ETHERLY: Plus a small press box
18	structure.
19	MR. ARENTZ: Right, and it's actually just
20	really for filming that's going to be associated off
21	to the side.
22	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, okay.
23	MR. ARENTZ: So it's not like this.
24	MR. ETHERLY: Excellent. Okay. Now, with
25	respect to the tennis area, any bleacher seating

1	there?
2	MR. ARENTZ: No. It's just going to be
3	being able to stand above that 11 foot wall that I had
4	mentioned and being able to look down. So, no, that's
5	not designated that way.
6	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and as was indicated
7	earlier by Mr. Wilson, no night activities in terms of
8	athletics at any time.
9	MR. ARENTZ: That's correct.
LO	MR. ETHERLY: So there will be no exterior
L1	lighting for any of those fields.
L2	MR. ARENTZ: That's correct.
L3	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Now, with respect to
L4	the arcade, because the arcade is intended to be
L5	available for community and/or public use from 5:00
L6	p.m. until 7:00 a.m. essentially, will there be
L7	lighting of any type in the arcade area?
L8	MR. ARENTZ: We have to finalize that, but
L9	there would be a level of safety lighting that would
20	have to happen.
21	MR. ETHERLY: And in all likelihood, that
22	would once again I understand that you have to
23	finalize that in all likelihood would that be some
24	type of hung lighting so it's overhead as opposed

MR. ARENTZ: We don't know about that yet.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Is it your -- and the why I inquire is based on some the 34th Street renderings of the Garfield intersection, the arcade would be visible -- thank you very much for heading to that slide -- the arcade would be visible to some extent from that corner and perhaps from other points along the streetscape of Garfield Street and then 34th. So I just want to get sense of overall, of course, once again still respecting the privacy of the Cathedral. If there is lighting of some sort used there, it will be very helpful to get some sense as to what type of lighting that will be and what you expect in terms of the level of illumination that would be visible.

I would anticipate, and it's probably not going to be significant as you said; it's going to be more so safety, but it's a beautiful arcade, bur from the renderings enclosed in the drawing, it also presents an enclosed area which without the appropriate level of lighting could raise some concerns for anyone who wants to make use of it.

So it will be helpful to get some guidance at the appropriate time of what you anticipate that lighting be.

MR. ARENTZ: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	MR. ETHERLY: Thank you, Madam Chair.
2	MR. QUIN: Mr. Etherly and members of the
3	Board, that one point that we really should clarify is
4	that the time for closing, meaning the opening at
5	five, there may be I mean, the Close has to deal
6	with situations and the likelihood is that there will
7	be a time, say, at 11 o'clock or 12 o'clock when that
8	gate is closed. I mean, the statement that it would
9	be open until seven may not be what we need to do.
10	So maybe for the neighborhood it would be
11	better to seal it off at a certain point rather than
12	having people walking in. So I just want to make sure
13	that that's part of the record.
14	MR. ETHERLY: Thank you.
15	Thank you, Madam Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Actually I have one
17	more question. When you had one of the renderings up,
18	what's the structure behind the bleachers? When you
19	had the football field showing with the bleachers that
20	hold 600?
21	MR. ARENTZ: Yes, it's the press box.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Press box.
23	MR. ARENTZ: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do you have a press
25	box now or is that a new thing?

MR. ARENTZ: I'll let Dave talk about that 1 2 again. MR. BAAD: The term "press box" is really 3 4 a misnomer. We call it a press box for short. Really 5 what that is, our league requires us to both film football games, to exchange film, and also to provide 6 7 an area both for our coaches and for opposing coaches 8 to spot the game so that they can communicate by 9 headphones, and that's what that structure is 10 primarily for. 11 We'll also film soccer and lacrosse gams 12 from there as well. 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what do you do 14 now? 15 What we do now is we have MR. BAAD: temporary towers erected, scaffolding really and 16 17 people climb the scaffolding. 18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 19 MR. BAAD: Yes. 20 I have a final question. MR. MANN: 21 you show the illustration that outlines the areas of influence for St. Albans? 22 There were two distinct 23 areas, the ones shown in the salmon color and it 24 showed the -- right, that one, and the question 25 actually is for Mr. Quin.

To follow up on something that you mentioned earlier regarding a conversation that you had with the Zoning Administrator, how were those boundaries determined?

MR. QUIN: The boundaries basically are allocation of space from the foundation. It's all one record lot, and to some degree it's just arbitrary because it really defines or is supposed to define roughly the areas that are allocated to the different portions of the Close. Part of it is like the Olmsted Woods, is the Cathedral, and then you have on the left the salmon area that's the subject of this application for St. Albans and on the right the subject for the St. Albans as well.

MR. MANN: And does it have any sort of bearing on this application? Because you mentioned earlier that there was some discussion about an order for the Beauvoir School, and I was wondering whether or not they had their own defined area and if those are discrete areas and --

MR. QUIN: No, they're not discrete areas. Frankly, what I've done for -- I don't want to get back into the last application for NCS, but basically it seemed to me, and maybe I was the one that was sort of saying that we should do it, that rather than that

1 each time we show something to someone about an 2 application, to show the entire Close gets very 3 cumbersome and not really that specific. So why not 4 draw a line that roughly covers the areas that we're 5 dealing with? And we've done that, for example, when we 6 7 did many years ago Hearst Hall. We simply drew a line 8 to say this is Hearst Hall area. When we did NCS 9 athletic facility, we took a line that was below 10 Beauvoir down Beauvoir Drive, and that was 11 athletic facility. 12 This is really arbitrary for 13 simplification and for visual aid to the Board and to 14 all who work on it. It has no legal import or 15 requirement whatsoever. 16 MR. MANN: Okay. Thank you. 17 MR. OUIN: We're now at our last witness, Mr. Slade on traffic, parking, transportation. 18 19 didn't know whether you wanted to take a break first. 20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We do. Actually I 21 was just going to suggest that. We've been sitting 22 for over three hours, all of us. So I would suggest 23 since we're going into a new area anyway that we take about a 15-minute break. 24 25 See what a good idea this MR. QUIN:

1	postponement might be?
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
4	the record at 4:18 p.m. and went back on
5	the record at 4:43 p.m.)
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Quin, are you
7	ready to present your next witness?
8	MR. QUIN: Yes, Madam Chairperson. I
9	wonder if it would be possible. I've talked to Nancy
10	Mackwood and she's all right with it. If I could call
11	our one supporting witness that's from the
12	neighborhood. It ties in, frankly, to a lot of the
13	discussion. He lives on 34th Street, and if I could
14	call him now, he could be a witness now and then we'd
15	go into Lou Slade as our last witness if that's okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's fine.
17	MR. QUIN: Mr. Brad Belt.
18	MR. BELT: Good afternoon. Thank you for
19	the opportunity to appear, Madam Chair.
20	My name is Brad Belt. I'm the Chief
21	Executive Officer of the Pension Benefit Guarantee
22	Corporation here in Washington, D.C. My particular
23	interest is as a participant in the Cathedral Close
24	community in a number of respects. I'm on the board
25	of the PECF. I have daughters at NCS and a Beauvoir,

and we are educational parishioners, perhaps not as often as Dean Lloyd would like, but an occasional parishioner; a frequent user of the Beauvoir pool, not myself personally, but my daughters and wife almost daily during the summer.

And perhaps most particularly and relevantly to this discussion, I reside at 2915 34th Street, which is directly across from the St. Albans soccer fields. That 34th Street rendering that you saw a few moments ago, that could basically be taken from my front steps.

So in that regard, I am as impacted by traffic, noise, usage, construction, and visual aesthetic issues as there is anybody around the Cathedral Close with respect to particularly the athletic fields. In that regard, I'd like to make a couple of points because I'm fully supportive of this project, not to say that there aren't issues, but I think that we're working through those in a systematic way, but I'd like to touch upon the reasons why I'm supportive.

A couple of procedurals points to make.

One, I speak for myself and I want to make clear that there are some individuals who purport to represent the neighborhood, the community. I don't want to

ascribe anything but the best of motives and intentions to them, and they can articulate their views very well. They do not represent my views, nor do they represent the views of my neighbors along 34th Street with respect to most of the issues that we'll be discussing today.

A second point that I would note from a procedural standpoint is that I have found during the five years we've resided at 2915 34th Street the Cathedral and all of its institutions to be extraordinarily responsive to the issues that I've raised, that others have raised.

There have been innumerable meetings held particularly with respect to this project. I've attended many of those over the course of the past several months. I actually kind of gave up because, in fact, there was a certain amount of repetition, but I think the process has been extraordinarily open and constructive, and St. Albans and the Cathedral have been very responsive.

A couple of points, substantive points with respect to why I believe this is so critically important. First, and I suspect others can testify to this better than I can, the facilities desperately need to be upgraded. I don't have daughters that will

be going to St. Albans. They're going to Beauvoir and NCS. Just as the girls needed a much great, a significantly enhanced facility for NCS, I think the boys need so for St. Albans.

Secondly, there's no doubt in my mind based upon all of the renderings we've seen that from an aesthetics standpoint and in keeping with Frederick Law Olmsted's vision of the Cathedral Close, this will be a dramatic improvement over the status quo, just as is the case at 34th Street and Woodley with respect to the athletic center.

A couple of points with respect to usage and parking because my views, I suspect, are very different from others. I regret very much that the facilities at the athletic center, the Thornton Athletic Center -- I know this is not the subject of this -- are underutilized. These are going to be tremendous facilities, and my view would be let's utilize them to the greatest extent possible rather than underutilize them.

I'm one that embraces the noise on the weekends. I think it's a wonderful thing and part of the reason that I moved across the street from the Cathedral Close, to see the athletic activities being carried on in weekends, the football games, the

2.0

baseball games, the soccer games. That's part of why
I live there.

The idea that we would want to somehow cut back on that or be concerned that that kind of activity is ongoing, I think, is unfortunate, and I know some do have those views. I respect that. That's not my particular view.

With respect to parking, we routinely park in front of our house. It's a public street. It's not zoned parking. That's a convenience for me. Occasionally that's not doable because of events on the Cathedral Close. It's also, for example, a snow emergency route. So when there's a snow emergency we have to move.

I have never had to move the car more than a block away or into the alley behind our house. It has just not been a significant issue. Occasionally it's an annoyance only in terms of the convenience I get from parking in front of the house, but I have no right to entitlement to parking spaces in front of my house. We could pursue Zone 3 parking if we wanted to do so. We haven't done so.

Bottom line, and I don't want to take up more of your time, but I'm happy to answer any questions, is that our family moved and moved where we

1 did because of the wonderful things that the Cathedral 2 Close offers to us and its surrounding community. 3 recognize that change is inevitable. I feel no sense 4 of entitlement. If I felt for a moment that there was a net detrimental effect on the value of our house, on 6 7 my daily lives, we would certainly pick up and move, but to the contrary, all of the changes being made 8 9 that have been made over the past few years and I 10 think will continue to be made are a dramatic 11 enhancement to the overall community and cathedral 12 environment, and very much in keeping with the Olmsted 13 vision, which is one I fully support. 14 Thank you, Madam Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. I have a few questions. First is how do 16 we know what your neighbors think? We don't know that 17 18 they've authorized you to speak on their behalf. 19 MR. BELT: You're absolutely right. 2.0 not speak for them, and they can certainly represent 21 their own views. What I can tell you is based upon 22 discussions, they would express and were certainly 23 happy to provide an affidavit to this regard if it 24 would be helpful, that the views expressed by some of

the community organizations are not their own.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And I would like to 2 communicate --MR. BELT: And that would be the Paiges, 3 4 the Betts, the Farquars and others that are my 5 contiguous neighbors. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. At this point 6 7 think it's pretty realistic to say that this proceeding is going to be continued and that we're not 8 9 going to finish today, and that the record will be 10 for others to submit letters, affidavits, 11 And so you might communicate that to your whatever. 12 neighbors if they wish to do so. 13 MR. BELT: I'll be delighted to do so. 14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: With respect to 15 usage, we haven't heard -- we're out of order now, and 16 that's what happens when we get out of order. So I'm 17 going to have to ask you: are you aware of certain 18 types of restrictions that those who are not speaking 19 for you are seeking that would exclude your use of the 2.0 facilities that you would like to have? I'm aware of the discussions 21 MR. BELT: 22 that are ongoing. I think there's an attempt to reach 23 usage agreement and my understanding is that St. 24 Albans is being very accommodating in that regard. My

hope is that there's an agreement that can be reached

that's a consensual one.

At the end of the day, I'll let those parties work out that issue. My bias would be towards, again, full utilization rather than under utilization, and some of the issues that have been expressed with respect to parking and noise are not ones, quite frankly, that I find to be serious concerns, and they have not had an adverse impact on me previously, and I don't anticipate they will going forward.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: When we consider special exceptions for schools under 206, we look at adverse impacts, and we consider conditions that will mitigate adverse impacts, and therefore, when we're considering usage restrictions, we would be looking at what kind of adverse impacts those restrictions would be mitigating.

Are you aware of any anticipated adverse impacts at least on your part that we would need to impose conditions to mitigate?

MR. BELT: The only thing that I can come to mind, I suspect this would not be an issue, is not having construction and trucks rolling up before 7:00 a.m. in the morning or some reasonable period of time. That was an issue that was dealt with dealing with the

Thornton Center Project, the athletic center project for NCS. I assume that issue has been satisfactorily addressed and resolved.

The primary issues that I think have been presented with respect to parking, noise abatement, there have been issues, and I've been fully engaged with these with respect to the perimeter, and there's no question in this case I made my views known that I was concerned about a chain link around the perimeter, and I found St. Albans to have been very, very responsive to that particular issue.

I recognize that when you're talking about a tennis court or some area where there's going to be athletic endeavor where you're running right up to the fence, you probably can't have a wrought iron fence. So you have to have something with some give or some greater height, but it appears to me that where they can accommodate concerns about visual impact they have done so with respect to the plannings, with respect to stone walls, with respect to replacing chain link fence for a significant portion of the perimeter with a wrought iron treatment.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Let me say this also because I think individuals come to our hearings and they don't know exactly how we have decided a lot of

things in the past, and we have been --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BELT: I will confess my ignorance in that regard, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, that's understandable, but, no, have been saying we consistently that, for instance, your concern about trucks rolling in at 7:00 a.m. or whatever, that those are construction management issues that we don't have jurisdiction over, and we encourage the community to come to an agreement with the applicant on those issues.

With respect to usage, we do have jurisdiction, and I hear what you're saying. The parties also may come to whatever agreements they may decide outside of our proceedings. So we may not have power over their own agreements, and so I would also say to you that if you have real concerns about usage and that there is maybe some agreements being made, that you may want to get involved.

I'm not advising you or whatever, but I just want you to be clear and the parties of what our jurisdiction is. If there is no usage agreement, we do deal with usage concerns, and we will be hearing evidence about parking or noise or whatever, and then this Board would decide.

1 However, whatever agreements parties come 2 to by themselves outside of these proceedings, we 3 don't have control over that. MR. BELT: I appreciate that. I guess the 4 5 bottom line from my perspective again, 34th Street is a very narrow street. We're very close to all of the 6 7 activities that are ongoing. We're right in the middle of that block, in that 34th Street rendering. 8 9 We're as directly impacted by these things as anybody 10 else, and I simply do not share the concerns for the 11 most part with respect to parking, usage, noise, and 12 other activities than have been represented as being 13 neighborhood concerns or those of individuals that 14 abut the Cathedral community around the perimeter. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And the concerns that 16 you may have had were with respect to landscaping and 17 fencing, and are you saying that the applicant has 18 been responsive on those fronts? 19 MR. BELT: They've been responsive on all 20 issues as far as I'm concerned. There ultimately is 21 a give-and-take. There are inevitably going to be 22 tradeoffs, and there has got to be a balancing of 23 Not everybody is going to have the same interest. 24 perspective on usage, for example.

bias

is

to

have

mу

Again,

25

greater

utilization rather than less utilization because I think that's a good thing for the community. that's a good thing for the school, and I embrace I understand and appreciate that others feel differently, but I just want to make clear that there is not a unanimity of opinion in the neighborhood with respect to those issues, and I expect consensually we can and should work those things out. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And you live across the street from the field. MR. BELT: Yes. And you don't feel CHAIRPERSON MILLER: that there's an adverse impact currently with respect to noise or traffic or parking more than what's understandable in a city? That's absolutely right. MR. BELT: mean, the parking is far, far worse in Georgetown or different places where we regularly go to shop, to entertain ourselves. These are public streets. Again, for convenience purposes we park on 34th Street right in front of our house. Occasionally we're not able to do that. It's a public street. I don't have any entitlement to having two spots with my name on them right in front of my house. I've never had to park more than a block

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

away. Nancy Nord, one of the ANC Commissioners had held a series of meetings previously where we were actually monitoring on a daily basis usage issues and parking issues for a long period of time. Routinely, Garfield tends to fill up a little bit more than 34th, but you never had to go more than a block away to be able to park.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You and Ms. Nord did a little study. Is that what you're saying?

MR. BELT: She held a series of meetings with a number of people from the community where we talked through those issues, and this was in the process of trying to come up with -- and it was more in the context of the parking garage and other Closewide issues with respect to parking.

There's no question that there has been over time a fair amount of parking in the neighborhood from all of the Close institutions, and whether one has an entitlement to the spots in front of their house I'll leave to you all to judge, but I think that the Cathedral has done, and this is part of my role as a member of the board of PCF, has gone to great lengths with respect to, for example, the parking garage and implementing policies, and I think that's part of this agreement. I don't want to step on

1 anybody else's toes, but St. Albans is trying to put 2 in place with respect to their students to encourage 3 use of on Close parking facilities. 4 I know that the Cathedral has gone to 5 great length to encourage use of public transportation, you know, providing accommodation for 6 7 bus garages. I mean, these accommodations have been fairly regular over the last several months in at 8 9 least the five years that I've been there. 10 I can't speak to what transpired prior to 11 the five years that I've lived across the street. 12 There may have been a higher level of antagonism 13 during that period of time, but it certainly doesn't 14 reflect my experience in the last five years. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. 16 Do my Board members have any questions? 17 MR. ETHERLY: Yes, Madam Chair. 18 Very briefly you touched upon a number of 19 areas that I would have hit. So I just wanted to real 20 quickly, Mr. Belt. Thank you very much for your 21 testimony. 22 With respect to where your residence is 23 located, fortunately we still have the Close site plan 24 up on the screen. Could you kind of just rough 25 estimate, and I'll just use the laser pointer?

1	MR. BELT: Well, it's actually at the top
2	tree there along 34th Street on the Close property.
3	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Is this there we
4	go.
5	MR. BELT: It's directly across the street
6	from that.
7	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, excellent. Okay.
8	With respect to your existing views of the Close
9	property and, in particular, the Cathedral, how would
10	you describe that sight line for yourself right now
11	from let's just say the front of your residence.
12	MR. BELT: One of the best views in
13	Washington and one that I'm fiercely protective of.
14	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So it's your sense,
15	based on what you know of the existing plan and
16	perhaps what you've seen of the presentation, that
17	that view will be protected.
18	MR. BELT: I do believe that. It's an
19	issue that I'm very, very sensitive to.
20	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Let me then step over
21	to the issue of traffic. You referenced your
22	appreciation for some of the activity, in particular,
23	some of the athletic events that go on on site. As
24	you are probably aware, of course, there's a
25	performance facility that's associated with this

proposal. Do you have any concerns regarding the introduction of performance related traffic?

You may be aware that the Department of Transportation did suggest perhaps a later start time for some of the events related to the Performance Center out of concern for rush hour related traffic butting up against traffic for patrons going to the Performance Center. Any concerns there?

MR. BELT: I don't know if concern is quite the right word. Certainly from a personal perspective -- and this isn't a conversation I've had with any of the Close institutions -- is that to the extent possible you should try to kind of load balance, you know, rush hour issues, dismissal from school or arrival at school to, you know, accommodate influx, a different influx so that you don't overlap and overload the parking facilities not only on the Close but unduly so in the surrounding neighborhood.

But even on the worst of circumstances, we have the Flower Mart once a year. You know, you have the state funerals. You have sometimes a very noted individual like Desmond Tutu, Bishop Tutu, appearing at the Cathedral, all wonderful things, and there are just occasions where there isn't parking available right around the Close.

1 You know, that's in a sense the price that 2 one pays, and I think it's an extraordinarily small price for all the benefits derived from being closely 3 4 associated with the Close community. MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Now, I'd love to very briefly just get a sense of kind of your residential 6 7 routine, and by that I mean typically are you out of your residence in advance of the business day and 8 9 returning typically at the close of the business day? 10 I do personally. MR. BELT: That would 11 not be the case with my wife and children. 12 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So in terms of other members of your household there is some experience 13 14 with life --15 MR. BELT: Yes. 16 MR. ETHERLY: -- near the Close during 17 school and what have you. 18 They're shuttling back and MR. BELT: 19 forth to schools and to the pool multiple times during 20 the day, other activities. MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and so based on your 21 22 familiarity with your wife's experience -- let's put it like that -- not presuming anything, but based on 23 your familiarity with her daily routine, it would 24 25 continue to be your testimony that she has not

1 encountered anything out of the way or shall we say 2 tremendously inconvenience with respect to just the 3 ongoing activity of the school. 4 MR. BELT: Minor inconvenience only 5 relative to the extraordinary convenience of being able to regularly park in front of our house. 6 7 MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and as that relates to noise in particular, let's say, during the weekend or 8 9 after hours during events, you would have, once again, 10 no horror stories to share? MR. BELT: There have been no instances in 11 12 which after hours or in the evening that we've ever encountered any noise related issues. There certainly 13 14 is noise during the weekends when their athletic 15 endeavor is ongoing. Again, I find that to be part of the overall experience, my expectation. 16 I knew when we moved there that there were 17 schools there, that those kinds of things would be 18 19 ongoing. When I hear whistles start blowing and the 20 crowd cheering, I tend to kind of pop out of the house 21 if I'm not doing something else or stop the chores for 22 a few moments, go across the street, watch through the 23 fence, and actually watch for a while. 24 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 25 MR. BELT: Or walk around the block to sit

1 down. I think that's one of the wonderful things 2 about what we have at the Close community. 3 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Now, last question, 4 Madam Chair. 5 To an extent I hate hypotheticals just because I had to go through the craziness of first 6 7 year of law school where hypotheticals become a 8 nightmare to you, but let's say for a moment that you 9 put yourself in the shoes of perhaps a resident, a 10 member of the community, a property owner who 11 perhaps not as open to the idea of that activity and 12 that vigor that goes with activities on the Close 13 property related to the school. 14 Do you feel or would you feel i you were 15 to put yourself in that person's shoes that the level of activity and noise is in any way above and beyond 16 17 what would be acceptable or reasonable with respect to 18 the operation of the school property? 19 That's kind of a weird and perhaps even 20 hackneyed hypothetical, but I'm just kind of curious. 21 Let's say you put yourself in those shoes for a 22 moment. MR. BELT: Obviously that's difficult to 23 24 do. I certainly can try to be empathetic. I'm not 25 sure I can, and they can speak for their own interests

1	much better than can I. Just to note that the
2	Cathedral and the Close has been there for 100 years.
3	The schools have been there for that period of time.
4	All of this activity has been ongoing for that period
5	of time.
6	I didn't predate that. I'm not sure that
7	many of our neighbors did.
8	MR. ETHERLY: Okay.
9	MR. BELT: So, you know, the bottom line
10	is this was all ongoing. The question is if
11	marginally more, marginally less. I don't think
12	people cheer any louder than they used to. I don't
13	think whistles are any louder than they used to be.
14	You know, this is part of life around the Close.
15	MR. ETHERLY: I appreciate that.
16	MR. BELT: Again, I think it's something
17	that is one of the really attractive aspects of life
18	around the Close.
19	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Thank you.
20	Thank you, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Also I just wanted
22	to remind us that we're not talking about whether or
23	not a school can be there. We're going to be looking
24	at the difference if it's measurable with the changes.
25	I want to ask you one more question. I

1 think you made a reference to your unhappiness. 2 don't think you used that word, but about 3 restrictions that were put on the National Cathedral 4 school's athletic facilities, that you didn't think 5 that was a good idea, and in that we don't know what restrictions are going to be proposed for these 6 7 facilities. I'm wondering if you might want 8 to elaborate on that any further. 9 10 Ι think MR. BELT: there is an 11 unfortunate, in this unfortunate, case, an 12 extraordinary underutilization of the Thornton 13 Athletic Center with respect use the 14 neighborhood, by the community, by Boys and Girls 15 Club, by other youth leagues and things like that where we've got a wonderful facility. Let's take full 16 17 advantage of that. 18 I just think it would be unfortunate if 19 the same thing happened with respect to the St. Albans 20 facilities. I don't want to impose on them a 21 requirement. I mean, that's something that St. Albans 22 would work out. I would just hope that we not get to a point where we say, you know, hands off all the time 23

Again, these are wonderful facilities. A

other than the school's own sanctioned events.

24

lot of money is being spent on these facilities. I think aesthetically they're going to be an extraordinary enhancement over the status quo.

To the extent that the school thinks that makes sense to be part of the overall community, to invite in the Boys and Girls Club, start a soccer league or something like that, I certainly would have no objection to that. I would think that that would be a good thing.

Moderation in everything, and let's not having used 24 by seven or obviously not just every hour by every weekend day or after hours. There needs to be a balance. I hope that we do strive to strike a balance and not tip too far on the side of saying because we don't like usage, we don't like noise or some people make that view that we not go too far, the pendulum swing too far the other way.

iust want CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ι respond to you also in that you don't probably tune in and hear all of our deliberations, that this Board has when also commented we have been examining restrictions for other schools. The unfortunate situation, I think, that you're referring to, for instance, when Washington, D.C. experienced the sniper situation and all of the kids had to stay indoors, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	facilities like the NCS athletic facility was not
2	available, for instance, to public school kids, such
3	as johnny, you know, or whatever. I don't want to get
4	into the specifics.
5	But the Board is aware of that concern,
6	and so what we strive to do is impose conditions that
7	really are logically related to an adverse impact,
8	that really will prevent an adverse impact, and that
9	will not go overboard so that we end up preventing
LO	what might be a good deed.
L1	But I will say further that, you know, a
L2	usage agreement is between parties.
L3	Okay. Is there anything else you want to
L4	add?
L5	MR. BELT: No. Thank you.
L6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: thank you very much.
L7	MR. BELT: Thank you, Madam Chair and
L8	members of the Board, for your time. I appreciate it.
L9	MR. QUIN: Just for the record, I just
20	wanted Ms. Mackwood has an opportunity to ask a
21	question, I think, as she's the only party. I'm not
22	suggesting that she ask any. I just want to make
23	sure.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is he leaving?
25	Ms. Mackwood, did you have questions for

1	him?
2	MS. MACKWOOD: I have no questions.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. That solves
4	that question then.
5	MR. QUIN: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
7	MR. QUIN: Our last witness is Mr. Lou
8	Slade, traffic consultant.
9	Lou, will you proceed in your own way?
10	MR. SLADE: Yes, Wayne.
11	Good afternoon, good evening, Madam Chair,
12	Mr. Etherly, Mr. Mann. My name is Louis Slade. I
13	reside at 3500 Casada Street, N.W., D.C.
14	I was principal in charge in the traffic
15	and parking studies for the St. Albans application and
16	also for other work that I'm going to talk about that
17	we did on behalf of the foundation.
18	I'm going to talk about parking supply and
19	demand first and then traffic implications of these
20	three modifications to the school.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Slade.
22	MR. SLADE: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm not sure if we've
24	qualified you as an expert witness yet. Have we?
25	MR. SLADE: No, you have not. Sorry.

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. You've
2	appeared before us many times.
3	MR. SLADE: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So I don't think that
5	the Board has any questions or concerns. Ms.
6	Mackwood, do you?
7	MS. MACKWOOD: No, I've already said that
8	I have no objection to any of them.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Then we will
10	accept Mr. Slade as an expert witness.
11	MR. QUIN: Thank you.
12	What you're saying then is you're first
13	going to deal with the overall context of the Close.
14	MR. SLADE: Yes.
15	MR. QUIN: And then go into the St.
16	Albans.
17	MR. SLADE: Yes. And there's really three
18	parking pictures I want to lay out for you, and we
19	need to talk about the Close as a total entity and its
20	parking supply and demand first because that's the
21	context that the St. Albans changes are going to be
22	taking place within.
23	As General Rippe testified, construction
24	will be underway this year on the parking garages that
25	the Cathedral Foundation is constructing. So we're

going to talk about parking first, and then we'll talk about traffic.

And I'm going to run through numbers here, and these can be very confusing and, you know, maybe rounding these numbers will be helpful, but the entire Close has seven entities on it: the schools, the Cathedral itself, and so forth, and they all have parking. They have employees; they have students, and so forth; and they all have parking needs.

Over the last few years as part of a team, we developed detailed estimates of the parking on the entire Close through what we called an average daily peak condition, and what that represents is during the school year, the eight months of the year that the schools are all in session and there's all sorts of other activity happening on the Close, we estimated what the peak condition was, and that included visitors as well as students, faculty, staff, and so forth, volunteers at the Cathedral and everybody.

And on that day, that design day as we call it in engineering, there is a demand of 826 cars parked simultaneously at, let's say, 11:00 a.m., you know, probably from 10:00 a.m. until at least people leave to go to lunch or something like that and then come back in the afternoon, but some time midmorning

1 and mid-afternoon. That's the best estimate we were 2 able to make of the total amount of parking, parked cards of people with business on the Close. 3 4 for the entire Close. St. Albans is a part of that 5 number. I'm going to come to that later. There are 532 parking places on the Close. 6 7 So the difference there is 294 cars that wish to park 8 on the Close but can't today on the average peak day. 9 Now, that's, as I said, during the school year. Four 10 months of the year, the summertime, the number of --11 the demand is much lower. We don't know what it is, 12 but the schools aren't in session. Tourism peaks in April and again 13 14 So there are these ebbing periods where the 15 demand is much lower. The Cathedral Foundation will build this 16 It will require eliminating some 17 414 car garage. 18 So the net increase will be 307 existing spaces. 19 spaces, and as I think I said a moment ago or meant 20 to, the shortfall today is 294 spaces. 21 So there will be abundant parking 22 available for everyone who wants to park on that 23 average peak day, average day peak period. 24 Now, let me mention a couple of things

That doesn't cover a day in April when

about that.

the cherry blossoms are in bloom and there are a lot of people wanting to visit the Close and school is in session and there's parent meetings and so forth. There were probably a handful of days a year when there's more than 826 cars that want to park on the Close and we simply couldn't build a garage big enough to handle every single day of the year. So this is going to cover most of the days of the year and many of those days of the year there's going to be more than enough parking.

And of course, through the day the parking builds up in the morning and it begins to dissipate at three in the afternoon when the schools are out. So in early afternoon, even during the peak season, there is a lot of parking so that when these athletic events take place there is parking today and there will be more parking in the future to accommodate those. So that's the Close picture.

During the period of time when we were doing this planning work on the garage, we also looked at parking in the neighborhood, and we did two surveys. The first was using aerial photography and measurements in the field. We went out one or two blocks. Some of the blocks are very short, and some of them are longer. We went out in easy walking

distance from the edge of the Close on the north, west, and south sides, neighborhoods that abut the Close area, and we determined the total number of parking places, legal and illegal. Some of those legal spaces are restricted by residential parking Some are restricted by meters and permit systems. peak hour restrictions. There in that area there are a total of 1,016 parking places. On the blocks where the parking is unmarked, where the spaces aren't designated with paint striping, we measured the linear distance that was available and we divided by the amount of space required to park an automobile typically if you did mark those spaces. Sometimes people park closer; sometimes they don't. So there's 1,016 spaces available. Then during the school year in '03 --CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Excuse me. How many were legal and how many were illegal? Did you --MR. SLADE: I have the inventory in my briefcase. I don't have the illegal number. The 1,016 was all legal. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The 1,016 was legal? MR. SLADE: Was all legal. We picked a day in September of '03.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

School was in session, not necessarily average peak day when we had 826. I don't know that for a fact, but we thought it was a day that was representative of everything going on on the Close.

And we went into the neighborhood and we simply counted the cars on each block face on every street within this area where there's 1,016 spaces. We didn't know if they were St. Albans or NCS or Cathedral Foundation cars. They were just cars. They have been my car. They could have been someone who lives in the neighborhood's car, and there were 612 cars occupying 1,016 spaces. So slightly over 400 vacant spaces.

Now, we literally mapped them. We know where they were, and the closer you got to the Close, the more filled up the blocks were obviously. If you go to 34th Street where the prior testimony came from, there probably wasn't anyone parking there because it's not very convenient to park there and walk to the destinations on the Close. So it depends on where you live, and of course some are more impacted than others.

But there were 400 spaces vacant on what we thought was a typical weekday.

MR. QUIN: Could I just ask you? When you

say "available," I mean if someone lived on Garfield, how far would they have to walk to get a parking space? I mean was it a long distance, short distance, a block, two blocks? I mean, can you try to quantify it? I could do that. I haven't MR. SLADE: done that. I could do that, and I could come back if you needed testimony on this. This was mapped. So it would depend on the location. MR. But OUIN: there were spaces available? There were spaces available. MR. SLADE: On a street like Garfield, which as close to St. Albans, there were probably very few spaces available. If you went a block or two into that neighborhood, there were probably many more spaces available. know, it just depended on where you were. It was very location dependent and fairly random, but the one trend is obvious. The closer you are to the Close, of the 294 cars who can't park on the Close because there's not space now are parking in the neighborhood within close walking distance. And we did that for one reason and one reason alone. At the time we simply wanted to see how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 filled up the neighborhood was with cars and what 2 would happen if you took away 294 cars because once 3 you build a garage, you'll be able to park on the 4 Close, and when you do that, the difference is, of 5 course, dramatic. There's 600 cars now. We're taking away 6 7 300. So there's only 300 left. So you go from 400 8 vacant spaces to 700 vacant space out of 1,000. Now, it doesn't mean that other people are not going to 9 10 come into the neighborhood and park the 11 neighborhood who take the bus down Wisconsin Avenue or 12 something, but if you just take the steps we went through, we simply wanted to get an order of magnitude 13 14 of the problem and an order of magnitude of the 15 solution, which is building the garage, and the impact of the solution is enormous. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry. Will the garage be built before these facilities are built? 18 19 OUIN: The garage will be almost 20 simultaneous as I understand it. The completion of 21 the garage, General Rippe? 22 GEN. RIPPE: November '06. November '06, and of course, 23 MR. OUIN: 24 the Performing Arts will not be built then. The

athletic facility, which does not increase, and the

connecting wing, that would be those expected to be 1 2 completed in 2007. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 4 And I gather that it's the Performing Arts 5 Center, well, I guess the athletic fields maybe -- I don't know -- but the Performing Arts Center is going 6 7 to be drawing some cars, but that will definitely not 8 be built until after the garage. MR. QUIN: That's correct, and that's also 9 10 in the evening main functions, as indicated in the DOT 11 report. 12 MR. SLADE: And remember today without the If the garage were 13 garage there's 532 spaces now. 14 never built, well, we can get to the Performing Arts 15 Center and its parking needs in a moment. Now I'm ready to talk about St. Albans 16 17 School. I think I wanted to simply set the context of 18 things that will be in place as --19 MR. Can I just ask you OUIN: 20 question? 21 MR. SLADE: Sure. 22 MR. OUIN: It seems to me frequently 23 people seize on numbers a precise numbers. You're a 24 traffic consultant, transportation consultant. Would 25 you say that the numbers vary from day to day and that

1 you have to, for purposes of giving advice, you have 2 to pick a typical day, but even that day is not one 3 that's going to be static and continuous? 4 MR. SLADE: Absolutely true, yeah. 5 MR. QUIN: Thank you. MR. SLADE: We use that term average daily 6 7 peak demand, to represent the day or the capacity requirement that the garage will fulfill that will 8 handle almost all of the days of the year except a 9 10 small handful, but there's a tremendous amount of 11 variation as I just mentioned. 12 Now, I'm going to talk about St. Albans 13 parking which is within the context of all this. 14 These numbers are part of the numbers I just talked 15 about. Today St. Albans Has 154 spaces that are 16 17 designated as St. Albans spaces on the Close that's 18 part of that 532 total capacity on the Close. 19 what happens today though is that 20 approximately 295 people can't find a parking place, 21 there's a lot of competition for parking. 22 And so if you arrive at St. Albans at 23 eight o'clock in the morning on a school day and you try to find one of those designated spaces, it may 24 25 already be filled either by one of your colleagues or

2 the other entities on the Close, and I believe that 3 it's unfair to enforce when you have that kind of 4 condition. A lot of institutions do have that kind of 6 condition. They have more demand than they can 7 supply. We will have, however, enough supply to 8 satisfy all of the demands so that when, with a 9 reallocation of parking after the garage is built St. 10 Albans will have 163 designated spaces instead of 154, 11 and they, we expect, will be available and enforceable 12 because there's adequate parking for everyone who wants to park on the Close once the garage is built. 13 14 MR. QUIN: On the typical day. 15 MR. SLADE: On the average daily peak day. 16 Now, this sounds like mumbo-jumbo, but I'm 17 trying to do this piece by piece. We've estimated the demand generated by 18 19 St. Albans to be in the range of 210 to 230 cars on 20 that design day, more than the 163 that will be 21 available, more than the 154 that is available today. 22 That's just a fact of the current conditions at the 23 school. 24 So today there are a number of people who 25 come to St. Albans, students, faculty, and staff,

someone else from one of the other schools or one of

visitors, parents, and so forth, who wish to park, who can't park there because the 154 isn't enough, and often the 154 is already occupied. So there is a spillover into the neighborhood, and that's a big part of the work that's being done.

The use agreement is to be able to manage that spillover problem that is today. Of course, the first piece of this will be that the garage will be built. The second piece will be that there will be designated spaces that will be enforceable now and in the future because people shouldn't park there because they have their own space that's designated for them elsewhere.

And then there are conditions that will be part of the agreement that we'll talk about in a moment about how people will be given incentives to park where they should be parking.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Slade, I didn't follow you. I thought that you said there was going to be enough spaces for all those who couldn't park there currently when you were talking about the 294 cars that wanted to park there that couldn't, and now you're saying that everybody who's associated with St. Albans who wants to park there won't be able to.

MR. SLADE: Right. Let me explain that.

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
2	MR. SLADE: Those are the only designated
3	spaces for St. Albans people, but there will be 100,
4	almost 200 vacant spaces or undesignated spaces in the
5	garage available for anyone who wants to park there,
6	including St. Albans people.
7	And in addition to that, that is part
8	of
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Can I interrupt you
10	for a second?
11	MR. SLADE: Sure.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Let's just go there.
13	Okay. So there are 163 cars for St. Albans that need
14	spaces, and they go into the garage, say, and that
15	leaves 37.
16	MR. SLADE: No, no, no, no. The
17	designated spaces aren't in the garage.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are not for them. I
19	know they're not for
20	MR. SLADE: The designated spaces
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No, the undesignated
22	spaces.
23	MR. SLADE: Okay. Well, you said the
24	number one spaces.
25	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry. Okay.

I'm sorry. There are 200 undesignated spaces are
going to be in this underground garage, correct?
MR. SLADE: I rounded up. I think that
number I don't have it in front of me I think
it's actually 187.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. One, eighty-
seven. There are 163 cars affiliated with St. Albans
that need a place to park, right? Let's say they all
go into the garage.
MR. SLADE: Let me interrupt
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
MR. SLADE: and just take you through
real quick.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
MR. SLADE: On a typical day at St.
Albans, the range of demand is 210 to 230, but there's
only 163 designated spaces on surface, surfaces spaces
on the roads on the Close, Pilgrim Drive primarily.
So the shortfall there, which is 47 to 67 cars
that's between 163 and 210 to 230 have got to park
someplace else and now have choices.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So you're saying the
shortfall is 47 to something?
MR. SLADE: The difference between 210 and

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
2	MR. SLADE: And 230 and 163 is 67.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So those
4	amount of cars are ones that don't have designated
5	spots at St. Albans.
6	MR. SLADE: Correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it 's not
8	100 and something. It's 47 to 67
9	MR. SLADE: Right.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: or some amount.
11	MR. SLADE: Right.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So they can go into
13	the garage, right? And how many other cars are going
14	to be fighting for spots in the garage? Is everybody
15	who has a need on the Close going to have a spot in
16	the garage?
17	MR. SLADE: If everybody wants to park on
18	the Close, there is a space for them.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: There is a space on
20	the Close for everyone
21	MR. SLADE: Yes.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: be it in their
23	designated spot and then the overflow from all the
24	other ones will have room in the garage. Is that what
25	you're saying?

1	MR. SLADE: Yes.
2	MR. QUIN: For the average daily
3	MR. SLADE: And this is for that
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's right. For
5	the average day.
6	MR. SLADE: On a day when the demand
7	exceeds that, we'll push the limits of the garage, and
8	some people will either have to park off the Close or
9	we'll make accommodations for them by stacking cars or
10	other means.
11	MR. QUIN: Are you going to deal with the
12	north side of the property?
13	MR. SLADE: Yeah, yeah. Can I make
14	reference to the usage agreement, that this is a
15	factor?
16	MR. QUIN: Well, just talk about the
17	MR. SLADE: Okay.
18	MR. QUIN: If you could talk about the
19	actual usage today and the concepts that have been
20	expressed.
21	MR. SLADE: Right.
22	MR. ETHERLY: Well, if you could before we
23	go there, let's come back to where Ms. Miller was
24	because I want to be sure I'm not hearing numbers that
25	were different from the traffic analysis that was

1	done, and given the lateness of the hour, we're
2	probably starting to slow down.
3	So just walk through that number again
4	because as I look at page 5 of the traffic report or
5	the traffic study, I want to make sure I'm not hearing
6	different numbers from what you just shared in the
7	exchange with Ms. Miller.
8	Now, at page 5, it identifies the existing
9	parking supply on the Cathedral Close as accommodating
LO	532 vehicles. Is that number still a hard number or
L1	is that number different?
L2	MR. SLADE: Hard number.
L3	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So 532. You
L 4	identified that the parking demand on a typical day is
L5	826 vehicles.
L6	MR. SLADE: Correct.
L7	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and that is still a
L8	number that you are confident in.
L9	MR. SLADE: We use "typical peak" in here,
20	but it's the same peak day that I've been talking
21	about today.
22	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So with those two
23	numbers, and once again, reading from page 5 of the
24	exhibit behind Tab E, that gets us to a shortfall of
25	294 spaces.

1 Okay. Now, within the Close, you've 2 identified 154 spaces that are attributable to the 3 school, correct? 4 MR. SLADE: Today. 5 MR. ETHERLY: Today. Okay. I just wanted to make sure those numbers are solid. 6 Okay. Keep 7 going. 8 MR. SLADE: Okay. There we go. 9 MR. OUIN: I would ask you not to talk about the usage agreement proposed, but you can talk 10 11 about existing conditions on the north side of 12 Garfield, but only existing conditions. 13 MR. SLADE: I spoke a few moments ago 14 about the inventory of parking spaces in the 15 neighborhood, and I want to come back to that for a moment to talk about a particular block face. This is 16 17 the north side of Garfield Street adjacent to the St. 18 Albans portion of the Close where there are today 50 -- thank you. It's this section, from here to here 19 2.0 on the north side. 21 Today, given the estimating technique I've 22 mentioned, which is measuring the linear distance and 23 assuming people will park spaced normally as you would if there's paint on the pavement, you could park 50 24

cars along there legally at all times.

25

There's no

restrictions on parking of automobiles in that section of the street.

That happens to be very convenient to St. Albans School and is used extensively by people who are parking at the school all day because they work there or they're a student or they're people who are visiting the school. And that remains a resource in this inventory that we expect the school will -- that people who want to park to go to the school will use.

So when we talked about the difference between the 163, the future designated spaces and the demand that's 47 to 67, depending on what's happening on the school on a particular day, many of those people will simply park at that location because it's so convenient. Much of it is closer to the school than even parking on the Close is and certainly than parking in the garage.

So if I were a student or if I worked at the school and I was coming a little late and I couldn't get one of the 163 spaces, I would have a choice. I could park on Garfield or I could go to the garage, and many people will probably drive down Garfield first to see if there's a space available and take it and then walk to the school or go around to the garage.

1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Did you say Garfield
2	has a lot of empty spaces during the day? Is that one
3	of the streets or not? Or that fills up because it's
4	so close?
5	MR. SLADE: It fill sup because St. Albans
6	people are using it primarily.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And you can park
8	there all day long. There are no restrictions?
9	MR. SLADE: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And is that the
11	street that you were referring to that has 50 spaces
12	or were you referring to
13	MR. SLADE: Yes, 50, correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
15	MR. SLADE: I'm finished with talking
16	about the parking numbers at this point. I'm going to
17	talk about the application pieces and their
18	implications for parking in traffic now, but this is
19	a good time to ask questions about those general
20	numbers that I just went through.
21	MR. ETHERLY: So just to be sure I'm
22	clear, the 163 number that you reference, that's the
23	number of designated spaces on the Close that are
24	currently set aside for STA.
25	MR. SLADE: That will be set aside with

1 the real allocation when the garage is finished. 2 Now, presently in MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 3 terms of there was a comment on page 5 that notes that 4 even though 154 parking places are presently 5 designated on the Close for STA students, faculty, staff and visitors, many STA parkers arrive int he 6 morning to find that all of the STA designated parking 7 8 places on the Close are occupied. 9 What do you attribute that occupancy to or 10 who do you attribute it to? 11 MR. SLADE: The current condition where 12 there is a demand well in excess of 800 cars on the 13 total Close and the supply of only 532. So the Close 14 parking fills up quite early, and so anyone arriving 15 at eight o'clock will find, you know, unless they're very lucky and someone has come to drop off someone 16 17 and left, will find the Close parking filled quite 18 early in the day. But is that shortfall that 19 MR. ETHERLY: 20 you identified, that's a general shortfall. That 294 21 parking supply shortfall is attributable to everybody 22 who wants to park on the Close, not just STA 23 MR. SLADE: Correct. 24 MR. ETHERLY: So what's happening is even 25 though you have 154 spaces presently designated on the

1	Close for STA, those are being occupied by others,
2	maybe a combination of STA and other visitors to the
3	Close.
4	MR. SLADE: I would say yes, in
5	combination.
6	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Got you. And you
7	were identifying that you count 50 spaces as being
8	available on Garfield.
9	MR. SLADE: Correct, on the north side of
10	the street.
11	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Thank you.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	MR. SLADE: And just to emphasize a point,
14	that that problem with the designated spaces being
15	filled, we expect to be fully rectified when the
16	garage is built because there will no longer be a
17	shortfall of supply.
18	MR. ETHERLY: But is there a policy
19	currently in place to ideally avoid to mitigate what's
20	happening presently? Because I guess the concern is
21	if you have presently designated spaces but you're
22	still not getting the benefit of using all of them, is
23	there a break down there in the parking enforcement or
24	is it just simply a supply is severely being
25	constrained by the demand and is going to happen no

matter what you do?

MR. SLADE: I think there are several parts to the answer to that. One is that all of the entities on the Close are making steps, taking steps, and have implemented states to get fewer people to drive by encouraging public transportation use and ride sharing and so forth. So that whatever in the future kinds of numbers we're talking about everyone is hopeful will be smaller. There will be more supply available.

Yes, I think there are others here today who could talk more about the policies that would be put in place, but I know what the goals are. They are to encourage people to park on the Close. There will be abundant parking. There will be ample parking on the Close for everyone, for all the parking that's generated, demand that's generated on the close, and there is likely to be a sticker system that's required that if you're a student or you're on the payroll, that you have a sticker on the automobile that you use to come there, so enforcement will be possible both on the Close so that my 163 spaces are not used by other people, including outsiders who park on the Close.

MR. ETHERLY: Is that to suggest that enforcement isn't happening now? Because I guess what

1 I'm getting at is you note at page 6 of the report, 2 and this is perhaps the important piece, is that the 3 first goal of the new parking policy would involve the 4 designation of specific parking locations for St. 5 Albans School on the Close, but you presently have 6 that. 7 The second sentence reads, "Thus, SCA parkers would find SCA designated parking spaces free 8 and available." And the problem is, of course, right 9 now that isn't happening. 10 11 MR. SLADE: Yes. MR. ETHERLY: So, you know, part of this 12 13 plan, a big piece of it will be getting a level of 14 comfort that it will happen in the future. 15 And I understand part of your concern very well is, well, there is a convenience factor that 16 17 perhaps human nature is just going to fight against in 18 terms of parking elsewhere because it just is a little 19 more convenient, and if there's a spot in the general neighborhood supply, somebody is going to go after it 20 21 because it's there. 22 So part of it, of course, is speaking to

they're getting filled up by someone else, why is that

how you deal with that, but I guess I'm just trying to

If you have designated spaces now, but

reconcile.

23

24

breakdown happening now and helping us be sure that we're at a comfort level that it won't happen with the new policy?

MR. QUIN: One of the things that may not be clear is that if you look at all the orders that pertain, BZA orders, that pertain to all of the school, the NCS case is the only one where a specific space and allocation is shown because most of the orders say that the Close has X number of spaces that are available, but the policy has been that there are 154 for the school, but they're not specifically allocated, and I think that may be part of the issue.

And what I think Lou is saying, and please correct me; I don't want to testify, but I'm going to ask you if it's right, that if there were -- when the garage is built, then the competition is different than it is today, and, therefore, the designation is more meaningful in terms of the availability of spaces.

MR. SLADE: That's correct, and if I can just elaborate, we work with a lo of different institutions, and the circumstances today will be so different from what they'll be in the future. There's sort of an excuse today. I had to take that space because I had a meeting and it was the only one

1 available.

In the future, it will be that there is space for everyone and everyone will be expected to part in the space that it's designated for, not them as an individual, but in their entity, whether it's NCS or STA. There will be designated spaces.

MR. ETHERLY: Do you have a sense of -what's that number of persons who, regardless of
what's available on the Close, they would still opt to
take one of those residential spots that you've
identified along Garfield. Can you tell us what the
number is?

MR. SLADE: I think that's being addressed also in the regulations that will be imposed once the garage is in place to discourage anyone from doing -- to make it easier and make it a little bit difficult to do what you just described, you --

MR. ETHERLY: I guess a little bit of it is kind of a carrot and a stick, but if you had to, is there a way of quantifying the number of vehicles right now that still opt to park within the residential community?

MR. SLADE: I think that all of the parking that's in the residential community is totally out of necessity because I'm told that no St. Albans

1	faculty, staff, or students are scofflaws.
2	MR. ETHERLY: Okay.
3	MR. SLADE: I meant that with a tongue-in-
4	cheek, you know.
5	MR. ETHERLY: Okay.
6	MR. SLADE: But people are parking in the
7	neighborhood today because there's a shortfall of 294
8	spaces, and that's an enormous amount of parking.
9	That's a three acres parking lot if it were all in one
10	place.
11	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. I think I've got it.
12	Thank you, Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I've got a few
14	questions. What's the number of spaces I think you
15	make two categories, the number of spaces needed for
16	St. Albans or wanted or whatever, and then the number
17	designated. What's the number needed tied to? I
18	mean, what's that determined by, the demand or is
19	it
20	MR. SLADE: Yes, yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: determined by
22	regulations or
23	MR. SLADE: The lower end was based on a
24	survey done about two years ago, the lower end of that
25	range which was 210. The higher end of the range is

the school that there are days when we probably have 20 people more than that. At the beginning of the school year, I guess it's the sophomores haven't reached the age of 16 yet. So the number is significantly lower. At the end of the school year more and more people have reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154 based upon in terms of there's nothing that deals with	1	based on experience at the school by administrators at
At the beginning of the school year, I guess it's the sophomores haven't reached the age of 16 yet. So the number is significantly lower. At the end of the school year more and more people have reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	2	the school that there are days when we probably have
guess it's the sophomores haven't reached the age of 16 yet. So the number is significantly lower. At the end of the school year more and more people have reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	3	20 people more than that.
16 yet. So the number is significantly lower. At the end of the school year more and more people have reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	4	At the beginning of the school year, I
end of the school year more and more people have reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	5	guess it's the sophomores haven't reached the age of
reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	6	16 yet. So the number is significantly lower. At the
9 in a while or every day. So it's highly variable, and we think that 11 the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 12 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the 13 school and parents are attending or board meetings at 14 the school and more people are there. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's 16 basically a demand number. 17 MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. 18 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 19 MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should 20 just state what the legal requirement is. 21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. 22 MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. 23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. 24 MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	7	end of the school year more and more people have
So it's highly variable, and we think that the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	8	reached the age of 16, and they're driving a car once
the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230 is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	9	in a while or every day.
is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	10	So it's highly variable, and we think that
school and parents are attending or board meetings at the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	11	the 210 represents that average peak day, and the 230
the school and more people are there. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	12	is days when there maybe a couple of meetings at the
Dasically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	13	school and parents are attending or board meetings at
basically a demand number. MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	14	the school and more people are there.
MR. SLADE: It is a demand number. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	16	basically a demand number.
MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	17	MR. SLADE: It is a demand number.
just state what the legal requirement is. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure. MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	19	MR. QUIN: Maybe for the record I should
MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	20	just state what the legal requirement is.
23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. 24 MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure.
MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154	22	MR. QUIN: So that it's on the record.
	23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right.
25 based upon in terms of there's nothing that deals with	24	MR. QUIN: The legal requirement is 154
TI Company of the Com	25	based upon in terms of there's nothing that deals with

1 students in the regulations for this. It deals with the largest assembly, and that's one for ten, and 650 2 3 is the number divided by ten, is 65, and then you take 4 134 for the -- it's two-thirds of that to compute the 5 parking for the faculty and staff, and you add them together and you get 154. 6 7 The regulations also say that if you're a landmark, even if you increase you are not required to 8 provide, which would be six more because under 2000.5 9 10 if you're a landmark you don't have to provide more. 11 So the legal requirement if it's a landmark will 12 remain at 154, although if it were not a landmark it 13 would go to 160. So that's just for the legal 14 requirement. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Right. That's good 16 to get on the record. Thank you. 17 Mr. Slade, with respect to Garfield 18 Street, are those spaces filled up early, like at 19 eight o'clock? 20 We were saying that certain spaces, you 21 get there at eight o'clock on the Close, that they get 22 Do they also get filled up on Garfield at filled up. that hour? 23 Can you tell even? 24 SLADE: I'm sorry, Ms. Miller. 25 don't know exactly when they do fill up. I would

1	suspect that they do fill up quite early.
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do cars park there
3	the evening before? Do you know?
4	MR. SLADE: In the evening?
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, the evening
6	before the morning because I'm wondering whether is
7	this an area where households have their cars parked
8	on the street and then they go to work and spaces open
9	up or is this an area
10	MR. SLADE: I have made casual
11	observations at times that were outside of any
12	activity happening on the Close, and you know,
13	literally saw no or only a few cars on the north side
14	of the street. I don't think the neighbors depend on
15	the north side of Garfield Street except perhaps when
16	they're having a party.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and we haven't
18	heard from the community yet.
19	MR. SLADE: Right.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And I don't know,
21	although it sounds like there may be an issue about
22	parking on Garfield. Maybe there isn't, but I think
23	we've discussed in other cases that people prefer to
24	park on the street than go into a garage, that that's
25	human nature. I'm not testifying. I'm just asking

1	you.
2	MR. SLADE: It certainly is walking
3	distance, and this will be a new garage, well lit,
4	safe, secure, and so forth. So some of the things
5	that inhibit people from wanting to use garages won't
6	be a problem here, but in general
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: In some ways it may
8	be more convenient because it's closer. Is that
9	MR. SLADE: In general, I think if you're
10	heading to the school and you know that convenient
11	parking, surface parking may not be available on the
12	Close and you see a space on Garfield, human nature
13	would be to grab that space unless you're restricted
14	from doing so legally or by some other means.
15	The garage is there. Your car will be out
16	of the heat. Your car will be out of the rain, and
17	it's a pleasant walk across the Close to the school.
18	So that's a nice option, too.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So will students be
20	parking in the garage as well?
21	MR. SLADE: Students may park in the
22	garage. It would be their choice because there will
23	be this reserve of spaces available that aren't
24	designated, this 187.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Does that reserve

24

1	include students or is that just faculty?
2	When you have said that the garage will
3	accommodate all of the needs on the Close for parking
4	spaces, does that include the students?
5	MR. SLADE: It include all of the demand.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All of the demand.
7	So
8	MR. SLADE: Visitors, students, vehicles
9	that are part of the Close police fleet. I mean every
10	vehicle.
11	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Does it include
12	visitors to the Close?
13	MR. SLADE: Yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
15	MR. SLADE: Yeah, that average peak day
16	included every category that we could imagine.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. May other
18	question is and maybe, Mr. Quin, you might want to
19	answer this. I'm not sure but a lot of this
20	discussion has hinged on the garage, and so I'm
21	wondering if you might put on the record, you know,
22	the status of what's happening with the garage and
23	what process that has to go through because, you know,
24	is there any chance there won't be a garage?

by the Cathedral representatives several times. The precise status is that in order to complete the allocation of space for the parking garage, the parking garage is a matter of right.

There is also a bond issue that supports both the parking garage and the bus facility. The constructions would be at the same time, and the bus facility has gone through the legislation or is going through the legislation now to allow the street allocation of a portion of the below grade space of Wisconsin Avenue to be used for the bus facility.

That has gone to first reading, and we expect the emergency and the final reading to be on the 21st of June or the 6th of July. This has been supported by the community. So we do not see any political means or reality of its not being achieved.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

We're finally ready to talk MR. SLADE: the academic facility modification, Performing Arts facility and the athletic facility modifications and their traffic and parking implications, but I need to talk about this garage one more time, just to remind all of us that today on one of these average peak days not only do 294 cars park in the neighborhood, but they have to drive into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

neighborhood streets, drive around the block, search for a parking place, walk through the neighborhood, go back and leave.

And the relief to traffic on the neighborhood streets by building the garage will be tremendous. You know, it's a lot of demand, and there's a lot of circulation around those streets. So it was, again, kind of contextual.

Now, I'll talk about the academic facility addition. As others testified before me, the only change in personnel is an anticipated potential increase of nine FTE faculty and staff over the next ten years. The parking that those individuals would require are in these demand numbers that I've been talking about. So they would be accommodated within the demand figures for St. Albans, the 210 to 230. They would presumably come to the Close and park on the Close.

We can't even do a traffic study for only five or six cars because it's so small. There's no way to measure the impact. It's really negligible, and then when you consider that 294 cars are being taken out of the neighborhood because of the garage, you know, there's no impact to traffic and parking of the academic facility addition.

1 MR. QUIN: Well, could we be more specific 2 You're speaking of their three areas of on that? 3 relief here. One is the physical plant that's a 4 connecting wing with no increase in students, but with 5 a phased increase of nine FTE staff and faculty. 6 MR. SLADE: Yes. 7 MR. QUIN: And then the second one is the 8 athletic facility, again, no significant change. 9 MR. SLADE: I'm coming to those. 10 MR. QUIN: I'm sorry. 11 And then the third --12 Is it dinner time, Wayne? MR. SLADE: 13 Sorry. 14 Athletic facility. Again, as was 15 testified before me, these modifications are to bring these facilities to standards. We discussed this at 16 17 length with the Athletic Department about whether any 18 of the activities would change as a result of the 19 reconfiguration of the fields. Yes, there will be 20 more seats; no, we don't expect there will be more 21 spectators. People stand today, and they'll be able 22 to sit in the future. 23 There will be more flexibility in the way 24 things are scheduled in the future. So on rare 25 occasions there could be a make-up game due to rain

put on a different date that would coincide with another game. There could be two games at the same time which can't happen now, but it would never be two varsity games. It would always be a varsity game and a junior varsity game, and the junior varsity game does not generate a lot of spectator activity.

And then as someone before me testified, because the track will be a regulation track, there will be an all conference meet once every six years, and a couple of meets which can't take place now which are one school against another school that will take place here, and those activities generate primarily bus parking for the visiting teams, and the architectural plan and the landscape plan include more space for parking buses on the Close than is available now.

So the one impact that we do see is this occasional need to park more buses than we have now because we can host more events, two more events a year, and they'll be able to park those buses on the Close.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Just to clarify that, parking the buses on the Close for the athletic events, is that something that's in this application or is that something that's related to building the

1	Cathedral underground gym I mean underground
2	parking?
3	MR. SLADE: No, no. It's part of this
4	application.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's in this
6	application?
7	MR. SLADE: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just don't remember
9	seeing where that is. Pilgrim? Okay.
10	Did you say it's going to be more hidden
11	than the buses are now or there's going to be more
12	space allocated?
13	MR. SLADE: Well, buses park on the Close
14	now. It's just that they park in a helter-skelter
15	way, and it will be designed to accommodate bus
16	parking on the Close, on Pilgrim.
17	Today buses are encouraged to park on the
18	Close. In fact, the gentleman who testified ahead of
19	me often goes out on the Garfield Street to bring
20	those buses in to literally pilot them to the parking
21	places. So this will just be more accommodation for
22	buses on the Close during the athletic events.
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry. Will
24	there be a larger space designated for the buses under
25	the proposed plan than currently exists?

Τ	MR. SLADE: I CHITIK IC'S KING OF MUTCH-use
2	space that can park cars during the daytime and then
3	as the schools leave and the athletic events build up
4	their space for parking buses.
5	MR. QUIN: We actually have, and we would
6	file for the record a plan that shows where the buses
7	with this plan would start bus parking. In other
8	words, it would be a designated area on Pilgrim Road
9	for bus parking of ten spaces as I recall, and then
10	buses may also later be permitted in the garage as
11	well.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is that just for St.
13	Albans' buses or, I mean, not St. Albans; I mean for
14	St. Albans athletic events, or is that for the whole?
15	MR. QUIN: The ten spaces were spaces that
16	were just designated for bus parking area, assuming
17	that they would be allocated to the playing field and
18	visiting teams. Now, subject to it's broader than
19	that I've just been told.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is it tourist buses
21	for the Close?
22	MS. MEEHAN: No.
23	MR. QUIN: No.
24	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is it NCS buses,
25	Beauvoir buses?
l	I and the second

1 MS. MEEHAN: It's designated for school 2 There's a designation -buses. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Would you identify 4 yourself for the record? 5 MS. MEEHAN: I'm Colleen Meehan. I'm Director of Planning at St. Albans School. 6 7 And there is currently space designated on Pilgrim Road for two school buses, and what we do 8 9 today is sometimes put a St. Albans bus in one of 10 those spaces so that when the visiting team bus 11 arrives, and usually there's only one, that they can 12 park in that space on Pilgrim Road. 13 The new plan for surface parking spaces 14 after construction of the garage enlarges the area of 15 Pilgrim Road designated for bus parking, and there's a map of surface parking spaces that's more recent 16 17 than the one in your materials, and it shows ten 18 spaces reserved and, hence, creating the opportunity 19 to reserve some of those spaces for the one and I 20 think usually a maximum of two visiting team buses, 21 depending on the event. 22 That doesn't include tournaments, I would 23 add, which are not frequent, and Mr. Baad, Director of Athletics could address that better than 24 25 But typically it's one bus for a varsity I could.

1

event or for a JV event.

296 1 E-V-E-N-I-N-G S-E-S-S-I-O-N 2 (6:00 p.m.)3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is there anticipated 4 ever a conflict with respect to buses coming for NCS, 5 athletic events, and St. Albans athletic events? It seems to me if you're going to have ten 6 7 spaces, you're probably not going to have a problem. Well, I don't anticipate a 8 MS. MEEHAN: 9 space -- I'm getting the nod from General Rippe that 10 he doesn't anticipate that there's going to be a 11 conflict for those spaces. 12 I would just point out that athletic 13 events begin after the academic school day, and so 14 already there's a reduction of parking demand on the 15 Close, which also makes this more feasible. We have a system in place today to try to 16 17 bring these buses onto the Close so that they're not 18 parking off Close, and we are planning to enhance 19 The challenge there is to direct them through 2.0 the streets, and we have some plans as to how to do 21 that. 22 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Great. Thank you.

last item, which is the Performing Arts facility, and

the Performing Arts facility that's proposed will have

MR. SLADE:

23

24

25

I was going to go on to the

650 seats. It will replace the existing Performing Arts facility which has 325 seats. So it's a doubling of the capacity.

We reviewed, the school provided us with detailed information on performances, number of people attending those performances, number of people on the stage and behind the stage for all of the performances for a full year, and what we determined from that is that most performance reach the existing capacity, 300, 325. Some have a few standees. So we're talking about the range of about 325 people today.

And the biggest cast and crew was 90 people, students, of course, perhaps some faculty and stuff helping those students on the stage and behind the stage. So we had 325 plus 90, about 415 people in total.

For most events, the following characteristics are the case. We assume that everyone would come by automobile because, of course, these ended late in the event and people are going home, and what we found is that the cast and crew arrive about two hours before the show or they're already there if it's on a Friday evening. So the cast and crew are all in place and doing their thing.

The audience begins to arrive about one

1 hour before the show, and it's spread out pretty 2 evenly through that hour, with a few people arriving These are based on surveys and observations. 3 4 And then on average, we've got about three 5 people per car, and we just got this by knowing how many cars were there and how many people were there. 6 7 So using that we forecast additional If we had a capacity event, 650 people, and 8 the cast and crew probably would grow a little bit 9 10 just because there would be more facilities and so 11 forth, to 100 people. 12 And today when there's an event, 13 choice parking is Pilgrim Road on the Close, and when 14 that fills up, Garfield Street and perhaps beyond 15 Garfield Street into the neighborhood. With the garage in place and with the 16 17 incentives that the parking policy will provide, most 18 people would choose to park in the garage. It will be 19 nighttime. It will be a convenient, well lit, secure 20 You'll have a convenient walk to the new place. 21 Performing Arts facility, and it will be a lovely 22 evening. So we assume the worst case from a traffic 23 24 standpoint, that all of that traffic would want to

The garage will have

come to the garage.

entrances, one where the existing North Drive is located and the intersection with Wisconsin Avenue, which is an unsignalized driveway today and has buses in the way, although in the evening the buses aren't there.

And then another drive that accesses the garage from Woodley. The Wisconsin Avenue access point to the garage will have a traffic signal. It's designed and it's part of this contract that General Rippe referred to. It's going to be in place within six months. So that when you arrive and depart, you will be allowed to do so at that signalized access point on Wisconsin Avenue.

Here's the issue someone mentioned earlier. Some of these performances today start as early as I believe it was 6:00 p.m. So all of the people are arriving in that hour before 6:00 p.m., and they're coinciding with weekday evening traffic, and so we looked at that as the worst case, a full capacity event, the kind of traffic I'm talking about.

We brought them all to this Wisconsin Avenue entrance, which of course is the most congested point around the Close where all the traffic is. And because this entrance is going to just serve that garage and people coming to that event, that new

signalized intersection will operate at a very good level of services. That signal will be coordinated with the signals up and down Wisconsin Avenue, and they'll be synchronized. It will be a safe place to come in and go out because today you don't have the safety of a signal stopping Wisconsin Avenue traffic.

So even though we'll have much more traffic at this location using the garage, it will be a better situation than we have today from a safety standpoint, and it will work in coordination with the other signals and traffic will move in and out when the other signals along Wisconsin Avenue are allowing cross-street traffic to move.

So we from a traffic operational standpoint -- this will not have a negative impact on Wisconsin Avenue traffic conditions. If the events are started later in the evening, so much the better because we won't have this additional traffic on Wisconsin, but you know, if it all were to arrive simultaneous with the commuter peak period, the level of service at this intersection would be fine.

MR. ETHERLY: Mr. Slade, if I could, let's kind of pause there for a moment because (I noted that reference in the Department of Transportation's report, and I want to kind of perhaps use that as a

segue into talking a little bit about kind of traffic movements because I think that's kind of the other piece of this.

We've talked, of course, a lot about capacity and what the garage does in terms of what cars get ont the Close property, where do they go, but I think another piece of this very much is how cars are getting onto the Close property now with the new addition and the new garage and how they're moving through the property.

And of course, the report also references some of the current traffic patterns as they relate to pick-up and drop-offs for NCS. But one kind of immediate question is as you talk about signalizing Wisconsin and north, so what we're talking about is signalizing essentially that little intersection here, correct?

MR. SLADE: Yes, yes.

MR. ETHERLY: Was there any discussion or would you have any comment regarding based on the proximity of what would be a new signalized intersection now, albeit coordinated and integrated with the other signals along Wisconsin; is that enough space there or should someone be concerned based on the distance from Woodley and Wisconsin Intersection

to North Road?

Let's say you have traffic coming for an event. I've heard the expression used before "storage space." If you have a number of vehicles that are attempting to how make a turn at a signalized intersection, is there enough space there to store all of that traffic?

If so, then perhaps it answers my next question, which is: what does that then do to congestion along Wisconsin Avenue if you have a number of people waiting to make that turn, and not just for the benefit of going into the new Garage, but for performance, but just general traffic impact.

Now that you would have a signalized intersection there, if I'm a motorist looking to come to STA for volunteer business or for business at the school, does this intersection now create further congestion along Wisconsin?

MR. SLADE: We took this proposed signal at this location through a very lengthy and deliberate process with DDOT as part of the garage package, and that was a year or two ago. We actually simulated traffic in the computer on Wisconsin Avenue and the Traffic Signal Division of DDOT agreed that this signal would not only not cause the kind of problems

1 that we all wanted to make sure it wouldn't cause, but is an asset from a safety standpoint for the sake of 2 3 pedestrians. 4 There's 750 feet between Woodley and So it's a very long block. 5 Massachusetts Avenue. Most of the blocks in the city probably average about 6 7 So the spaces of the signal is actually similar to the spacing of other signals on arterial streets in 8 9 the city and not a shorter block than usual. 10 And turning in and out of this driveway is 11 a challenge without a traffic signal today. 12 MR. ETHERLY: under current conditions. MR. SLADE: Yes. 13 14 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 15 MR. SLADE: And pedestrians take risks in this section of Wisconsin Avenue because it is a long 16 17 block. Again, human nature: you're walking along the 18 You want to get across the street. You 19 glance over your shoulder and you'll see that the 20 signals are already on Wisconsin, and you dash across the street mid-block. This at least will give another 21 22 safe location where pedestrians can cross at a light 23 within this long block. 24 MR. ETHERLY: So, one, a cross-walk would 25 be installed at that location as well.

1	MR. SLADE: Yes.
2	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Retarding that turn,
3	I wasn't certain from the reading if that would be
4	athere won't be any change to the lane, correct?
5	So we're not talking about the addition of a left turn
6	only lane.
7	MR. SLADE: Correct. It will remain with
8	the lanes as they are today.
9	MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and in terms of the
10	signal there, will that be simply a green light signal
11	or will that be a left turn arrow signal.
12	MR. SLADE: Simply a green light.
13	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. So conceivably you're
14	still going to have a little bit of queuing at that
15	intersection which people are hopefully waiting to get
16	that green to make that turn.
17	MR. SLADE: Yes.
18	MR. ETHERLY: But based on your
19	discussions with DDOT at this point, are we to take
20	the synchronization of those signals to mean that if
21	I'm northbound on Wisconsin Avenue, will I have a red
22	light conceivably and this might be getting into a
23	great level of detail operationally, but I think it's
24	a valid question.
25	If I'm heading northbound on Wisconsin

1 will I have under this proposal a red light facing me while there's still a green for traffic traveling 2 3 south such that there is an opening? 4 Because it sounds like you might still 5 have the same condition apply as you have currently, just with traffic lights there. 6 7 MR. SLADE: At present and once the signal is installed there remains tremendous flexibility in 8 9 how it can be operated. At present, as a result of 10 the studies we and DDOT did, it's intended to be a 11 simple, two-phase signal, green on Wisconsin Avenue 12 northbound and southbound at the same time, and then green for the existing traffic for north, and not that 13 14 kind of advanced green that would allow a couple of 15 cars to turn left into the driveway. Okay, but based on your 16 MR. ETHERLY: 17 simulations at this point, it's your testimony that you haven't seen anything which would create a worse 18 19 level of service than what we have. 20 definitely be an improvement over what you see now. 21 MR. SLADE: Yes. 22 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. A final question on 23 this and then I'm fairly done here, but I just want to also kind of tweak in terms of overall there was a 24

discussion in the report, of course, regarding the

1 traffic that currently flows along that north road in 2 terms of dropoffs at NCS that will use North Road and 3 then curve back around Woodley. 4 Did you also take a look at impacts as it 5 kind of relates to that kind of continuing kind of traffic flow now? Under the proposal in front of us 6 7 now, you would have parking garage traffic enter on 8 North Road and enter the garage somewhere, somewhere 9 in here, or you could also opt to enter from Woodley Road, and that would be if you're heading either 10 11 direction on Woodley, east or west, correct? 12 MR. SLADE: Yes. Okay. So if I'm coming 13 MR. ETHERLY: 14 either way on Woodley, I can turn in and head to the 15 Based on your assessment, that parking garage. overall traffic flow itself also doesn't create any 16 17 additional pressure on Wisconsin Avenue. MR. SLADE: We appended -- yes. My answer 18 is yes. 19 20 MR. ETHERLY: Okay. 21 MR. SLADE: We appended the traffic study 22 we did for the garage to the study that we just did 23 for the St. Albans application. So it's in your 24 package, and I'm hoping there's a diagram in here, Mr. 25 Etherly.

MR. ETHERLY: Yes, and that's what has kind of broached my question, because you identify essentially kind of three factors which kind of, you know, as part of your discussion around future traffic conditions would help to redistribute kind of automobile parking, and to an extent it was kind of factor one that prompted me to raise the question around kind of what the parking traffic would be now with the introduction of the garage.

And you essentially kind of note at page 15 of that appendix that the other two factors would essentially offset an increase of traffic on Woodley Road.

MR. SLADE: yes.

MR. ETHERLY: There's a little bit of familiarity on my part with this area and kind of making this route somewhat frequently, but from looking at this and looking at the DDOT report, what I'm kind of just exploring a little bit is, you know, in redistributing traffic for parking purposes and perhaps just for overall circulation purposes, are we still creating, you know -- rerouting some of the same traffic problems. Let's put it like that.

And by virtue of introducing now a garage entry at both points, which I'm not opposed to, I

think it makes sense instead of routing it all through one choke point, but be that as it may, Woodley Road, of course, is a fairly narrow thoroughfare as you head east and west from Wisconsin going back down to 34th, and then, of course, Wisconsin itself which, you know, we can spend hours talking about the fun that we all have as commuters on that artery. I just want to make sure that the introduction of a new signalized intersection isn't creating new problems that haven't been contemplated.

And I'm getting a sense that it's your strong testimony that, yes, we've contemplated that in all of our simulations and analyses which suggest that we're not creating new problems here.

MR. SLADE: Yeah, and if I can just take another second on this with you, on page 21 there's a diagram that I think you began to talk about another aspect of this, and that is the pick-up and dropoff at Hearst Hall, which is the NCS upper school. That will all be redesigned, and this diagram is very obsolete. It has been worked on, reworked many, many times, as you can imagine as we get to the bid point on this contract, but there is a large capacity facility there for all of the pick-up and drop-off activity that takes place in front of the school and in front of the

Cathedral, as well as access into and out of the garage.

So you can drop your family off or an elderly person or a handicapped person, and then get around and go back down into the garage without interfering with any activity that's happening at the front door of the school with that design.

So we tried to make this as efficient as possible on the Close so that nothing backs up out onto the street, and that with that signal, that all of the traffic, once it is departing, departs in a safe way at a signalized intersection.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and then my last question and then I will be quiet because I've been talking primarily about just kind of traditional automobile traffic; could you also speak a little bit to movements of tour buses in terms of ingress and egress as well?

MR. SLADE: Sure.

We don't have a diagram showing the tour bus facility, but you're familiar with the existing parallel lane on the east side of Wisconsin Avenue, and as you know, you enter -- a tour bus driver enters that at the south end of that lane and pulls up and parks parallel within that lane. It's just wide

enough for one row of parked buses and a lane for the buses to enter and leave.

And it brings the traffic out to Woodley Road and the current configuration of the driveway where it enters Woodley Road causes buses to have to turn right onto Woodley Road.

Over the period of about the last three years that has been completely redesigned in multiple ways. First of all, you'll enter at approximately the same location with your bus, and you'll immediately go down a ramp to an 18 space parking facility for buses alone, totally separate from the automobile garage.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay.

MR. SLADE: You'll pull into one of these saw-toothed arrangements at the curb so that your passengers can unload safely onto a sidewalk where they'll be greeted by Cathedral docents and they'll either take the staircase or elevators up to the surface and enter the Cathedral.

And when you leave, you'll go back to the bus in that location. The bus will back out and back into the driveway. The bus will then climb up a ramp immediately adjacent to Hearst Hall and reach the surface before they get to Woodley Road, where the entrance onto Woodley Road will be reconfigured so

that the buses will be forced to turn left back out onto Wisconsin Avenue through Woodley.

That existing signal at Woodley and Wisconsin is going to be modified so that when a bus is dwelling at the end of that driveway, he will be on an actuation device that will tell the signal controller that there's a bus here, and for that phase and that phase alone, it will stop traffic on both Woodley and Wisconsin, and the bus will be able to come out and make a U turn and go back down to the Mall or go north on Wisconsin and back to the Beltway.

That was worked through in a lot of detail with the community and DDOT. It's all approved and it's part of the big package the General Rippe talked about.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Thanks a lot.

Thank you very much, Madam Chair. I appreciate all of that walking through. It was perhaps tedious, to say the least, but clearly there's a lot of work that's gone into taking a look at these traffic patterns and the ingress and egress, and I think it is work that has been solidly done.

I think the devil will be in the details here, and really parsing out whether it will, indeed, work or not because Wisconsin Avenue sometimes defies

1	reality and perhaps can befuddle the most well thought
2	out plans.
3	So I appreciate your time in walking
4	through that with me.
5	MR. SLADE: Thanks, Mr. Etherly.
6	MR. ETHERLY: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	MR. SLADE: Madam Chair, I'm just going to
8	close by saying it is my professional opinion that
9	this application will have no significant impact on
LO	traffic and parking conditions.
L1	Thank you.
L2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, and I
L3	think we'll have a few more questions if that's okay.
L4	MR. SLADE: Oh, sure.
L5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I mean, it looks like
L6	you want to rush off or something. We don't have that
L7	many more.
L8	MR. MANN: The existing 325-seat
L9	Performing Arts facility that the Performing Arts
20	Center is going to replace, where is that located?
21	MR. SLADE: I think the architect should
22	answer that. I think it's in the same location as the
23	new facility. It will be removed and replaced, I
24	believe.
25	No? I shouldn't answer that.

1	MS. MEEHAN: The current theater, 325-seat
2	theater is called Trapier Theater (phonetic), and it
3	is in an existing building that fronts senior
4	circle.This building right here is on the top level a
5	library for the upper school and on the bottom level
6	Trapier Theater, and that building stays and that
7	facility stays, but it will be converted for use as a
8	large classroom, as testing facility, a place for
9	lectures, faculty meetings, et cetera.
10	It also will serve as a continuitive
11	function as a performing arts space for lower school
12	functions, but it's in essence shifting its emphasis,
13	its function to academic use rather than the arts.
14	MR. MANN: But there will be some
15	performing arts center function that remains?
16	MS. MEEHAN:
17	Well, there are times when there may be a lower
18	school event that calls for a much small audience.
19	MR. MANN: How many seats will be in this
20	reconfigured center?
21	MS. MEEHAN: The existing Trapier
22	Theater (phonetic) will not be modified in any way
23	other than renovated in terms of the seating and paint
24	and the stage area.
25	MR. MANN: Will it continue to seat 325?

1 MS. MEEHAN: It stays the same, yes, 325. 2 Okay. So, in fact, it sounds MR. MANN: 3 like this new Performing Arts Center is not replacing 4 or just doubling the number of seats. It sounds like 5 it's going from 325 to 975. No. The primary purpose of 6 MS. MEEHAN: 7 Trapier Theater is as an academic facility. It is an oversized classroom, a lecture hall. It is a place 8 9 where two classrooms, where two teachers want to bring two classes together for something, a place where one 10 11 can see a film. It's a place where one can do testing 12 where you have to seat the boys in every other seat 13 and stagger them. 14 It is meant to be a meeting facility when 15 one wants to convene the entire faculty and the entre staff together. It is a place that the school can use 16 17 for its students and faculty when they want to gather 18 in one room, and currently the only place on the Close 19 other than Trapier Theater where 300 people can gather is the nave of the Cathedral. 2.0 21 So there's a great demand for that mid-22 sized room for non-performance related purposes. So it would never occur then 23 MR. MANN: 24 that you're saying there would be a performance in the 25 325 seat --

1 MS. MEEHAN: No. 2 -- theater simultaneous with MR. MANN: the 650-seat Performing Arts Center? 3 4 MS. MEEHAN: Oh. No, I can't imagine that 5 they would occur simultaneously. 6 MR. MANN: Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just have a couple of questions. The new Performing Arts, I don't think 8 we need the architect for this, but where is it in 9 relationship to neighboring streets? Which street is 10 11 it closest to? 12 Once again, you can see the MS. MEEHAN: 13 Senior Circle, which is the drive in front of the Lane 14 Johnston Building. That is the site of the Performing 15 It is underneath that Senior Circle, Arts Center. that front plaza drive area at the front door of the 16 school, in essence, which is an interior location on 17 18 the campus. 19 So to answer your question, it's closest 20 to another interior road, Pilgrim Road, and in terms of access to it, what we anticipated are that the 21 22 patrons would park in the garage where there will be 23 sidewalks that are well lit and roughly at the same 24 grade such that it's not the difficult, uphill climb

that one might experience -- that one does experience

1	today if they park on Pilgrim Road or if they park on
2	Garfield.
3	The distance, which we've measured, is
4	actually shorter from where the garage would let a
5	pedestrian out I mean, the garage would let its
6	patrons out, and come across to the theater, and like
7	I said, it's an easy walk.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: thank you.
9	Mr. Slade, what's the level of service on
10	Wisconsin Avenue?
11	MR. SLADE: Well, it varies up and down
12	Wisconsin Avenue from
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, I don't mean all
14	of Wisconsin Avenue. I mean in front of the Close
15	because you were saying my point goes to you were
16	saying that it wouldn't be impacted by the performance
17	traffic, performance-related traffic.
18	MR. SLADE: We analyzed level of service
19	at intersections, and at the signalized intersection
20	of North Drive with Wisconsin, with this full capacity
21	performance activity, I think we calculated a level of
22	service B, B or C.
23	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: B?
24	MR. SLADE: Yeah, it's in our report.
25	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and what is it

1 without the performance-related traffic? 2 Oh, without it, just on a SLADE: 3 regular day during the five to 6:00 p.m. hour when we 4 have traffic going in and out? 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Let's take rush hour, What's the level of service with and without 6 7 the performance-related traffic? Well, today if you're on 8 SLADE: 9 Wisconsin Avenue, you simply drive right by, if you're 10 not backed up from a nearby intersections, you drive 11 right by it. So the level of service is very good. 12 There's no delay to Wisconsin Avenue traffic at North 13 Drive. The delay is to the North Drive traffic trying to get out on the street. 14 15 So North Drive people have level Wisconsin Avenue people have level of 16 17 service A at North Drive. 18 When they get to Woodley, you're delayed. 19 Level of service is measured by measuring delay. I don't have the delay figures for that intersection, 20 21 but we analyzed all of those intersections when we 22 were doing the garage studies, and I guess I could 23 look it up in this report. 24 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Let me just be 25 to the point, and maybe I'm not expressing myself

1	well. I thought you basically said that the level of
2	service wouldn't change by the introduction of
3	performance related traffic at rush hour.
4	MR. SLADE: I don't know if I said that
5	exactly.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: If you didn't say
7	that, then that would be my question. Is that your
8	position?
9	MR. SLADE: No.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No. Okay.
11	MR. SLADE: If there weren't a
12	performance, if the traffic signal was operating, the
13	traffic signal will be actuated by traffic coming out
14	of the garage. So if there's no traffic coming out of
15	the garage, it will stay green most of the time on
16	Wisconsin Avenue and only will go red in coordination
17	with the Woodley signal and the Mass. Avenue signal.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But people will be
19	going into the garage, will they not, for a
20	performance?
21	MR. SLADE: Yeah, I'm saying if there's no
22	performance, you'll have a very good level of service.
23	If there is a performance, then there's more delay
24	because the traffic turning in and out of North Drive
25	will take away green time from Wisconsin Avenue

1	Traffic. The signal controller is responsive to the
2	demand using North Drive. It varies the amount of
3	time that it gives to the different movements in the
4	intersection.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It seems like common
6	sense if there would be somewhat of a back-up during
7	rush hour or if there was a performance at the same
8	time.
9	MR. SLADE: Yes. When the light turns
LO	red, cars will stop at the red light and back up.
L1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And so what's your
L2	position with respect to DDOT's recommendation that
L3	performances don't start before 7:30 p.m.?
L4	MR. SLADE: I don't think it's absolutely
L5	necessary, but it would be better if they started a
L6	little later.
L7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What would make it
L8	absolutely necessary?
L9	MR. SLADE: I just can't even imagine the
20	circumstance that it would be necessary. You
21	encounter so much delay on Wisconsin Avenue at other
22	cross-streets. This will be a minor, momentary delay
23	in your trip up Wisconsin Avenue relative to
24	MR. QUIN: I think that there's another
25	explanation which we're skirting over, and that's the

1 timing of the events, and Ms. Meehan could address 2 that. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 3 Okay. 4 MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Slade referred to the 5 worst case scenario, which he had identified in this past year as being a lower school spring musical that 6 7 happened to be scheduled at 5:00 p.m., putting it in 8 the rush hour period. That lower school spring 9 musical typically takes place at 7:00 or 7:30 p.m., and we anticipate it will in the future. 10 11 To be honest, we're not sure among us here 12 why it took place at 5:00 p.m., but it's certainly an anomaly, and we don't anticipate having events that 13 14 would begin that early. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't know if this 16 question is for the headmaster or not, but basically 17 what I would say is this is the type of condition that 18 we would consider, and I quess I want to know is there 19 some problem that the school would have with, you events that would 20 know, that kind of condition: 21 attract a certain amount of cars don't start before 22 the end of rush hour. 23 In the appendix to our report MR. SLADE: 24 is events at Trapier Theater in 2004-2005 school year. 25 They started at -- and I think there's ten of them

321 1 here -- 8:00 p.m., 7:30, one at 6:00 p.m., 8:00 p.m., 2 8:00 p.m., another one at 6:00 p.m., 7:00 p.m., 8:00 p.m., 8:00 p.m., 8:00 p.m., and then there were some 3 4 matinees on Saturdays. So most of them are late, later. Okay, and the other 6 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 7 thing I want to say is, I mean, the reason I'm asking this is because maybe there's some situation where, 8 you know, it really should start at six or something, 9 and the Board should be aware of that 10 11 MR. WILSON: Yeah, I would not like a 12 condition on that because of the younger kids, the fourth and fifth graders, and I think that in the 13 14

upper school it's almost always eight o'clock, 7:30 or eight, and a Sunday matinee at two.

I suspect the five and the six o'clock start was a musical that was mainly made up of fourth and fifth graders, and their parents and we like them to have their performance and get home at a reasonable hour, and that happens though. I mean, fourth and fifth grade player musical at most is three times a year, fall, winter and spring. So you're not talking about a regular kind of event that would be happening at that time a lot.

But if we had an absolute rule, then that

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	means you'd have to put fourth graders on the stage at
2	7:30 or eight o'clock at night, and I think as a
3	parent of a fourth grader, I'd prefer it to be earlier
4	if possible.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do you have an idea
6	as to how many people come to watch fourth and fifth
7	graders in a play?
8	MR. WILSON: All of their parents and
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I mean, it's not 650
10	people I wouldn't think.
11	MR. WILSON: No. Our fourth grade has got
12	38 boys in it. So you know, that's probably if you
13	add the if the NCS girls, I mean, you're not
14	talking about more than 100 people.
15	I would also reemphasize that we do not
16	anticipate any increase in the number of events in
17	performing arts, even though we have this new
18	facility. We don't want to schedule any more for the
19	kids than we already have. It's just, as I said, with
20	the athletic fields a much better facility, not a
21	question of increasing the program.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So basically you're
23	saying it's rare that you would schedule an event
24	during rush hour.
25	MR. WILSON: Rare.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And if you did, it 2 would be for good cause, such as the age of the 3 children. 4 MR. WILSON: I think that would be the 5 only reason. Certainly the high school kids don't want to get anywhere near the stage at six o'clock. 6 7 I mean, it's just too early. 8 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 9 MR. MANN: If I could follow up on that though, just to clarify, on page 9 of the applicant's 10 11 statement, it says the Performing Arts Center will 12 mainly serve existing programs, but will also be a 13 venue for some of the Cathedral's programs. 14 And can you maybe expand on that and 15 explain if these are going to be additional Cathedral programs that are not occurring now, if they're going 16 17 replace the Cathedral programs what or the 18 intensity of use might be for some of these Cathedral 19 programs in the Performing Arts Center. MR. WILSON: In our conversations with the 20 21 Cathedral, and I am only speaking about conversations 22 about this program from our perspective, it would be 23 a different venue for a Cathedral program, not an additional venue. 24 25 If you are a musician and you are in the

1 Cathedral nave, strangely enough that beautiful space 2 is not always the best place for a concert, but a 650-3 seat event that might have been for the Cathedral 4 choral society in the nave could possibly be moved to 5 this event on a Sunday afternoon. So it wouldn't be an additional event, but just a switch of venue. 6 7 MR. MANN: So, Mr. Slade, was there any 8 reason to take those venue changes into consideration 9 in any of your traffic calculations? 10 Well, I think what we were MR. SLADE: 11 trying to do is isolate the event that this new 12 performing facility would accommodate that would have 13 maximum impact. We defined it in the report as the 14 maximum impact event. 15 I think what we should have also noted was the frequency because it can be alarming when you hear 16 we're doubling the capacity of the facility, but when 17 18 you realize that it only happens so many times a year 19 and of those times most of them are 8:00 p.m. or 7:30 20 p.m. and there's only one or two or three that are 21 earlier and those tend to be the smaller ones, it 22 really puts it in perspective. So we've focused on that one so that we 23

could look at how that intersection would operate, and

that was what our objective was.

24

25

So if there were

more or less of them each year, it would still fall within the envelope that we thought we covered by this worst case condition.

MR. MANN: Thank you.

MR. ETHERLY: Madam Chair, if I could come back, the one thing I'm struggling with, Mr. Slade, and perhaps I'm struggling because I haven't found a good graphical representation that kind of speaks to it, and we haven't spent a lot of time talking about it, but I just want to be sure I'm clear. One of the things that you reference as you talk about future traffic conditions, three factors you identified. One essentially noted that you probably, with the introduction of the new parking garage, you will see increased traffic on Woodley.

But then you know that there are two additional factors that would help to kind of offset that and result really in a net reduction, one being a reduction of some of the traffic movements for dropoff at the Hearst Building location.

So my first question is -- and then I'll go to the second one -- my first question is: what will be the traffic movement; if I'm doing a pick-up or drop-off at NCS, what are you suggesting will now be my movement as a parent or someone related to NCS

2.0

1	going through the Close property now?
2	Presently, if I'm entering North Road as
3	far as the Hearst Building is concerned, can I go
4	north-south on this street here or if I'm doing a
5	drop-off under current conditions, my kid jumps out
6	somewhere here and I continue down North Road?
7	MR. SLADE: Yes. This is not a choice.
8	There's surface parking here that will be gone with
9	the garage. So that's just a little cul-de-sac with
LO	some number of spaces, probably 25.
L1	You can come in and loop in front of the
L2	school and go back out onto
L3	MR. ETHERLY: Under the new condition.
L4	That would be my only option.
L5	MR. SLADE: Today and tomorrow.
L6	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Today you can do that
L7	and tomorrow you'll be able to do that. With the new
L8	facility, that has much more elbow room, if you will.
L9	MR. ETHERLY: Okay.
20	MR. SLADE: Today you can go onto North
21	Drive, which is one way after you get past this point,
22	one way out to Woodley, and drop a student off and
23	continue out onto Woodley and turn left or right onto
24	Woodley. You won't be able to do that in the future.
25	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent. So that's

1 one way in which Woodley Road would be able to receive 2 some relief because you won't be able to do that 3 movement. 4 And then with respect to I believe it was 5 the third factor. Similarly, if I'm entering -- but as far as garage traffic goes, garage traffic will 6 7 still be able to exit onto Woodley, correct? 8 MR. SLADE: Correct. 9 MR. Okay. your ETHERLY: But it's 10 argument that because there will not be as much of a 11 need to park on North Road going forward, that's going 12 to lessen the traffic impact that might be introduced 13 to Woodley because the ingress and egress. 14 MR. SLADE: Yes. In the discussion about 15 the garage design and that Woodley entrance, we felt that there was an advantage to it not only to the 16 17 people who wanted to come and park in the garage, but 18 to the neighborhood because without it, you would 19 continue to arrive on Woodley as you do today, but 20 then you'd have to go to the signal at Wisconsin, turn 21 left to go south on Wisconsin, and then turn left 22 again into the garage. So you're intercepting that traffic before 23 24 it has to go through all of those left turns, and it

would go back as efficiently to go home at the end of

the day.

MR. ETHERLY: Okay, and then once again, as you noted with respect to tour buses, tour buses, will they still be able to exit out onto Woodley or are they also doing a loop as well, so to speak?

MR. SLADE: They will not be able to turn right onto Woodley. They will enter approximately here, go down in a ramping section here into that garage, and when they come back up between Wisconsin and Hearst, where the existing driveway is today, but it will be ramping up. The geometry of their driveway entrance onto Woodley will force them to turn left out into the Woodley-Wisconsin intersection on their own phase of the signal, and there's room for them to make a U turn to go south or go turn right and go north on Wisconsin.

MR. ETHERLY: Now, am I also to understand that as part of the proposal, the application, you are introducing some type of signal in front of Hearst Hall; is that correct?

MR. SLADE: There's a new signal at North and Wisconsin, which we talked about, and there's a modification to the existing signal at the intersection of Woodley and Wisconsin. Just before that, the 55 buses a day during the peak season that

1	will want to come out and get back out onto Wisconsin,
2	and I think the other point to be made or to be
3	reinforced is that the bus garage and the automobile
4	garage are two completely separate garages so that the
5	buses cannot use Woodley whatsoever.
6	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. The reason why I
7	inquired about the Hearst Hall piece is reading at
8	page 17 under Factor 3, you note that with the
9	construction of the parking garage, North Road will no
10	longer pass through the Close. I understand that.
11	Also, the NCS passenger loading area on
12	the south side of Hearst Hall will be enlarged and
13	improved, and a traffic signal will be installed, but
14	that traffic signal reference is to the new signal at
15	North and Wisconsin.
16	MR. SLADE: Yes.
17	MR. ETHERLY: Okay. Excellent. Thank
18	you.
19	Thank you, Madam Chair.
20	MR. QUIN: Madam Chairperson, that
21	completes our direct presentation.
22	I did want to make one statement that I
23	think is important, and that is we've had a lot of
24	discussion about a lot of different things, many of
25	which have absolutely nothing to do with the relief

that we are seeking today, but it's important to
realize that legally speaking we have an existing
situation, and all the things that the foundation and
St. Albans are proposing to do are really pluses
because the only changes, there's no change in number
of students, no changes in activity for two of the
areas, and except for the nine modest increase in
faculty and staff, and then the Performing Arts one
which you have focused on more recently, and I'm sure
that we can fashion some type of condition that would
be okay that would respond to DDOT's request.
So having said that, I just wanted to sort
of make the playing field that's probably not a
good thing to say at this time but to set the case
in the context of where we really are today.
We actually have an operating school
that's doing well. It has a relationship with the
community. They're trying to make it better.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
With respect to DDOT's request, I mean, we
haven't heard from DDOT yet. I'm not sure if we will
or if we'll just hear from Office of Planning, but
MR. QUIN: There is a report from DDOT.
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I have the report
where they make the recommendation. I guess my point

1	is I'm not sure whether they were aware of what we
2	heard today as to why it would not be a good idea in
3	your view or why it might not be necessary. So I
4	don't think we're there yet.
5	Ms. Mackwood, would you like to come to
6	the table and we can discuss the next step?
7	With respect to your cross examination,
8	how long do you think it will be? How much time do
9	you anticipate?
10	MS. MACKWOOD: I probably have about ten
11	or 11 pages of questions, maybe more. The only
12	individuals who have testified that I don't plan on
13	asking any questions of would be Ms. Cuff and I
14	already said Mr. Belt, but I'd like to preserve my
15	right to cross examine all the other witnesses.
16	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do you have an
17	estimate of what that converts to time-wise?
18	MS. MACKWOOD: You know, I wish I could,
19	but so much of it depends on the answers. So I
20	really it wouldn't be a reliable guess.
21	MR. QUIN: I assume that if there were an
22	agreement reached you would not need to do the cross
23	examination.
24	MS. MACKWOOD: I think certainly that
25	would reduce the cross examination, but I think there

1	have been issues that have been broached here that are
2	going beyond the usage agreement that we're talking
3	about. So I think it would be important to get some
4	responses on the record, but certainly would reduce
5	the amount of cross.
6	You've covered a lot of issues this
7	afternoon.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, that's good
9	since it took so long. The date that we have been
10	talking about continuing the case to is the morning of
11	July 26th, where we do have two other cases scheduled,
12	and my question to you, Mr. Quin, though is: are any
13	of your witnesses not available to come back that day?
14	MR. QUIN: Not a chance.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
16	MR. QUIN: They're all coming back.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Well, that
18	would go to if we needed to have them crossed today.
19	MR. QUIN: Yes, I understand.
20	(Pause in proceedings; the Board
21	conferred.)
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Given that
23	it's quarter to seven at night, we don't see any
24	reason to start your cross examination today, and also
25	given your position that you might be able to work

Τ.	much of it out.
2	Is there any person who is here that
3	wanted to testify today and won't be able to come back
4	on the 26th?
5	MR. QUIN: Could I just mention that I
6	don't know whether there would be questions of the
7	athletic director or the headmaster, both of whom have
8	right now potential conflicts, but may be able to deal
9	with that in some other way. Maybe someone on their
10	behalf could be here to answer any questions. They're
11	the only two people from our team who may have a
12	conflict on that date.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, so there are some
14	witnesses who might
15	MR. QUIN: I didn't realize that until
16	now. I'm sorry.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Let's go back
18	to that.
19	Okay, Ms. Mackwood.
20	MR. QUIN: I can have someone from the
21	school that could answer the question.
22	MS. MACKWOOD: I would like Mr. Wilson to
23	be here. I have quite a few questions for Mr. Wilson.
24	Mr. Baad, I only had a couple of questions and perhaps
25	Mr. Wilson could answer those.

1	MR. QUIN: Mr. Wilson?
2	MR. WILSON: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. In which case,
4	I think we should have that cross examination now
5	since you're saying he may not be able to come back.
6	MR. QUIN: No, he's saying he will be
7	here.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, you will be able
9	to come back on the 26th. Okay. Is there anybody who
10	won't be able to come back on the 26th?
11	MR. QUIN: Only Mr. Baad.
12	MR. ETHERLY: And you feel that perhaps
13	somebody else could be here for Mr. Baad?
14	MR. QUIN: Can come answer his questions,
15	yes.
16	MS. MACKWOOD: Did you have a time, Madam
17	Chair, for the hearing on the 26th?
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Moy, as I
19	understand it, a public hearing starts at 9:30, and we
20	have two cases before this one. They're not as big as
21	this one. So I can't this would be third, would it
22	not?
23	MR. MOY: That's correct. The staff is
24	anticipating that this case would pick up as the third
25	case in the morning.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So the first one 2 would start no earlier than 9:30. 3 MS. MACKWOOD: Madam Chair, do you have a 4 full schedule then in the afternoon? So is it likely 5 that we would be allocated a certain amount of time and if we went over, that then we would have to 6 7 schedule another hearing? I'm just wondering if it makes any sense to go ahead and schedule that third 8 9 hearing now so that the school doesn't get even 10 further backed up. 11 MR. QUIN: I think we should try to finish 12 that hearing, and also I think your questions, there's 13 no reason that you could not give us the list of 14 questions now, and if we can adequately answer those 15 questions, we can either furnish them for the record or to you directly. So you could give us, like, for 16 17 example, Mr. Baad's questions by E-mailing it to us 18 and then we can respond. 19 MS. MACKWOOD: I appreciate Mr. Ouin's 20 suggestion, but I would really prefer to do it in the 21 normal way so that the Board can hear the questions 22 and the responses. 23 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We're not going to 24 order you to give your questions ahead of time.

just say that whatever you can do to

1	efficient would be a good idea.
2	We have in the afternoon another school
3	special exception case in your ANC. It's the Aidan
4	Montessori School. So you may have a better idea as
5	to how long that case will take.
6	MS. MACKWOOD: We're working on agreement
7	on that one.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Oh, okay. So if you
9	could be efficient in both case, we should be able to
10	hopefully complete the hearing at that time.
11	Ms. Bailey, I have a list of a few
12	documents that we wanted to be submitted in the
13	record. Do you have a list as well?
14	MS. BAILEY: Yes, I do. Should I start?
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well, why don't I
16	start and then you can fill in? How's that?
17	MS. BAILEY: Sure.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I think, Mr. Quin, we
19	talked about the landscaping plan that's going to be
20	submitted to HPRB would be submitted to the Board and
21	to the ANC as well.
22	MR. QUIN: Yes. I think what you are
23	requesting is that we make certain that we give to you
24	a plan that shows the detail, like the species options
25	for different areas, which was not included in 102.
ı	•

1	We had the tree one, I think, adequately dealt with
2	it, but the other plantings I don't think were dealt
3	with, and we can give that to you, yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and then
5	PowerPoint presentation?
6	MR. QUIN: Yes, we have a copy of that
7	which we will give to you.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, and then the
9	map of surface parking spaces.
10	MR. QUIN: Yes, right.
11	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's all I have
12	written down, Ms. Bailey. Do you have more?
13	MS. BAILEY: Mr. Board Member Etherly had
14	spoken about a lighting plan. He indicated that the
15	arcade as seen from Garfield and 34th Street.
16	Mr. Etherly, you have your light on. Are
17	you going to
18	MR. ETHERLY: What we were referencing was
19	there was some discussion about whether or not there
20	was going to be any lighting as part of the arcade
21	portion. If there's an additional submission that you
22	can provide there, that would be helpful.
23	MR. QUIN: We also said we would submit
24	the parking plan that shows the ten buses. So we will
25	do it, and we will file something that details the

1	nature of the lighting in the arcade.
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Anything else?
3	Do the parties have any other matters
4	about this case they want to ask before we adjourn?
5	In which case
6	MS. BAILEY: Madam
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes.
8	MS. BAILEY: Sorry, sorry. I just wanted
9	to suggest that the documents obviously are going to
10	be served on each other, but that they be filed by
11	July 10th by the Applicant if that's appropriate.
12	MR. QUIN: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you, Ms.
14	Bailey.
15	And I think on the 26th Chairman Griffis
16	will be joining us. So thank you very much. We'll
17	see you then.
18	(Whereupon, at 6:52 p.m., the hearing in
19	the above-entitled matter was adjourned.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	